

Product of harmonic maps is harmonic: a stochastic approach

Pedro J. Catuogno¹
and
Paulo R. C. Ruffino²

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Estadual de Campinas,
13.081-970 - Campinas - SP, Brazil.*

Abstract

Let $\phi_j : M_j \rightarrow G$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be harmonic mappings from Riemannian manifolds M_j to a Lie group G . Then the product $\phi_1\phi_2 \dots \phi_n$ is a harmonic mapping between $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_n$ and G . The proof is a combination of properties of Brownian motion in manifolds and Itô formulae for stochastic exponential and logarithm of product of semimartingales in Lie groups.

Key words: Harmonic mappings, Lie groups, semimartingales.

MSC2000 subject classification: 58E20, 22E15, 60G05, 60H05.

1 Introduction

Let (M, g) and (N, h) be two compact Riemannian manifolds and consider $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ a C^∞ -differentiable map. The *energy functional* (or *action integral*) of ϕ is defined as the integral of the *density energy function* $e(\phi)(x)$:

$$E(\phi) = \int_M e(\phi)(x) dv_g,$$

where $e(\phi)(x) = 1/2 \operatorname{tr}_g(\phi^*h)(x)$ and v_g is the Riemannian volume on M . A physical interpretation of the energy functional E could be the accumulated elastic energy on M , when this space is stretched on N .

¹E-mail: pedroj@ime.unicamp.br. Research partially supported by FAPESP grant n° 01/13158-4.

²E-mail: ruffino@ime.unicamp.br. Research partially supported by CNPq grant n° 301112/2003 - 7.

We say that ϕ is a *harmonic mapping* if ϕ is a critical point of the functional E . The Euler-Lagrange formula in this case can be written in terms of the connections ∇^M and ∇^N on M and N , respectively: namely ϕ is harmonic if and only if the tension field $\tau(\phi)(x) = \text{tr}(\tilde{\nabla}\phi_* - \phi_*\nabla^M)$ vanishes everywhere in M , where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the induced connection on the induced bundle $\phi^{-1}TN$, (see e.g., Urakawa [11]). The definition of harmonic mappings extends naturally to non-compact manifolds just considering the critical property of the energy functional locally on M . In particular, we recall that geodesics are harmonic mappings from the real line to a Riemannian manifold.

Variational problems has been, historically, a non-trivial area of interest both for mathematicians and physicists. In the last couple of decades many important contributions on harmonic mappings were done, see e.g., in one of the seminal papers, Calabi construction of harmonic mappings from 2-spheres into symmetric spaces [1]. For a classical text we refer to Eells and Lemaire [3]. For an approach of harmonic mappings into Lie groups see, e.g. Uhlenbeck [10]. This article is a contribution in the topic which comes as an application of stochastic tools in geometry.

Here we consider harmonic mappings with image in a Lie group G with a bi-invariant Riemannian metric. In this case the associated (Levi-Civita) left invariant connections on G , denoted by ∇^L , satisfies $\nabla_X^L Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]$ for all X, Y left invariant vector fields in the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} , see e.g. Cheeger and Ebin [2].

The aim of this paper is to present a direct stochastic proof that product of harmonic mappings is a harmonic mapping. More precisely: given $\phi_j : M_j \rightarrow G$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, harmonic mappings between Riemannian manifolds M_j and a Lie group G with bi-invariant Riemannian metric, then the product $\phi_1\phi_2 \dots \phi_n$ is a harmonic mapping between $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_n$ and G . The proof is a combination of properties of Brownian motion in manifolds and Itô formulae for stochastic exponential and logarithm of product of semimartingales in Lie groups. Although we assume that the group has a bi-invariant metric, one can easily verify that our argument also holds for any Lie group considering the left connection $\nabla_X^L Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]$ if $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}$.

We recall that product of harmonic mappings appears also in other contexts, we mention here harmonic functions on Lie groups with respect to a Radon probability measure μ on G , see e.g. Furstenberg [5]. A function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called μ -harmonic if

$$f(g) = \int_G f(gh) d\mu(h),$$

for every g in G . If $f_1 : (G_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $f_2 : (G_2, \mu_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are harmonic functions, then Fubini theorem implies that $f_1 f_2 : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$ -harmonic function.

In Section 2 we recall some basic facts and formulae on stochastic calculus in Lie groups. We refer mainly to Hakim-Dowek and Lépingle [6], nevertheless, it may happen that someone finds the proofs presented here simpler than in [6]. We shall use these formulae in Section 3, where we prove the main results.

2 Preliminary results

Let M be a Riemannian manifold and consider $\theta_{X_t} \in T_{X_t}^* M$ an adapted stochastic 1-form along X_t , an M -valued semimartingale. The integral of the form θ along X was proposed by Ikeda and Manabe [7] (see also, among others, Emery [4] or Meyer [9]). This integral is geometrically intrinsic, and it has a natural description in local charts: let (U, x^1, \dots, x^n) be a local system of coordinates in M , then θ can be written as $\theta_x = \theta^1(x) dx^1 + \dots + \theta^n(x) dx^n$, where $\theta^i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are (C^∞ , say) functions in M . The Stratonovich integral of θ along X_t is given by:

$$\int \theta \circ dX_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \int \theta^i(X_t) \circ dX_t^i.$$

Let G be a Lie group with the corresponding Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . We denote by ω the (left) Maurer-Cartan form in G , i.e. if $v \in T_g G$, then $\omega_g(v) = L_{g^{-1}*}(v)$. It corresponds to the unique \mathcal{G} -valued left invariant 1-form in G .

The logarithm of a process X_t on G (with $X_0 = e$) is the integral of the Maurer-Cartan form along X_t , namely, it is the following semimartingale in the Lie algebra:

$$(\log X)_t = \int_0^t \omega \circ dX_s.$$

Conversely, consider a semimartingale M_t in the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . We recall that the (left) stochastic exponential $\epsilon(M)$ of M_t is the stochastic process X_t which is solution of the Stratonovich left invariant equation on G :

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = L_{X_t*} \circ dM_t, \\ X_0 = e. \end{cases}$$

An interesting geometric characterization of the exponential $\epsilon(M)$ is the fact that it corresponds to the stochastic development of $M_t \in T_e G$ to the group

G with respect to the left invariant connection ∇^L . One easily checks that the logarithm is the inverse of the stochastic exponential ϵ .

Martingales in G (with respect to ∇^L -connection) and local martingales in the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} are related by the following characterization, see Hakim-Dowek and Lépingle [6]:

Theorem 2.1 *A process X_t on G is a ∇^L -martingale if and only if $X_t = X_0 \cdot \epsilon(M)$ for some local martingale M in \mathcal{G} .*

The pull-back of Maurer-Cartan forms by homomorphisms of Lie groups is easily described by:

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of Lie groups. Then the pull-back $\varphi^*\omega_H$ satisfies, for $v \in T_gG$:*

$$(\varphi^*\omega_H)v = \varphi_*(\omega_G(v)).$$

In particular, if X is a semimartingale in G , then $\varphi_(\log X) = \log(\varphi(X))$.*

Proof:

Once $\varphi(L_{g^{-1}}(h)) = L_{\varphi(g)^{-1}}(\varphi(h))$, chain rule implies that

$$L_{\varphi(g)^{-1}*}(\varphi_*(v)) = \varphi_*(L_{g^{-1}*}(v)).$$

For the last formula, by definition: $\log \varphi(X) = \int \varphi^*\omega_H \circ dX$. The result follows directly by the first part of the Lemma and the very definition of $\varphi_* \log X$. □

Denote by $I_g : G \rightarrow G$ the adjoint in the group G given by $h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$. The map I_g is an automorphism of G and its derivative corresponds to the isomorphism of the Lie algebra called adjoint in \mathcal{G} denoted by $Ad(g) = I_{g*} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. We have that $R_g^* \omega = Ad(g^{-1})\omega$ (see e.g. Kobayashi and Nomizu [8]). The pull-back of the canonical form by multiplication and inverse is given by:

Proposition 2.2 *Let $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ be the multiplication and $i : G \rightarrow G$ be the inverse in the group. Then the pull-backs satisfy:*

- a) $m^*\omega = Ad^{-1}(\pi_2)(\pi_1^*\omega) + \pi_2^*\omega;$
- b) $i^*\omega = -Ad \omega.$

Proof:

Let $w = (u, v) \in T_{(g,h)}G \times G \simeq T_gG \times T_hG$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
m^*\omega(w) &= \omega(m_*w) = \omega(R_{h*}u + L_{g*}v) \\
&= L_{(gh)^{-1}*}(R_{h*}u + L_{g*}v) \\
&= L_{h^{-1}*}R_{h*}L_{g^{-1}*}u + L_{h^{-1}*}L_{g^{-1}*}L_{g*}v \\
&= Ad(h^{-1})\omega(u) + \omega(v).
\end{aligned}$$

For the inverse function, consider the diagonal map $\Delta : G \rightarrow G \times G$ given by $\Delta(g) = (g, g)$. We have that $m \circ (Id \times i) \circ \Delta = e$, then the pull-back $(m \circ (Id \times i) \circ \Delta)^*\omega = 0$ which implies, using the formula of item (a), that

$$Ad\omega + i^*\omega = 0$$

□

Lemma 2.2 *Given semimartingales X and Y in G , we have the following Itô formulas:*

- a) $\log(XY) = \int Ad(Y^{-1}) \circ d(\log X) + \log Y$;
- b) $\log(X^{-1}) = - \int Ad(X) \circ d(\log X)$.

Proof:

The first formula follows from the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(XY) &= \int \omega \circ dm(X, Y) \\
&= \int m^*\omega \circ d(X, Y) \\
&= \int (Ad^{-1}(\pi_2)\pi_1^*\omega + \pi_2^*\omega) \circ d(X, Y) \\
&= \int Ad(Y^{-1}) \circ d(\int \omega \circ dX) + \int \omega \circ dY \\
&= \int Ad(Y^{-1}) \circ d \log X + \log Y.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second formula, apply the identity (a) with $Y = X^{-1}$.

□

We have now a direct way to prove the stochastic Campbell-Hausdorff formula (cf. Hakim-Dowek and Lépingle [6]).

Theorem 2.3 *We have that:*

- a) $\epsilon(M + N) = \epsilon\left(\int Ad(\epsilon(N)) \circ dM\right) \epsilon(N);$
- b) $\epsilon(M)^{-1} = \epsilon\left(-\int Ad(\epsilon(M)) \circ dM\right).$

3 Harmonic mappings

Consider M and N two Riemannian manifolds and let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a C^∞ -differentiable map. The key point in stochastic geometry which matters in the question addressed in this article is the following result, due originally to Bismut:

Theorem 3.1 *Let B_t be a Brownian motion in M . Then $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a harmonic mapping if and only if its image $f(B_t)$ is a ∇^N -martingale in N .*

See, e.g. Emery [4].

Theorem 3.2 (Main result) *Let $\phi_j : M_j \rightarrow G$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be harmonic mappings from Riemannian manifolds M_j to a Lie group G (with respect to connection ∇^L). Then the product $\phi_1\phi_2 \dots \phi_n$ is a harmonic mapping between $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_n$ and G*

Proof:

It is enough to proof for $n = 2$. Consider $f_1 : M_1 \rightarrow G$ and $f_2 : M_2 \rightarrow G$ be two harmonic mappings. Let B^1 and B^2 be independent Brownian motions in M_1 and M_2 respectively. Then (B^1, B^2) is a Brownian motion in the product space $M_1 \times M_2$. We have to prove that the product $f(B^1)g(B^2)$ is a martingale in the group G . By Theorem 2.1 this product is a martingale if and only if its logarithm is a local martingale.

By the Itô formula (a) of Lemma 2.2 we have that:

$$\log(f(B^1)g(B^2)) = \int Ad(g(B^2)^{-1}) \circ d(\log f(B^1)) + \log g(B^2). \quad (1)$$

By hypothesis, the integrand $\log f(B^1)$ and the last term $\log g(B^2)$ are martingales. Moreover, the Stratonovich integral reduces to an Itô integral, since the correction term vanishes by independence of the Brownian motions. Hence $\log(f(B^1)g(B^2))$ is a martingale in the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} , hence the product $f \cdot g$ is harmonic. □

Example 1: (Product of geodesics is harmonic) Let G be a Lie group with a bi-invariant metric. Consider X_1, \dots, X_n elements of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . Then the map $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \exp(t_1 X_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \exp(t_n X_n)$$

is harmonic. Again, with $n = 2$, given (B_t^1, B_t^2) a Brownian motion on the plane \mathbb{R}^2 , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\exp(B_t^1 X_1) \exp(B_t^2 X_2)) = \\ \int_0^1 \text{Ad}((\exp(B_s^1 X_1))^{-1}) \circ d(B_s^2 X_2) + B_t^2 X_2 \end{aligned}$$

where a direct calculation shows that the correction term of the Stratonovich integral is $[X_2, X_1] d[B_t^1, B_t^2] = 0$. □

A corollary of the proof of the theorem shows a partial converse of the theorem:

Corollary 3.3 *Let $f : M \rightarrow G$ and $g : N \rightarrow G$ be two C^∞ -differentiable map. If the product $f \cdot g$ is harmonic and one of the two mappings, f or g is harmonic, then the other map is also harmonic.*

Proof: The proof follows from equation (1), where the Stratonovich integral reduces to an Itô integral. The left hand side is a martingale by hypothesis and Theorem 2.1. If f is harmonic then the integrand is a martingale, hence $\log g(B^2)$ is also a martingale and g is harmonic.

On the other hand, if g is harmonic, then the (Itô) integral is a martingale. By Doob-Meyer decomposition, it follows that $\log(f(B^1))$ is a martingale and f is harmonic. □

The factorization result of the corollary can not be improved: a harmonic product may not be product of harmonic components. Consider for example the harmonic function $f(x, y) = \sinh(x) \sin(y)$.

Example 2: (Invariance by geodesic translations) Let $f : M \rightarrow G$ be a C^∞ -differentiable map, and let X_1, \dots, X_n be elements of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . The map f is harmonic if and only if $\exp(t_1 X_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \exp(t_n X_n) \cdot f : \mathbb{R}^n \times M \rightarrow G$ is harmonic. Yet, f is harmonic if and only if $f \cdot \exp(t_1 X_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \exp(t_n X_n) : M \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow G$ is harmonic.

In particular, consider the torus $T^k = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$, k -times. If X_1, \dots, X_n are infinitesimal rotations in the respective coordinates of T^n , then a map

$f : T^m \rightarrow T^n$ is harmonic if and only if $f \cdot \exp(t_1 X_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \exp(t_n X_n)$ is harmonic.

□

References

- [1] CALABI, E. – Minimal immersions of surfaces in Euclidean spheres. *J. Diff. Geom.*, no. **1**, 111-125, 1967.
- [2] CHEEGER, J. AND EBIN, D. – *Comparison theorems in Riemannian Geometry*, North Holland, 1975.
- [3] EELLS, J. AND LEMAIRE, L. – *Selected topics in harmonic maps*, CBMS. Regional Conference Series in Math. vol. **50** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [4] EMERY, M. – *Stochastic Calculus in Manifolds*, Springer 1990.
- [5] FURSTENBERG H. – A Poisson formula for semi-simple Lie groups. *Annals of Maths.*, **77** (1963), 335-386.
- [6] HAKIM-DOWEK, M. AND LÉPINGLE, D. – L'exponentielle stochastique des groupes de Lie. Séminaire de Probabilité **XX**, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1059**, 352–374. Springer, 1986.
- [7] IKEDA, N. AND MANABE, S. – *Integral of Differential Forms along the Path of Diffusion Processes* Publ. R.I.M.S., **15**, 827–852. 1979.
- [8] KOBAYASHI, S. AND NOMIZU, K. – *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol.1. Interscience Publishers, 1969.
- [9] MEYER, P. A. – Géométrie Stochastique sans Larmes. Séminaire de Probabilité **XVI**, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **850**, 44–102. Springer, 1981.
- [10] UHLENBECK, K. – Harmonic maps into Lie groups (Classical solution of the chiral model). *J. Diff. Geom.*, no. **30** (1989), 1-50.
- [11] URAKAWA, H. – *Calculus of Variations and Harmonic Maps*. Translations on Mathematical Monographs AMS, Vol. **132**, 1993.