

Pathwise quantitative particle approximation of nonlinear stochastic Fokker-Planck equations via relative entropy

Alexandre Batista de Souza Christian Olivera

Stoch. PDE: analysis and computations, 2026

Interacting particle system

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ we have for $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{i,N}(\omega) = & \int_0^t \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (K * V^N)(X_s^{i,N}(\omega) - X_s^{k,N}(\omega)) ds \\ & + \int_0^t dW_s^{i,N}(\omega) \\ & + \int_0^t \sigma_s dB_s(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

where $W^{i,N}$ and B are independent standard $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Brownian motions, defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.

Interacting particle system

By Itô's formula to $V^N(x - \cdot)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} V^N(x - X_t^{i,N}) &= V^N(x - X_0^{i,N}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_j V^N(x - X_s^{i,N}) K_j * \rho_s^N(X_s^{i,N}) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_{jk} V^N(x - X_s^{i,N}) (\sigma \sigma^T)_s^{jk} ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_j V^N(x - X_s^{i,N}) \sigma_s^{jk} dB_s^k \\ &\quad - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_j V^N(x - X_s^{i,N}) dW_s^{i,N,k} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_{jk} V^N(x - X_s^{i,N}) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Interacting particle system

Then since $\rho^N = V^N * S^N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_t^N(x) &= \rho_0^N(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \left\langle S_s^N, \partial_j V^N(x - \cdot) K_j * \rho_s^N(\cdot) \right\rangle ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_0^t \left\langle S_s^N, \partial_{jk} V^N(x - \cdot) (\sigma \sigma^T)_s^{jk} \right\rangle ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_0^t \left\langle S_s^N, \partial_j V^N(x - \cdot) \sigma_s^{jk} \right\rangle dB_s^k \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_0^t \partial_j V^N(x - X_s^{i,N}) dW_s^{i,N,k} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_0^t \left\langle S_s^N, \partial_{jk} V^N(x - \cdot) \right\rangle ds.\end{aligned}$$

Interacting particle system

Or more concisely,

$$\begin{aligned}d\rho_t^N(x) = & -\nabla \cdot \left\langle S_t^N, V^N(x - \cdot) K * \rho_t^N(\cdot) \right\rangle dt \\& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \left\langle S_t^N, \partial_{jk} V^N(x - \cdot) (\sigma \sigma^T)_t^{jk} \right\rangle dt \\& - \nabla \cdot \left\langle S_t^N, V^N(x - \cdot) \sigma_t^{jk} \right\rangle dB_t \\& - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla V^N(x - X_s^{i,N}) \nu_t(X_t^{i,N}) dW_t^{i,N} \\& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \left\langle S_t^N, \partial_{jk} V^N(x - \cdot) \right\rangle dt.\end{aligned}$$

Limiting process

$$d\rho_t = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\rho_t + \frac{1}{2}D^2\rho_t(\sigma\sigma^\top)_t dt - \nabla \cdot (\rho_t K * \rho_t) dt \\ - \nabla \rho_t \cdot \sigma_t dB_t.$$

$$d\rho_t^N = -\nabla \cdot \left\langle S_t^N, V^N(x - \cdot) K * \rho_t^N(\cdot) \right\rangle dt \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \left\langle S_t^N, \partial_{jk} V^N(x - \cdot) (\sigma\sigma^\top)_t^{jk} \right\rangle dt \\ - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \left\langle S_t^N, \partial_j V^N(x - \cdot) \sigma_t^{jk} \right\rangle dB_t^k \\ - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla V^N(x - X_s^{i,N}) dW_t^{i,N} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \left\langle S_t^N, \partial_{jk} V^N(x - \cdot) \right\rangle dt.$$

First main result

Take $\delta > 0$ such that $\theta > 0$, where

$$\theta = \min \left(\beta(1 - 2\gamma); \frac{\beta}{d}\gamma^2; \frac{1}{2} - \beta \left(1 + \frac{1}{d} \right) \right) - \delta,$$

with $d \geq 1$ and $\beta \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2 \left[1 + \frac{1}{d} \right]} \right)$. In addition, assume

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^\theta \mathcal{H}(\rho_0^N | \rho_0) = 0, \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Then, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^\theta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{H}(\rho_t^N | \rho_t) = 0, \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Corollary: Propagation of chaos

Let the same assumptions as in previous Theorem. Then, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{\theta - \delta} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|S_t^N - \rho_t\|_0^2 = 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Second main result

Take $\sigma = 0$ and

$$\theta = \min \left(\beta(1 - 2\gamma); \frac{\beta}{d}\gamma^2; \frac{1}{2} - \beta \left(1 + \frac{1}{d}\right) \right),$$

with $d \geq 1$ and $\beta \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2[1+\frac{1}{d}]}\right)$. In addition, assume for any $m \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \mathcal{H}(\rho_0^N | \rho_0) \right\|_{L^m(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

Then, we have

$$\left\| \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{H}(\rho_t^N | \rho_t) \right\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \lesssim \left\| \mathcal{H}(\rho_0^N | \rho_0) \right\|_{L^m(\Omega)} + N^{-\theta}.$$

Main novelties

Apply the relative entropy functional in the context of moderate interacting particles;

Introduction of a new class of scaling $V^N \doteq N^\beta V(N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} \cdot)$, where the mollifier V is not compactly supported;

Introduction of a class of singular kernels satisfying $K = \nabla \cdot K_0$, for $K_0 \in C^\gamma$;

Proof: A priori estimates via Ito's formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}(\rho_t^N | \rho_t) - \mathcal{H}(\rho_0^N | \rho_0) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \left[\ln(\rho_s) - \ln(\rho_s^N) \right] \left\langle S_s^N, \nabla V^N(x - \cdot) K * \rho_s^N(\cdot) \right\rangle ds dx \\
 &+ \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \left[- \left\langle S_s^N, \nabla V^N(x - \cdot) K * \rho_s^N(\cdot) \right\rangle + \frac{\rho_s^N}{\rho_s} \nabla \cdot (\rho_s K * \rho_s) \right] ds dx \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \left[\ln(\rho_s^N) - \ln(\rho_s) \right] (\sigma \sigma^\top)_s D^2 \rho_s^N ds dx \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t (\sigma \sigma^\top)_s D^2 \rho_s^N ds dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \frac{\rho_s^N}{\rho_s} D^2 \rho_s (\sigma \sigma^\top)_s ds dx \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \left[\ln(\rho_s^N) - \ln(\rho_s) \right] \Delta \rho_s^N ds dx \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \left[- \frac{\rho_s^N}{\rho_s} \Delta \rho_s + \Delta \rho_s^N \right] ds dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \left[\ln(\rho_s) - \ln(\rho_s^N) \right] \sigma_s^\top \nabla \rho_s^N dB_s dx \\
 &+ \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \sigma_s^\top \nabla \rho_s^N dB_s dx + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \left[\ln(\rho_s) - \ln(\rho_s^N) \right] \nabla V^N(x - X_s^i) dW_s^i dx \\
 &- \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \nabla V^N(x - X_s^i) dW_s^i dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \frac{\rho_s^N}{\rho_s} \sigma_s^\top \nabla \rho_s dB_s dx \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho_s^N} \left| \sigma_s^\top \nabla \rho_s \right|^2 ds dx + \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho_s^N} \left| \nabla V^N(x - X_s^i) \right|^2 ds dx \\
 &- \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho_s} \sigma_s^\top \nabla \rho_s^N \sigma_s^\top \nabla \rho_s ds dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \frac{\rho_s^N}{(\rho_s)^2} \left| \sigma_s^\top \nabla \rho_s \right|^2 ds dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: A priori estimates via Ito's formula

$$\mathcal{H}(\rho_t^N | \rho_t) - \mathcal{H}(\rho_0^N | \rho_0) = I_t + II_t + III_t + IV_t + \text{MARTINGALE}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_t &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_s^N \nabla \ln \left(\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_s^N} \right) [K * \rho_s - K * \rho_s^N] dx ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \ln \left(\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_s^N} \right) \left\langle S_s^N, V^N(x - \cdot) [K * \rho_s^N(\cdot) - K * \rho_s^N(x)] \right\rangle dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$II_t + IV_t = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{\rho_s^N} |\nabla V^N(x - X_s^{i,N})|^2 dx ds,$$

$$III_t = - \int_0^t \mathcal{I}(\rho_s^N | \rho_s) ds,$$

Proof: $|\nabla V_0| \lesssim V_0$

$$\frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho_s^N} |\nabla V^N(x - X_s^i)|^2 ds dx.$$

We note

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla V^N(x - X_s^i) \right| &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \nabla V_0^N((x - X_s^i) - k) \right| \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} N^{\beta} (\nabla V_0) \left(N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} ((x - X_s^i) - k) \right) \right| \\ &= N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} N^{\beta} \left| (\nabla V_0) \left(N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} ((x - X_s^i) - k) \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $|\nabla V_0| \lesssim V_0$

Now since $|\nabla V_0| \lesssim V_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla V^N(x - X_s^i) \right| &\leq N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} N^{\beta} \left| V_0 \left(N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} ((x - X_s^i) - k) \right) \right| \\ &= N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} V^N(x - X_s^i) \\ &\leq 2N^{\beta} C_d N^{\frac{\beta}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $|\nabla V_0| \lesssim V_0$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho_s^N(x)} |\nabla V^N(x - X_s^i)|^2 ds dx \\ & \leq C_d \frac{2N^\beta N^{\frac{\beta}{d}}}{2N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho_s^N(x)} |\nabla V^N(x - X_s^i)| ds dx \\ & \leq C_d \frac{N^{\beta+2\frac{\beta}{d}}}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{\rho_s^N(x)} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N V^N(x - X_s^i) dx ds \\ & = C_d \frac{N^{\beta+2\frac{\beta}{d}}}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{1}{\rho_s^N(x)} \rho_s^N(x) dx ds \\ & \leq C_d t N^{-1+\beta+2\frac{\beta}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $K = \nabla \cdot K_0$, $K \in C^\gamma$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \ln \left(\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_s^N} \right) \left\langle S_s^N, V^N(x - \cdot) [K * \rho_s^N(\cdot) - K * \rho_s^N(x)] \right\rangle dx ds$$

First since, $K = \nabla \cdot K_0$ with $K_0 \in C^\gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| K * \rho_s^N(\cdot) - K * \rho_s^N(x) \right| &= \left| K_0 * \nabla \rho_s^N(\cdot) - K_0 * \nabla \rho_s^N(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |K_0(\cdot - y) - K_0(x - y)| |\nabla \rho_s^N(y)| dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \|K_0\|_\gamma |\cdot - x|^\gamma |\nabla \rho_s^N(y)| dy \\ &= \|K_0\|_\gamma |\cdot - x|^\gamma \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \rho_s^N(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $|\nabla V_0| \lesssim V_0$

In addition, since $\rho_s^N \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. and $|\nabla V_0| \lesssim V_0$, by Holder's inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \rho_s^N| dy &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \rho_s^N| \frac{\sqrt{\rho_s^N}}{\sqrt{\rho_s^N}} dy \\ &\stackrel{\text{Holder}}{\leq} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s^N|^2}{\rho_s^N} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_s^N dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s^N|^2}{\rho_s^N} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $|\nabla V_0| \lesssim V_0$

Recalling $\Lambda^{-1} \leq \rho \leq \Lambda$, for some $\Lambda > 1$, $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., from Leibniz's rule, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s^N|^2}{\rho_s^N} dy &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{\left| \nabla \left(\rho_s \frac{\rho_s^N}{\rho_s} \right) \right|^2}{\rho_s^N} dy \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{\left| \nabla \rho_s \left(\frac{\rho_s^N}{\rho_s} \right) \right|^2}{\rho_s^N} dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{\left| \rho_s \nabla \left(\frac{\rho_s^N}{\rho_s} \right) \right|^2}{\rho_s^N} dy \\ &\leq 2\Lambda^2 \|\nabla \rho_s\|_\infty^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho_s^N \left| \nabla \ln \left(\frac{\rho_s^N}{\rho_s} \right) \right|^2 dy \\ &= 2\Lambda^2 \|\nabla \rho_s\|_\infty^2 + 2\mathcal{I}(\rho_s^N | \rho_s). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $|\nabla V_0| \lesssim V_0$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle S_s^N, V^N(x - \cdot) [K * \rho_s^N(\cdot) - K * \rho_s^N(x)] \right\rangle \right| \\ & \leq \left\langle S_s^N, V^N(x - \cdot) |x - \cdot|^\gamma \right\rangle \|K_0\|_\gamma \left(2\Lambda^2 \|\nabla \rho_s\|_\infty^2 + 2\mathcal{I}(\rho_s^N | \rho_s) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: V_0 is not compactly supported

Now if $|x - \cdot| < N^{-\frac{\beta\gamma}{d}}$ we have

$$\left| \left\langle S_s^N, V^N(x - \cdot) |x - \cdot|^\gamma \right\rangle \right| \leq N^{-\theta_2} \rho_s^N(x)$$

with $\theta_2 \doteq \frac{\beta\gamma^2}{d}$.

Proof: V_0 is not compactly supported

Now, we consider the case that $|x - \cdot| \geq N^{-\frac{\beta\gamma}{d}}$. We note that,

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 \left(N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} ((x - \cdot) - k) \right) &\leq \frac{C_d}{\left| N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} ((x - \cdot) - k) \right|^{2d}} \\ &= \frac{C_d}{\left| N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} \right|^{2d} |((x - \cdot) - k)|^{2d}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: V_0 is not compactly supported

For $k = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 \left(N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} ((x - \cdot)) \right) &\leq \frac{C_d}{\left| N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} ((x - \cdot)) \right|^{2d}} \\ &\leq \frac{C_d}{\left| N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} \right|^{2d} |(x - \cdot)|^{2d}} \\ &\stackrel{|x - \cdot| \geq N^{-\frac{\beta\gamma}{d}}}{\leq} \frac{C_d \left| N^{\frac{\beta\gamma}{d}} \right|^{2d}}{\left| N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} \right|^{2d}} = \frac{N^{2\beta\gamma}}{N^\beta} \frac{C_d}{N^\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: V_0 is not compactly supported

For $k \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k \neq 0} V_0 \left(N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} ((x - \cdot) - k) \right) &\leq \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{C_d}{\left| N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} \right|^{2d} |((x - \cdot) - k)|^{2d}} \\ &= N^{-2\beta} \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{C_d}{|((x - \cdot) - k)|^{2d}} \leq C_d N^{-2\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

So, if $|x - \cdot| \geq N^{-\frac{\beta\gamma}{d}}$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}V^N(x - \cdot) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} V_0^N((x - \cdot) - k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} N^\beta V_0 \left(N^{\frac{\beta}{d}} ((x - \cdot) - k) \right) \leq C_d N^{2\beta\gamma - \beta}\end{aligned}$$

Proof: V_0 is not compactly supported

Then since that $|x - \cdot| \leq \sqrt{d}/2$, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle S_s^N, V^N(x - \cdot) |x - \cdot|^\gamma \right\rangle \right| \leq C_d N^{-\theta_1},$$

with $\theta_1 \doteq \beta(1 - 2\gamma)$.

Joining those estimates, we get

$$\left| \left\langle S_s^N, V^N(x - \cdot) |x - \cdot|^\gamma \right\rangle \right| \leq C_d N^{-\theta_1} + N^{-\theta_2} \rho_s^N(x)$$

with $\theta_1 \doteq \beta(1 - 2\gamma)$ and $\theta_2 \doteq \frac{\beta\gamma^2}{d}$.

Proof: entropy estimates

For $\epsilon > 0$ and $\Lambda > 1$, such that $\rho \in (\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda)$, a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\rho_t^N | \rho_t) - \mathcal{H}(\rho_0^N | \rho_0) &\leq C_{\epsilon, K_0, \Lambda} \int_0^t \|\nabla^2 \rho_s\|_\infty^2 \mathcal{H}(\rho_s^N | \rho_s) ds \\ &\quad + C_{\epsilon, K_0, \Lambda} \int_0^t \|\nabla \rho_s\|_\infty^2 \mathcal{H}(\rho_s^N | \rho_s) ds \\ &\quad + 4\epsilon \int_0^t \mathcal{I}(\rho_s^N | \rho_s) ds \\ &\quad + C_{\epsilon, K_0, \Lambda, d} \left[N^{-\theta_1} + N^{-\theta_2} \right] \int_0^t \|\nabla \rho_s\|_\infty^2 ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathcal{I}(\rho_s^N | \rho_s) ds \\ &\quad + tCN^{-1+\beta+2\frac{\beta}{d}} \\ &\quad + \text{MARTINGALE.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: MARTINGALE estimates

Let $\theta > 0$ and $C(m) \in [0, \infty)$ for $m \geq 1$. In addition, let Z_N , $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of random variables such that

$$(\mathbb{E} |Z_N|^m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq C(m) N^{-\theta},$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $\delta > 0$, there exists a random variable A_δ such that almost surely

$$|Z_N| \leq A_\delta N^{-\theta+\delta}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E} |A_\delta|^m < \infty,$$

for all $m \geq 1$.

Proof: MARTINGALE estimates

By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, there exists a random variable, A_0

$$MARTINGALE \leq A_0 N^{-\theta_3 + \delta},$$

which allows us to express the previous a priori estimates, as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\rho_t^N | \rho_t) - \mathcal{H}(\rho_0^N | \rho_0) &\leq C_{\epsilon, K_0, \Lambda} \int_0^t \|\nabla^2 \rho_s\|_\infty^2 \mathcal{H}(\rho_s^N | \rho_s) ds \\ &\quad + C_{\epsilon, K_0, \Lambda} \int_0^t \|\nabla \rho_s\|_\infty^2 \mathcal{H}(\rho_s^N | \rho_s) ds \\ &\quad + C_{\epsilon, K_0, \Lambda, d} \left[N^{-\theta_1} + N^{-\theta_2} \right] \int_0^t \|\nabla \rho_s\|_\infty^2 ds \\ &\quad + t C N^{-1 + \beta + 2\frac{\beta}{d}} \\ &\quad + A_0 N^{-\theta_3 + \delta} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Gronwall's inequality

An application of the Gronwall's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{H}(\rho_t^N | \rho_t) &\lesssim \left(\mathcal{H}(\rho_0^N | \rho_0) + N^{-\theta_4} \int_0^T \|\nabla \rho_t\|_\infty^2 dt + A_0 N^{-\theta_4} \right) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \rho_t\|_\infty^2 dt + \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \rho_t\|_\infty^2 dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\theta_4 \doteq \min \left(\beta(1 - 2\gamma); \frac{\beta}{d} \gamma^2; \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta \left(1 + \frac{1}{d} \right) \right) - \delta \right).$$

Thank you for your attention.