

# Orthogonal Developments on Compact Symmetric Homogeneous Manifolds of Rank 1

A. Kushpel<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computations, State University of Campinas, DM-IMECC-UNICAMP, CXP 6065, 13081-970, Campinas, SP, Brazil

## Abstract

Sharp asymptotics of the norms of Fourier projections on compact homogeneous manifolds  $\mathbb{M}^d$  of rank 1, i.e., on  $\mathbb{S}^d$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^{16}(\text{Cay})$  are established. These results extend sharp asymptotic estimates found by Fejer [ 5] in the case of  $\mathbb{S}$  in 1910 and then by Gronwall [ 7] in 1914 in the case of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

*MSC:* 41A60, 41A10, 41A35

*Keywords:* Fourier-Laplace projection, uniform convergence, polynomial, sphere

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{M}^d$  be a compact globally symmetric space of rank 1 (two-point homogeneous space),  $\nu$  its normalized volume element,  $\Delta$  its Laplace-Beltrami operator. It is well-known that the eigenvalues  $\theta_k$ ,  $k \geq 0$  of  $\Delta$  are discrete, nonnegative and form an increasing sequence  $0 \leq \theta_0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \dots \leq \theta_k \leq \dots$  with  $+\infty$  the only accumulation point. Corresponding eigenspaces  $\mathbb{H}_k$ ,  $k \geq 0$  are finite dimensional,  $d_k = \dim \mathbb{H}_k < \infty$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , orthogonal and  $L_2(\mathbb{M}^d, \nu) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_k$ . Let  $\{Y_j^k\}_{j=1}^{d_k}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{H}_k$ . Assume that  $\phi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)$  with the formal Fourier expansion

$$\phi \sim c_{0,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} c_{k,j}(\phi) Y_j^k, \quad c_{k,j}(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} \phi \overline{Y_j^k} d\nu.$$

Consider the sequence of Fourier sums

$$S_n(\phi, x) = c_{0,0} + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} c_{k,j}(\phi) Y_j^k(x).$$

The main aim of this article is to answer a fundamental question: "What is the sharp asymptotic behavior of the norms  $\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?" Observe that this question is closely connected with the problem of uniform convergence of Fourier series on  $\mathbb{M}^d$ . Indeed, let

$$E_n(\phi) = \inf \{ \|\phi - t_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} \mid t_n \in \mathcal{T}_n \}$$

---

\*Supported in part by FAPESP/Brazil, Grant 2007/56162-8.

be the best approximation of a function  $\phi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)$  by the subspace  $\mathcal{T}_n$  of polynomials of order  $\leq n$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_n = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \mathbf{H}_k$ . Then, by the Lebesgue inequality [ 11] we get

$$\|\phi - S_n(\phi, x)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} \leq (1 + \|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)}) E_n(\phi),$$

where  $\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} = \sup\{\|S_n(\phi)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} \mid \phi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)\}$ . It means that  $S_n(\phi, x)$  converges uniformly to  $\phi$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_n(\phi)}{\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)}} = 0.$$

In the case of the circle,  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , the following result has been found by Fejer in 1910 [ 5],

$$\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(t)| t = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \ln n + O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

where  $D_n$  is the Dirichlet kernel,

$$D_n(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \cos kt.$$

In the case of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , the two-dimensional unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the estimates of  $\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^2) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , have been established by Gronwall [ 7]. Namely, it was shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^2) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)} &= n^{1/2} \frac{2}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_0^\pi \sqrt{\cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} d\theta + O(1), \\ &= n^{1/2} 2^{3/2} \pi^{-1/2} + O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using a similar method, Ragozin [ 13] found a sharp order estimates in the cases of  $\mathbb{S}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , the real, complex and quaternionic projective spaces, i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{H})$  respectively. Namely, it was shown that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist such positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  that

$$C_1 n^{(d-1)/2} \leq \|S_n\|_{\infty(\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} \leq C_2 n^{(d-1)/2},$$

where  $\mathbb{M}^d$  is one of the mention above manifolds.

## 2. Harmonic Analysis on Compact Symmetric Manifolds of Rank 1

We shall be interested here in compact globally symmetric spaces of rank 1 (two-point homogeneous spaces). Such manifolds of dimension  $d$  will be denoted by  $\mathbb{M}^d$ . Each  $\mathbb{M}^d$  can be considered as the orbit space of some compact subgroup  $\mathcal{H}$  of the orthogonal group  $\mathcal{G}$ , that is  $\mathbb{M}^d = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  be the natural mapping and  $\mathbf{e}$  be the identity of  $\mathcal{G}$ . The point  $\mathbf{o} = \pi(\mathbf{e})$  which is invariant under all motions of  $\mathcal{H}$  is called the pole (or the north pole) of  $\mathbb{M}^d$ . On any such manifold there is an invariant Riemannian metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and an invariant Haar measure  $d\nu$ . Two-point homogeneous spaces admit essentially only one invariant second order differential operator, the Laplace-Beltrami

operator  $\Delta$ . A function  $Z(\cdot) : \mathbb{M}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called zonal if  $Z(h^{-1}\cdot) = Z(\cdot)$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . A complete classification of the two-point homogeneous spaces was given by Wang [16]. For information on this classification see, e.g., Cartan [3], Gangolli [6], and Helgason [8, 9]. The geometry of these spaces is in many respects similar. For example, all geodesics in a given one of these spaces are closed and have the same length  $2L$ . Here  $L$  is the diameter of  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ , i.e., the maximum distance between any two points. A function on  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  is invariant under the left action of  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  if and only if it depends only the distance of its argument from  $\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{e}\mathcal{H}$ . Since the distance of any point of  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  from  $\mathbf{e}\mathcal{H}$  is at most  $L$ , it follows that a  $\mathcal{H}$ -spherical function  $Z$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  can be identified with a function  $\tilde{Z}$  on  $[0, L]$ . Let  $\theta$  be the distance of a point from  $\mathbf{e}\mathcal{H}$ . We may choose a geodesic polar coordinate system  $(\theta, \mathbf{u})$  where  $\mathbf{u}$  is an angular parameter. In this coordinate system the radial part  $\Delta_\theta$  of the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta$  has the expression

$$\Delta_\theta = (A(\theta))^{-1} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( A(\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} \right),$$

where  $A(\theta)$  is the area of the sphere of radius  $\theta$  in  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ . It is interesting to remark that the function  $A(\theta)$  can be computed in terms of the structure of the Lie algebras of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  (see Helgason [9, p.251], [8, p.168] for the details). It can be shown that

$$A(\theta) = \omega_{\sigma+\rho+1} \lambda^{-\sigma} (2\lambda)^{-\rho} (\sin \lambda\theta)^\sigma (\sin 2\lambda\theta)^\rho,$$

where  $\omega_d$  is the area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^d &: \sigma = 0, \rho = d - 1, \lambda = \pi/2L, d = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}) &: \sigma = 0, \rho = d - 1, \lambda = \pi/4L, d = 2, 3, 4, \dots; \\ \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C}) &: \sigma = d - 2, \rho = 1, \lambda = \pi/2L, d = 4, 6, 8, \dots; \\ \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{H}) &: \sigma = d - 4, \rho = 3, \lambda = \pi/2L, d = 8, 12, \dots; \\ \mathbb{P}^{16}(\text{Cay}) &: \sigma = 8, \rho = 7, \lambda = \pi/2L. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Now we can write the operator  $\Delta_\theta$  (up to some numerical constant) in the form

$$\Delta_\theta = \frac{1}{(\sin \lambda\theta)^\sigma (\sin 2\lambda\theta)^\rho} \frac{d}{d\theta} (\sin \lambda\theta)^\sigma (\sin 2\lambda\theta)^\rho \frac{d}{d\theta}.$$

Using a simple change of variables  $t = \cos 2\lambda\theta$ , this operator takes the form (up to a positive multiple),

$$\Delta_t = (1-t)^{-\alpha} (1+t)^{-\beta} \frac{d}{dt} (1-t)^{1+\alpha} (1+t)^{1+\beta} \frac{d}{dt}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma + \rho - 1}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\rho - 1}{2}. \tag{3}$$

We note that for all manifolds considered here

$$\alpha = \frac{d-2}{2}.$$

We will need the following statement Szegö [ 14, p.60]:

**Proposition 2.1.** *The Jacobi polynomials  $y = P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  satisfy the following linear homogeneous differential equation of the second order:*

$$(1 - t^2)y'' + (\beta - \alpha - (\alpha + \beta + 2)t)y' + k(k + \alpha + \beta + 1)y = 0,$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dt}((1 - t)^{\alpha+1}(1 - t)^{\beta+1}y') + k(k + \alpha + \beta + 1)(1 - t)^\alpha(1 + t)^\beta y = 0.$$

It follows from the above proposition that the eigenfunctions of the operator  $\Delta_t$  which has been defined in (2) are well-known Jacobi polynomials  $P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$  and the corresponding eigenvalues are  $\theta_k = -k(k + \alpha + \beta + 1)$ . In this way zonal  $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant functions on  $\mathbb{M}^d = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  can be easily identified in each of the five cases above since the elementary zonal functions are eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. We shall call them  $Z_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $Z_0 \equiv 1$ . Let  $\tilde{Z}_k$  be the corresponding functions induced on  $[0, L]$  by  $Z_k$ . Then

$$\tilde{Z}_k(\theta) = C_k(\mathbb{M}^d)P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos 2\lambda\theta), \quad (4)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  has been specified above for all  $\mathbb{M}^d$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , if  $\mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{S}^d$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^{16}(\text{Cay})$ . If  $\mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})$ , then only the polynomials of even degree appear because, due to the identification of antipodal points on  $\mathbb{S}^d$ , only the even order polynomials  $P_k^{(\alpha,\alpha)}$ ,  $k = 2m$  can be lifted to be functions on  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})$ .

For example, in the case of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  we have  $\sigma = 0$ ,  $\rho = d - 1$  so  $\alpha = \beta = (d - 2)/2$  and the polynomials  $P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  reduce to  $P_k^{((d-2)/2, (d-2)/2)}$  which is a multiple of the Gegenbauer polynomial  $P_k^{(d-1)/2}$ . A detailed treatment of the Jacobi polynomials can be found in Szegö [ 14]. We remark that the Jacobi polynomials  $P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\beta > -1$  are orthogonal with respect to  $\omega^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = c^{-1}(1 - t)^\alpha(1 + t)^\beta$  on  $(-1, 1)$ . The above constant  $c$  can be found using the normalization condition  $\int_{\mathbb{M}^d} d\nu = 1$  for the invariant measure  $d\nu$  on  $\mathbb{M}^d$  and a well-known formula for the Euler integral of the first kind

$$B(p, q) = \int_0^1 \xi^{p-1}(1 - \xi)^{q-1}d\xi = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p + q)}, \quad p > 0, \quad q > 0. \quad (5)$$

Applying (5) and a simple change of variables we get

$$1 = \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} d\nu = \int_{-1}^1 \omega^{\alpha,\beta}(t)dt = c^{-1} \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha(1 + t)^\beta dt,$$

so that,

$$c = \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha(1 + t)^\beta dt = 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2)}. \quad (6)$$

We normalize the Jacobi polynomials as follows:

$$P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1) = \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(k + 1)}.$$

This way of normalization is coming from the definition of Jacoby polynomials using the generating function Szegö [ 14, p.69].

Let  $L_p(\mathbb{M}^d)$  be the set of functions of finite norm given by

$$\|\varphi\|_p = \|\varphi\|_{L_p(\mathbb{M}^d)} = \begin{cases} (\int_{\mathbb{M}^d} |\varphi(x)|^p d\nu(x))^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \text{ess sup}\{|\varphi(x)| \mid x \in \mathbb{M}^d\}, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Further, let  $U_p = \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{M}^d), \|\varphi\|_p \leq 1\}$  be the unit ball of the space  $L_p(\mathbb{M}^d)$ . The Hilbert space  $L_2(\mathbb{M}^d)$  with usual scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\nu(x)$$

has the decomposition

$$L_2(\mathbb{M}^d) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k,$$

where  $H_k$  is the eigenspace of the Laplace-Beltrami operator corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\theta_k = -k(k + \alpha + \beta + 1)$ . Let  $\{Y_j^k\}_{j=1}^{d_k}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H_k$ . The following addition formula is known Koornwinder [ 10]

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d_k} Y_j^k(x) \overline{Y_j^k(y)} = \tilde{Z}_k(\cos 2\lambda\theta), \quad (7)$$

where  $\theta = d(x, y)$  or comparing (7) with (4) we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d_k} Y_j^k(x) \overline{Y_j^k(y)} = \tilde{Z}_k(\cos \theta) = C_k(\mathbb{M}^d) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos 2\lambda\theta). \quad (8)$$

### 3. Sets of smooth functions and multiplier operators on $\mathbb{M}^d$

Using multiplier operators we can introduce a wide range of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{M}^d$ . Let  $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{M}^d)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , with the formal Fourier expansion

$$\varphi \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} c_{k,j}(\varphi) Y_j^k, \quad c_{k,j}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} \varphi \overline{Y_j^k} d\nu.$$

Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of real (complex) numbers. If for any  $\phi \in L_p(\mathbb{M}^d)$  there is a function  $f(x) := \Lambda\phi(x) \in L_q(\mathbb{M}^d)$  such that

$$f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} c_{k,j}(\phi) Y_j^k,$$

then we shall say that the multiplier operator  $\Lambda$  is of  $(p, q)$ -type with norm  $\|\Lambda\|_{p,q} := \sup_{\varphi \in U_p} \|\Lambda\varphi\|_q$ . We shall say that the function  $f$  is in  $\Lambda U_p \oplus \mathbb{R}$  if

$$\Lambda\phi = f \sim c + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} c_{k,j}(\phi) Y_j^k,$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in U_p$ . In particular, the  $\gamma$ -th fractional integral ( $\gamma > 0$ ) of a function  $\varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{M}^d)$  is defined by the sequence  $\lambda_k = (k(k + \alpha + \beta + 1))^{-\gamma/2}$ . Sobolev's classes  $W_p^\gamma(\mathbb{M}^d)$  on  $\mathbb{M}^d$  are defined as sets of functions with formal Fourier expansions

$$c + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k(k + \alpha + \beta + 1))^{-\gamma/2} \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} c_{k,j}(\phi) Y_j^k,$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\|\phi\|_p \leq 1$ . Let  $Z$  be a zonal integrable function on  $\mathbb{M}^d$ . For any integrable function  $g$  we can define convolution  $h$  on  $\mathbb{M}^d$  as the following

$$h(\cdot) = (Z * g)(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} Z(\cos(2\lambda d(\cdot, x)))g(x) d\nu(x).$$

For the convolution on  $\mathbb{M}^d$  we have Young's inequality

$$\|(z * g)\|_q \leq \|z\|_p \|g\|_r, \quad (9)$$

where  $1/q = 1/p + 1/r - 1$  and  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ . It is possible to show that for any  $\gamma > 0$  the function

$$G_\gamma = G_{\gamma, \eta} \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k(k + \alpha + \beta + 1))^{-\gamma/2} Z_k^\eta \quad (10)$$

with pole  $\eta$  is integrable on  $\mathbb{M}^d$  and for any function  $g \in W_p^\gamma(\mathbb{M}^d)$  we have an integral representation

$$g = C + G_\gamma * \phi,$$

where  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi \in U_p$ .

#### 4. The Orthogonal Projection

The main result of this article is the following statement.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{S}^d, \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{H}), \mathbb{P}^{16}(\text{Cay})$ , then for the norms of orthogonal projections the following is true*

$$\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} = \mathcal{K}n^{(d-1)/2} + O(n^{(d-3)/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $d \geq 2$  and

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{M}^d) = \frac{4}{\pi^{3/2}\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \eta)^{(d-3)/2} (\cos \eta)^{\chi(\mathbb{M}^d)} d\eta,$$

where

$$\chi(\mathbb{M}^d) = \begin{cases} (d-1)/2, & \mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{S}^d, \quad d = 2, 3, 4, \dots, \\ 1/2, & \mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C}), \quad d = 4, 6, 8, \dots, \\ 2, & \mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{H}), \quad d = 8, 12, 16, \dots, \\ 7/2, & \mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{P}^{16}(\text{Cay}). \end{cases}$$

If  $\mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $d = 2, 3, \dots$ , then

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})) = \frac{2^{-d/2+3}}{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \eta)^{-1/2} \sin(\eta/2 + \pi/4) d\eta.$$

**Proof.** Consider the case  $\mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{S}^d, \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{P}^d(H), \mathbb{P}^{16}(\text{Cay})$  first. We will need an explicit representation for the constant  $C_k(\mathbb{M}^d)$  defined in (8) for our applications. Putting  $y = x$  in (8) and then integrating both sides with respect to  $d\nu(x)$  we get

$$d_k = \dim H_k = \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} |Y_j^k(x)|^2 d\nu(x) = C_k(\mathbb{M}^d) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1). \quad (11)$$

Taking the square of both sides of (8) and then integrating with respect to  $d\nu(x)$  we find

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d_k} |Y_j^k(y)|^2 = C_k^2(\mathbb{M}^d) \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} \left( P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos(2\lambda d(x, y))) \right)^2 d\nu(x). \quad (12)$$

Since  $d\nu$  is shift invariant then

$$\int_{\mathbb{M}^d} \left( P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos(2\lambda d(x, y))) \right)^2 d\nu(x) = c^{-1} \|P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_2^2,$$

where the constant  $c$  is defined by (6) and (see [14, p.68])

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_2^2 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \right)^2 (1-t)^\alpha (1+t)^\beta dt \\ &= \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{2k + \alpha + \beta + 1} \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1) \Gamma(k + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(k + 1) \Gamma(k + \alpha + \beta + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

So that, (12) can be written in the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d_k} |Y_j^k(y)|^2 = c^{-1} C_k^2(\mathbb{M}^d) \|P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_2^2.$$

Integrating the last line with respect to  $d\nu(y)$  we obtain

$$d_k = c^{-1} C_k^2(\mathbb{M}^d) \|P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_2^2.$$

It is sufficient to compare this with (11) to obtain

$$C_k(\mathbb{M}^d) = \frac{c P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}{\|P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_2^2}. \quad (13)$$

We get now an integral representation for the Fourier sums  $S_n(\phi, x)$  of a function  $\phi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)$ ,

$$S_n(\phi, x) = c_0(\phi) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} c_{k,j}(\phi) Y_j^k(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} \phi(y) \overline{Y_1^0(y)} d\mu(y) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} \left( \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} \phi(y) \overline{Y_j^k(y)} d\mu(y) \right) Y_j^k(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} \overline{Y_j^k(y)} Y_j^k(x) \right) \phi(y) d\mu(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} \sum_{k=0}^n Z_k^x(y) \phi(y) d\mu(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} K_n(x, y) \phi(y) d\mu(y), \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n Z_k^x(y). \tag{15}$$

By (4) and (13) we have

$$K_n(x, y) = c \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}{\|P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_2^2} P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos 2\lambda d(x, y)).$$

Let us denote

$$G_n(\gamma, \delta) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\gamma) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\delta)}{\|P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_2^2},$$

then Szegö [14, p.71],

$$\begin{aligned}
G_n(\gamma, 1) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\gamma) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}{\|P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_2^2} \\
&= 2^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\gamma). \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

It means that the kernel function (15) in the integral representation (14) can be written in the form

$$K_n(x, y) = c 2^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\cos 2\lambda d(x, y)).$$

Let  $\mathbf{o}$  be the north pole of  $\mathbb{M}^d$ , then since  $K_n$  is a zonal function and  $d\nu$  is shift invariant,

$$\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} = \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} \leq 1} \|S_n(\phi, x)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} |K_n(x, y)| d\nu(y) : x \in \mathbb{M}^d \right\} \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} |K_n(\mathbf{o}, y)| d\nu(y) \\
 &= c 2^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \int_{\mathbb{M}^d} |P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\cos 2\lambda d(\mathbf{o}, y))| d\nu(y) \\
 &= c c^{-1} 2^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \int_{-1}^1 |P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(t)|(1-t)^\alpha(1+t)^\beta dt \\
 &= \frac{2^{-\alpha-\beta-1}\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \int_0^\pi |P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\cos \eta)| \left(2 \sin^2 \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^\alpha \left(2 \cos^2 \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^\beta \sin \eta d\eta \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \int_0^\pi |P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\cos \eta)| \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+1} \left(\cos \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{2\beta+1} d\eta \\
 &= \left( \frac{I_n}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) (n^{\alpha+1} + O(n^\alpha)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_n := \int_0^\pi |P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\cos \eta)| \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+1} \left(\cos \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{2\beta+1} d\eta. \tag{18}$$

It is known Szegö [ 14, p.196] that for  $0 < \theta < \pi$ ,

$$P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\cos \eta) = n^{-1/2} \kappa(\eta) \cos(N\eta + \gamma) + O(n^{-3/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{19}$$

where

$$\kappa(\eta) = \pi^{-1/2} \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{-\alpha-3/2} \left(\cos \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{-\beta-1/2}$$

and

$$N = n + 1 + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}, \quad \gamma = -\frac{\alpha + 3/2}{2}\pi = -\frac{d + 1}{4}\pi.$$

Comparing (17) - (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_n &= \pi^{-1/2} n^{-1/2} \int_0^\pi \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1/2} \left(\cos \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{\beta+1/2} \\
 &\times \left| \cos \left( \left( n + \frac{\alpha + \beta + 2}{2} \right) \eta - \frac{d-1}{4} \pi \right) \right| d\eta + O(n^{-3/2}) \\
 &= 2\pi^{-3/2} n^{-1/2} \int_0^\pi \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1/2} \left(\cos \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{\beta+1/2} d\eta + O(n^{-3/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

Put  $\chi(\mathbb{M}^d) = \beta + 1/2$ , then from (17) and (20) it follows that

$$\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(M\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} = \mathcal{K}n^{\alpha+1/2} + O(n^{\alpha-1/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{M}^d) &= \frac{2}{\pi^{3/2}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^\pi \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1/2} \left(\cos \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{\beta+1/2} d\eta \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^{3/2}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \eta)^{\alpha-1/2} (\cos \eta)^{\beta+1/2} d\eta \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^{3/2}\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \eta)^{(d-3)/2} (\cos \eta)^{\chi(\mathbb{M}^d)} d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha = (d-2)/2$  for all the manifolds under the consideration. Therefore,

$$\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)} = \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{M}^d)n^{(d-1)/2} + O(n^{(d-3)/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

Finally, the value of  $\chi(\mathbb{M}^d)$ , where  $\mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{S}^d, \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{H}), \mathbb{P}^{16}(\text{Cay})$ , can be easily calculated using (1) and (3).

The case of  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})$  needs a special treatment. In this case  $\alpha = \beta = (d-2)/2$ ,  $\lambda = \pi/(4L)$  and the kernel function  $K_{2n}^*(x, y)$  in the integral representation for the Fourier sums,

$$S_{2n}(\phi, x) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})} K_{2n}^*(x, y)\phi(y)d\nu(y)$$

has the form

$$\begin{aligned} K_{2n}^*(x, y) &= \sum_{k=0}^n Z_{2k}^x(y) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n C_{2k}(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}))P_{2k}^{(\alpha, \alpha)} \cos(2\lambda d(x, y)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n C_{2k}(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}))P_{2k}^{((d-2)/2, (d-2)/2)} \left( \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} d(x, y) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{o}$  be the north pole of  $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})$ , then since  $K_{2n}^*$  is a zonal function and  $d\nu$  is shift invariant,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{2n}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}))} &= \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}))} \leq 1} \|S_{2n}(\phi, x)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}))} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})} |K_{2n}^*(x, y)|d\nu(y) : x \in \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})} |K_{2n}^*(\mathbf{o}, y)|d\nu(y). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Consider the function

$$G_{2n}^*(\gamma, 1) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_{2k}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\gamma) P_{2k}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(1)}{\|P^{(\alpha, \alpha)}\|_2^2}.$$

Since  $P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\gamma) = (-1)^k P_k^{(\beta, \alpha)}(-\gamma)$ , Szegö [14, p.59], then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2n}^*(\gamma, 1) &= \frac{G_{2n}(\gamma, 1) + G_{2n}(-\gamma, 1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{2^{-(d-2)}\Gamma(n+d)}{\Gamma(d/2)\Gamma(n+d/2)} \left( P_{2n}^{(d/2, (d-2)/2)}(\gamma) + P_{2n}^{(d/2, (d-2)/2)}(-\gamma) \right) \\ &= \frac{2^{-(d-2)}\Gamma(n+d)}{\Gamma(d/2)\Gamma(n+d/2)} \left( P_{2n}^{(d/2, (d-2)/2)}(\gamma) + P_{2n}^{((d-2)/2, d/2)}(\gamma) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_{2n}(\gamma, 1)$  is defined in (16). Consequently, (21) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S_{2n}\|_{L_\infty(P^d(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow L_\infty(P^d(\mathbb{R}))} \\ &= \frac{2^{-(d-2)}\Gamma(n+d)}{\Gamma(d/2)\Gamma(n+d/2)} \\ &\times \int_{P^d(\mathbb{R})} \left| P_{2n}^{(d/2, (d-2)/2)}(\cos(\pi d(\mathbf{o}, y)/(4L))) + P_{2n}^{((d-2)/2, d/2)}(\cos(\pi d(\mathbf{o}, y)/(4L))) \right| d\nu(y) \\ &= \frac{2^{-(d-2)}\Gamma(n+d)}{\Gamma(d/2)\Gamma(n+d/2)} \int_0^1 \left| P_{2n}^{(d/2, (d-2)/2)}(t) + P_{2n}^{((d-2)/2, d/2)}(t) \right| (1-t^2)^{(d-2)/2} dt \\ &= \frac{2^{-(d-2)}\Gamma(n+d)}{\Gamma(d/2)\Gamma(n+d/2)} I'_n, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$I'_n := \int_0^{\pi/2} \left| P_{2n}^{(d/2, (d-2)/2)}(\cos \eta) + P_{2n}^{((d-2)/2, d/2)}(\cos \eta) \right| (\sin \eta)^{d/2} d\eta$$

Applying (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I'_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi n^{1/2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \eta)^{d/2} (\cos(\eta/2) + \sin(\eta/2)) \\ &\times (\sin(\eta/2) \cos(\eta/2))^{-d/2-1/2} \cos((2n+(d-1)/2)\eta - (d-1)\pi/4) d\eta + O(n^{-3/2}) \\ &= 2^{d/2} \pi^{-1/2} n^{-1/2} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \eta)^{-1/2} \sin(\eta/2 + \pi/4) \cos((2n+(d-1)/2)\eta - (d-1)\pi/4) d\eta \\ &+ O(n^{-3/2}) \\ &= 2^{d/2+1} \pi^{-3/2} n^{-1/2} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \eta)^{-1/2} \sin(\eta/2 + \pi/4) d\eta + O(n^{-3/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (22) with the last line we get

$$\|S_{2n}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}))} = \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})) n^{(d-1)/2} + O(n^{(d-3)/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R})) = \frac{2^{-d/2+3}}{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \eta)^{-1/2} \sin(\eta/2 + \pi/4) d\eta.$$

□

**Remark 1.** Let  $\mathbb{M}^d = \mathbb{S}^d, \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{H}), \mathbb{P}^{16}(\text{Cay})$ . It is known [ 1], [ 2] that for any  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$E_n(W_\infty^\gamma(\mathbb{M}^d)) := \sup\{E_n(f) \mid f \in W_\infty^\gamma(\mathbb{M}^d)\} \asymp n^{-\gamma}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From the Theorem 1 and the Lebesgue inequality it follows that the Fourier series of a function  $f \in W_\infty^\gamma(\mathbb{M}^d)$  converges uniformly if  $\gamma > (d-1)/2$ . In general, let  $\Delta^0 \lambda_k = \lambda_k$ ,  $\Delta^1 \lambda_k = \lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}$ ,  $\Delta^{s+1} \lambda_k = \Delta^s \lambda_k - \Delta^s \lambda_{k+1}$ ,  $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$N := \begin{cases} (d+1)/2, & d = 3, 5, \dots, \\ (d+2)/2, & d = 2, 4, \dots \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a multiplier operator,  $\Lambda : L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)$  and  $\Lambda U_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)$  be the respective set of smooth functions, then from the Theorem 2, [ 2, p.317] it follows that the Fourier series of a function  $f \in \Lambda U_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)$  converges uniformly if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{(d-1)/2} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\Delta^{N+1} \lambda_k| k^N = 0,$$

since  $E_n(\Lambda U_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)) \ll \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\Delta^{N+1} \lambda_k| k^N$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In particular, let

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \lambda_k = k^{-(d-1)/2} (\ln k)^{-\alpha},$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ , then the Fourier series of any function  $f \in \Lambda U_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)$  converges uniformly.

**Remark 2.** Let, in particular,  $\mathbb{M}^2 = \mathbb{S}^2$ , the two-dimensional sphere, then

$$\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^2) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)} = n^{1/2} \frac{4}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_0^\pi (\cot \eta)^{1/2} d\eta + O(1)$$

$$= n^{1/2} 2^{3/2} \pi^{-1/2}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

since (see, e.g., Gronwall [ 7]),

$$\int_0^\pi (\cot \eta)^{1/2} d\eta = 2^{-1/2} \pi.$$

If  $\mathbb{M}^3 = \mathbb{S}^3$ , then

$$\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^3) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^3)} = n \frac{8}{\pi^2} + O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $\mathbb{M}^4 = \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})$ , the complex projective space, then

$$\|S_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C}))} = n^{3/2} \frac{2^{1/2}}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_0^\pi (\sin \eta)^{1/2} d\eta + O(n^{1/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

## REFERENCES

1. B. Bordin, A. Kushpel, J. Levesley, S. Tozoni,  $n$ -Widths of Multiplier Operators on Two-Point Homogeneous Spaces, In: Approx. Th. IX, v.1, Theoretical Aspects, C. Chui and L. L. Schumaker (eds.), Vanderbilt Univ. Press, Nashville, TN, (1998) 23-30.
2. B. Bordin, A. Kushpel, J. Levesley, S. Tozoni, Estimates of  $n$ -Widths of Sobolev's Classes on Compact Globally Symmetric Spaces of Rank 1, J. Funct. Anal. 202 (2003) 307-326.
3. E. Cartan, Sur la determination d'un systeme orthogonal complet dans un espace de Riemann symetrique clos, Rendiconti Circ. mat. di Palermo, 53 (1929) 217-252.
4. A. Erdélyi, (eds.), Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
5. L. Fejer, Lebesguesche Konstanten und divergente Fourierreihen, J. für reine und angew. Math. 138 (1910) 22-53.
6. R. Gangolli, Positive definite kernels on homogeneous spaces and certain stochastic processes related to Lévy's Browian motion of several parameters, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 3 (1967)(2) 121-225.
7. T.H. Gronwall, On the degree of convergence of Laplace series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1914)(1) 1-30.
8. S. Helgason, The Radon Transform on Euclidean spaces, compact two-point homogeneous spaces and Grassmann manifolds, Acta mat. 113 (1965) 153-180.
9. S. Helgason, (eds.), Differential geometry and symmetric spaces", Academic Press, New York, 1962.
10. T. Koornwinder, The addition formula for Jacobi Polynomials and spherical harmonics, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 25 (1973)(2) 236-246.
11. H. Lebesgue, Sur les intégrales singulières, Ann. de Toulouse 1 (1909) 25-117.
12. F.D. Murnaghan (eds.), The Unitary and Rotation Groups, Spartan Books, Washington, D. C., 1962.
13. D.L. Ragozin, Uniform convergence of spherical harmonic expansions, Math. Ann. 195 (1972) 87-94.
14. G. Szegő(eds.), Orthogonal Polynomials, AMS, New York, 1939.
15. N.J. Vilenkin (eds.), Special functions and theory of representation of groups, Nauka, Moscow, 1965.
16. H.C. Wang, Two-point homogeneous spaces, Annals of Math. 55 (1952) 177-191.