Invariant Control Sets on Flag Manifolds and Ideal Boundary*

Marcelo Firer Osvaldo Germano Rocio

March 19, 2002

Abstract

Let G be a semisimple real Lie group of non-compact type, K a maximal compact subgroup and $S \subseteq G$ a semigroup with nonempty interior. We consider the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty (G/K)$ of the associated symmetric space and the flag manifolds G/P_Θ. We prove that the asymptotic image $\partial_\infty (Sx_0) \subseteq \partial_\infty (G/K)$, where $x_0 \in G/K$ is any given point, is the maximal invariant control set of S in $\partial_\infty (G/K)$. Moreover there is a surjective projection $\pi : \partial_\infty (Sx_0) \to \bigcup_{\Theta \subseteq \Sigma} C_\Theta$,

*This research was partially supported by CAPES, an Instittituion of the Brazilian Government, PROCAD no. 0186/00-7,

where C_Θ is the maximal invariant control set for the action of S in the flag manifold G/P_Θ, with P_Θ a parabolic subgroup. The points that project over C_Θ are exactly the points of type Θ in $\partial_\infty(Sx_0)$ (in the sense of the type of a cell in a Tits Building).

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20M20, 93B29; Secondary 22E46.

Key words: semigroups, semi-simple Lie groups, control sets, ideal boundary.

1 Introduction

The concept of invariant control sets (i.c.s.) of a semigroup was first introduced by Arnold and Kliemann ([A-K]). We consider the special instance where G is a semisimple real Lie group of non-compact type with finite center and $S \subseteq G$ a semigroup with non-empty interior. If $P \subseteq G$ is a parabolic subgroup, the homogeneous space G/P is a compact manifold (the generalized flag manifolds). The study of invariant control sets for the left action of S on G/P has been systematically used and developed by San Martin ([SM], [SM-T]). One of the basic results in this context is the existence of a unique i.c.s., whose set of transitivity is given by the points fixed by elements in the interior of S.

From another side, we have the concept of ideal boundary $\partial_\infty(\mathcal{X})$ of an Hadamard manifold $\mathcal{X}$, that was first introduced by Eberlein and Oneil ([E-O]) as a way to compactify Hadamard manifolds. Later, the special case of a symmetric space $\mathcal{X} = G/K$ (G is again a semisimple real Lie group of non-compact type with finite center and K a maximal compact subgroup) was exploited by M. Gromov ([B-G-S]) to the study of many important results, such as Marguli's Lemma and Mostow's Rigidity Theorem.

In this article we determine the i.c.s. of a semigroup $S \subseteq G$ in the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty(G/K)$: it is just the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty(Sx_0)$, the set of points in the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty(G/K)$ that belongs to the closure on any orbit Sx_0, where x_0 is an arbitrary point of the symmetric space G/K (Theorem 5). Moreover, we consider a minimal parabolic subgroup $P \subseteq G$ and the set $\{P_\Theta | \Theta \subseteq \Sigma\}$ of parabolic subgroups of G containing P (here Σ is a simple root system determined by P). Then, to each such Θ there is a flag manifold G/P_Θ and a (unique) i.c.s. $C_\Theta \subseteq G/P_\Theta$. All those i.c.s. are incorporated in $\partial_\infty(Sx_0)$ (Theorem 4) in the sense there is a surjective projection $\pi : \partial_\infty(Sx_0) \to \bigcup_{\Theta \subseteq \Sigma} C_\Theta$.

2 Basic Constructions

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a symmetric space of non-compact type. We let $G = \mathrm{Isom}^0(\mathcal{X})$ be the identity component of the isometry group of $\mathcal{X}$ and K the stabilizer (in G) of a point $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $\mathcal{X} = G/K$, G is a *real semi-simple Lie group* and K a *maximal compact* subgroup of G. The choice of the base point is immaterial, since their stabilizers are conjugated in G. In this section we introduce the main concepts and notations concerning semisimple Lie algebras and groups and associated symmetric space. The standard reference for this section is [He].

2.1 Lie Algebra Structure

Since G is semi-simple the *Cartan-Killing form*

$$B(X,Y) = \mathrm{Tr}\,(\mathrm{adX} \circ \mathrm{adY})$$

is a non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G. If we denote by $\mathfrak{k}$ the Lie algebra of K and by $\mathfrak{x}$ its orthogonal complement we get a *Cartan decomposition* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{x}$ (direct sum), with $[\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$, $[\mathfrak{x},\mathfrak{x}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$ and $[\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{x}] \subseteq \mathfrak{x}$. We get another Cartan decompositions if we consider another maximal compact subgroup $K' \subset G$. All such subgroups are conjugated and so are its algebras: $\mathfrak{k}' = \mathrm{Ad}\,(\exp X)\,\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{ad}\,(X)\,(\mathfrak{k})$, for some $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

A Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ is an automorphism $\nu : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$\nu\,(X_{\mathfrak{k}} + X_{\mathfrak{x}}) = X_{\mathfrak{k}} - X_{\mathfrak{x}},$$

where $X_{\mathfrak{k}} + X_{\mathfrak{x}}$ is the decomposition of X relative to a given Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. The quadratic form

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = -B\,(X, \nu\,(Y))$$

is a positive definite quadratic form on $\mathfrak{g}$ invariant under the action of $\mathrm{Ad}\,(K)$.

We choose (and fix) a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{x}$. The *rank* $\mathrm{r}\,(\mathfrak{g})$ is the dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$. The rank does not depend on the choices made of $\mathfrak{x}$ or $\mathfrak{a}$. The *root space decomposition* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$$

where $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R})$,

$$\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{Y \in \mathfrak{g} |\, [H, Y] = \lambda\,(H)\,Y, \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}$$

and

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R})\,|\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \neq \{0\}\}\,.$$

The λ's in Λ are called *roots* of $\mathfrak{g}$ and each $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ a *root subspace*. Each root $\lambda \in \Lambda$ determines an hyperplane $\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \{H \in \mathfrak{a} | \lambda(H) = \{0\}\}$. Each component of

$$\mathfrak{a} \setminus \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}_\lambda$$

is said to be an *open Weyl chamber*. A *Weyl chamber* is the closure of an open Weyl Chamber. A Weyl chamber $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ determines a set of positive roots

$$\Pi^+ = \left\{\lambda \in \Lambda | \lambda(H) \geq 0 \text{ for every } H \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+\right\}$$

and of negative roots

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^- &= \left\{\lambda \in \Lambda | \lambda(H) \leq 0 \text{ for every } H \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+\right\} \\ &= \left\{-\lambda | \lambda \in \Pi^+\right\} \\ &= -\Pi^+.\end{aligned}$$

It also determines a set of *simple roots*, that is, a linearly independent set $\Sigma = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_r\}$ of positive roots such that every root may be written as a linear combination $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \lambda_i$ with all the m_i having the same signal: $m_i \geq 0$ if $\lambda \in \Pi^+$ and $m_i \leq 0$ if $\lambda \in \Pi^-$. Geometrically, a root λ is simple (relatively to a given chamber $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+$) if and only if $\mathcal{H}_\lambda \cap \overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ has dimension $\mathrm{r}(\mathfrak{g}) - 1$.

Given a subset $\Theta \subset \Sigma$, the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_\Theta = \{H \in \mathfrak{a} | \lambda(H) = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Theta\}.$$

is an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and every abelian subalgebra is conjugated to one of those subspaces. The intersection of $\mathcal{H}_\Theta$ with a closed Weyl chamber $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ is said to be the Θ-*wall* $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_\Theta$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+$. In fact, this wall is determined by the simple roots (relatively to $\mathfrak{a}^+$) contained in Θ, that is, $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_\Theta = \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{\Theta\cap\Sigma}$, where Σ is the set of simple roots of the chamber $\mathfrak{a}^+$. An *open wall* $\mathfrak{a}_\Theta$ is the interior of $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_\Theta$ in $\mathfrak{a}$. We denote by $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_\Theta^+$ the intersection $\mathcal{H}_\Theta \cap \overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ and call the *closed* Θ-*Weyl wall of* $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+$. The *open* Θ-*Weyl wall of* $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ is the interior $\mathfrak{a}_\Theta^+$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_\Theta^+$ in $\mathcal{H}_\Theta$.

A Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^+$ (alternatively, a set of positive roots Π^+ or a set of associated simple roots Σ) determines maximal nilpotent subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{n}^\pm = \sum_{\lambda\in\Pi^\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda.$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{m}$ the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$. A *minimal parabolic subalgebra* is any algebra conjugated in $\mathfrak{g}$ to

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+.$$

The subalgebras $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{n}^+$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ are determined by the choice of a Weyl sector $\mathfrak{a}^+$. Since all such sectors are conjugated, so will be the minimal parabolic subalgebras.

More generally, for a subset $\Theta \subseteq \Sigma$ we denote by $\mathfrak{p}_\Theta$ the *parabolic subal-*

gebra

$$\mathfrak{p}_\Theta = \mathfrak{n}^-(\Theta) \oplus \mathfrak{p}.$$

Here $\mathfrak{n}^-(\Theta)$ stands for the subalgebra spanned by the root spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{-\lambda}$, for $\lambda \in \langle\Theta\rangle$, where $\langle\Theta\rangle$ is the set of (positive) roots generated by Θ. Particularly, $\mathfrak{p}_\emptyset = \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\Sigma = \mathfrak{g}$.

An *Iwasawa decomposition* of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$. As in the case of parabolic algebras, all Iwasawa decompositions are conjugated one to the other.

2.2 The same structure at the Lie Group

All the facts and structure of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ may transposed to the Lie group G by the exponential map and to the symmetric space $\mathcal{X} = G/K$ by the projection $\widetilde{\pi} : G \to \mathcal{X}$. We denote by x_0 the base point $\widetilde{\pi}(\mathrm{Id})$. The subspace $\mathfrak{x} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ given by the Cartan decomposition is identified with the tangent space of $\mathcal{X}$ at x_0 by the map $d\widetilde{\pi}|_\mathfrak{x} : \mathfrak{x} \to T_{x_0}\mathcal{X}$. Moreover, geodesics in $\mathcal{X}$ with initial point x_0 are defined as $\eta(t) = \exp(tY)\, x_0$, for some unitary vector $Y \in \mathfrak{x}$.

By defining $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$, $K = \exp \mathfrak{k}$ and $N^+ = \exp \mathfrak{n}^+$, we get an *Iwasawa decomposition* $G = KAN^+$.

Here A is a maximal abelian subgroup and N^+ a maximal nilpotent subgroup. A *flat* in $\mathcal{X}$ is an isometrically embedded Euclidean space. It can be easily proved that flats in $\mathcal{X}$ containing the point x_0 are associated (by the exponential map) with commutative subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. So, $F = Ax_0$ is a maximal flat in $\mathcal{X}$. Since commutative subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g}$ are all conjugated, every maximal flat in $\mathcal{X}$ is of the form $F' = gF = gAx_0$, with $g \in G$. The *rank* of a symmetric space is the dimension of a maximal flat and, by the preceding argument, it equals the dimension of A.

The structure of Weyl chambers in a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ is transferred to the subgroup $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$ and to flats $F = Ax_0 \subset \mathcal{X}$: if we denote by $\mathfrak{a}^+$ a Weyl chamber of $\mathfrak{a}$ and by $A^+ = \exp\, \mathfrak{a}^+$ its image in G, we shall call gA^+x_0 a *Weyl sector*, to any $g \in G$. The point $gx_0 \in gA^+x_0$ is called the *base point* of the sector. A sub-algebra $\mathcal{H}_\Theta$ gives rise to Θ-*flats* $gF_\Theta := g \exp\left(\mathcal{H}_\Theta\right) x_0$. In a similar way, we say that $g\overline{A}_\Theta^+ x_0 := g \exp\left(\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_\Theta^+\right) x_0$ is the Θ-*wall* of the sector gA^+x_0.

A minimal parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ determines a *minimal parabolic subgroup* $P = MAN^+$, where $M = \exp \mathfrak{m}$ is the normalizer of A in K. The subgroup P is the normalizer of the algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ via the adjoint action of G:

$$P = \{g \in G | \mathrm{Ad}(g)\, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Similarly, a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_\Theta = \mathfrak{n}^-(\Theta) \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ determines a *parabolic subgroup*

$$P_\Theta = \{g \in G | \mathrm{Ad}(g)\, \mathfrak{p}_\Theta = \mathfrak{p}_\Theta\}.$$

The Weyl group of G is the quotient $W = M/M'$, where $M' := Z_K(A)$ is the centralizer of A in K. It is a finite group that acts simply transitively on the set of Weyl chambers of $\mathfrak{a}$, respecting the incidence relation of walls.

Each parabolic subgroup determines a (compact) *flag manifold* $\mathbb{B}_\Theta = G/P_\Theta$ that is realize as the set $\{\mathrm{Ad}(g)\, \mathfrak{p}_\Theta | g \in G\}$. Since Cartan subalgebras and subgroups are all conjugated, the same happens to roots systems determined by the groups A and gAg^{-1}. So, if λ is a root determined by $\mathfrak{a}$, then $g\lambda$ is the root of $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\, \mathfrak{a}$ defined by the formula

$$g\lambda(H) = \mathrm{Ad}(g) \circ \lambda \circ \mathrm{Ad}\left(g^{-1}\right)(H), \text{ for all } H \in \mathrm{Ad}(g)\, \mathfrak{a}.$$

A parabolic subgroup is said to be of *type* Θ if it is determined by a set of roots of the form $g(\Theta)$. By doing so, the flag manifold $\mathbb{B}_\Theta$ may be viewed as the set of all type θ parabolic subgroups. Particularly, $\mathbb{B} := \mathbb{B}_\emptyset = G/P$ is the set of all minimal parabolic subgroups.

Parabolic subgroups are partially ordered by inclusion, with $P_{\Theta_1} \subset P_{\Theta_2}$ if $\Theta_1 \subset \Theta_2$. It follows there is a natural fibration

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\pi}^{\Theta_1}_{\Theta_2} &: \mathbb{B}_{\Theta_1} \to \mathbb{B}_{\Theta_2} \\ &: gP_{\Theta_1} \mapsto gP_{\Theta_2} \end{aligned}$$

The set of all Weyl chambers and walls are also partially ordered by inclusion, but it respect an order inverse to that determined in the set of roots: $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{\Theta_2} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{\Theta_1}$ if $\Theta_1 \subset \Theta_2$.

3 Ideal Boundary and Symmetric Spaces

The concept of ideal boundary was first introduced by P. Eberlein and B. O'Neill ([E-O]). The approach adopted here is the one found in [B-G-S]. Although this concept may be defined for every metric space, this general definition is highly non geometric and give us not much intuition to work with. So we will restrict ourselves to a geometric definition that holds for a sufficiently wide family of spaces, the so called Hadamard spaces.

3.1 Ideal Boundary

We must start with the definition of CAT inequalities:

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a geodesic metric space and $\mathcal{X}(\epsilon)$ a surface of constant curvature ϵ, that is, a sphere of radius $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ when $\epsilon > 0$, an Euclidean plane when $\epsilon = 0$ or an hyperbolic plane with curvature ϵ when $\epsilon < 0$. Given a geodesic triangle $\triangle(x, y, z)$ with vertices x, y and z in $\mathcal{X}$, we can construct a *comparison triangle* $\widetilde{\triangle}'(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}, \widetilde{z})$ in $\mathcal{X}(\epsilon)$ having sides of the same length as $\triangle$, taking just the care that, when $\epsilon > 0$, $\triangle$ has sides no longer than $\dfrac{\pi}{2\epsilon}$. If for every given triangle $\triangle(x, y, z)$ and any point a in the segment $\overline{xy}$ we have that $d(z, a) \leq d_\epsilon(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{a})$, where $\widetilde{a}$ is the corresponding point at the segment $\overline{\widetilde{x}\widetilde{y}}$ in $\widetilde{\triangle}$ and d_ϵ is the metric in $\mathcal{X}(\epsilon)$, we say that $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies $\mathbf{CAT}(\epsilon)$. We note that Riemannian manifolds with curvature bounded from above by ϵ satisfies $CAT(\epsilon)$.

A *Hadamard space* (manifold) is a simply connected geodesic metric space (manifold) satisfying $CAT(\epsilon)$.

We will now define the ideal boundary of an Hadamard space $(\mathcal{X}, d(\cdot, \cdot))$.

Two geodesic rays $\gamma, \beta : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are said to be *asymptotic* if there is a constant $a \geq 0$ such that $d(\gamma(t), \beta(t)) \leq a$, for every $t \geq 0$. This defines an equivalence relation in the set of all geodesic rays in $\mathcal{X}$. We call the set of equivalence classes of asymptotic geodesic rays the *ideal boundary* of $\mathcal{X}$. We denote this space by $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ and the equivalence class determined by γ we denote by $\gamma(\infty)$.

A natural metric can be given to the ideal boundary:

1. Given $\eta, \xi \in \partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$, one can prove there are representatives geodesic rays γ, β with $\gamma(0) = \beta(0) = x$ and $\gamma(\infty) = \eta, \beta(\infty) = \xi$. The function $\dfrac{1}{t} d(\gamma(t), \beta(t))$ is a bounded convex function, hence it limit exist and we define $d_l(\eta, \xi) = \lim \frac{1}{t} d(\gamma(t), \beta(t))$

   In case $\mathcal{X}$ happens to be a manifold, we can give it two more metrics:

2. Given $\eta, \xi \in \partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$, we choose as before representatives γ, β with $\gamma(0) = \beta(0) = x$ and $\gamma(\infty) = \eta, \beta(\infty) = \xi$ and define$\angle_x(\eta, \xi)$ to be the angle between the geodesics γ and β at x. Then we define the *Tits metric* by

$$d_T(\eta, \xi) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \angle_x(\eta, \xi)$$

3. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is simply connected, given any class $\gamma(\infty)$ and any point $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ there is one and only one geodesic ray $\beta : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ with $\beta(0) = x_0$ and $\beta(\infty) = \gamma(\infty)$, so that we can identify $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ with the unit tangent sphere and give it the usual metric of a unit sphere. We shall denote this metric by $d_S(\cdot, \cdot)$.

The first two metrics not only determines the same topology, but are surprisingly related ([B-G-S]) by the same relation we find between the extrinsic and the intrinsic metric of a unit sphere embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$d_l(\eta, \xi) = 2 \sin\left(\frac{d_T(\eta, \xi)}{2}\right)$$

while the sphere metric usually defines a different topology. Those topologies coincide only when $\mathcal{X}$ is an Euclidean space. On the other hand the first one is a discrete metric when the curvatures of $\mathcal{X}$ are bounded from above by a constant $C < 0$, while the other is still the sphere one. The discreetness of $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ reflects the fact we can find a geodesic asymptotic to any two given geodesic rays.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Hadamard manifold. We may endow the We fix a point $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and for a given $\eta \in \partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ we choose the only geodesic ray $\eta(s)$ such that $\eta(0) = x_0$ and $\eta(\infty) = \eta$. Given a sequence of points $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of points of $\mathcal{X}$, consider the sequence of geodesic rays $(\eta_n(s))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\eta_n(0) = x_0$ and $\eta_n(d(x_0, x_n)) = x_n$. We say that x_n converges to η if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_0, x_n) = \infty$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_n'(0) = \eta'(0)$, or equivalently, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_n(\infty) = \eta$$

in $(\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}, d_S(\cdot, \cdot))$. If we define on the set $\overline{\mathcal{X}} := \mathcal{X} \cup \partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ a topology that coincide with the metric topology on $\mathcal{X}$ and the sphere metric d_S in $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$, and such that $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ is closed and convergence from points of $\mathcal{X}$ to a point in $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ is defined as above, we turn it into a compact topological space.

The rest of this section will be devoted to the study of the ideal boundary of a symmetric space $\mathcal{X} = G/K$ of non-compact type and rank at least 2.

For a subset $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}$, we define its ideal boundary $\partial_\infty \mathcal{C} := \partial \mathcal{C} \cap \partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$, where $\partial \mathcal{C}$ stands for the usual boundary in $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is convex, then

$$\partial_\infty \mathcal{C} = \{\eta(\infty) \,|\, \eta(s) \text{ is a geodesic ray contained in } \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Since every Weyl sector $B_g = g\overline{A}^+ x_0$ is convex, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g\overline{A}^+(\infty) &= \partial_\infty \left(g\overline{A}^+ x_0\right) \\ &= \left\{\eta(\infty) \,|\, \eta(s) = g(\exp sX)\, x_0,\ X \in \mathfrak{a}^+\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is called a *Weyl chamber at infinity*. The Weyl chambers at infinity are either equal or disjoint.

Similar definitions hold also for *walls at infinity* and *flats at infinity*, denoted by $gA^+_\Theta(\infty)$ and $gA(\infty)$ respectively. We will consider only *closed* chambers $g\overline{A}^+(\infty)$ and walls at infinity:

$$\begin{aligned} g\overline{A}^+_\Theta(\infty) &= \partial_\infty \left(g\overline{A}^+_\Theta x_0\right) \\ &= \left\{\eta(\infty) \,|\, \eta(s) = g(\exp sX)\, x_0,\ X \in \mathfrak{a}^+_\Theta\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

3.2 The Structure of Ideal Chambers

In order to prove our results, we must characterize the ideal points of a symmetric space according to its parabolic type. To put it explicitly, we define a map

$$\pi : \partial_\infty \mathcal{X} \to \bigcup_{\Theta \subset \Sigma} G/P_\Theta,$$

as follows. Each $\eta \in \partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ is of the form $\eta(\infty)$ with $\eta(s) = (g \exp sX) x_0$ with $|X| = 1$ and $X \in \mathring{\bigcup}_{\Theta \subseteq \Sigma} \mathfrak{a}_\Theta^+$, where we are considering the open chamber and open walls, so that the union is disjoint. So, we associate to η the parabolic subgroup $\pi(\eta) := g P_\Theta g^{-1}$ (where $X \in \mathfrak{a}_\Theta^+$). This association is independent of the choice of g. Alternatively, we could associate to η the (open) Weyl chamber or wall at infinity $g A_{\Theta_0}(\infty)$ that contains it.

We denote by $\partial_\infty^\Theta \mathcal{X}$ the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(G/P_\Theta)$, the set of all θ-*singular* geodesic rays. We note that $\pi^{-1}(G/P_\emptyset) = \partial_\infty^\emptyset \mathcal{X}$ is an open and dense subset of $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$, whenever we consider either the Tits metric or the spherical metric. Also, $\mathring{\bigcup}_{\lambda \in \Sigma} \partial_\infty^{\{\lambda\}} \mathcal{X}$ is open and dense in $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X} \backslash \partial_\infty^\emptyset \mathcal{X}$. In the same way, we find that $\mathring{\bigcup}_{\substack{\Theta \subseteq \Sigma \\ |\Theta| = k}} \partial_\infty^\Theta \mathcal{X}$ is open and dense in $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X} \backslash \left(\mathring{\bigcup}_{\substack{\Phi \subseteq \Sigma \\ |\Phi| < k}} \partial_\infty^\Phi \mathcal{X} \right)$, where $|\Theta|$ is just the cardinality of Θ and $k \leq \mathrm{r}(\mathfrak{g})$. Again, this fact is independent of the metric topology we work with. The projection $\pi : \partial_\infty \mathcal{X} \to \bigcup_{\Theta \subseteq \Sigma} G/P_\Theta$

splits as a set of projections

$$\pi^{\Theta} : \partial_{\infty}^{\Theta}\mathcal{X} \to G/P_{\Theta}, \quad \Theta \subseteq \Sigma$$

Remark 1 *This structure is actually a geometric realization of a spherical Tits building. Shortly, the set of apartments is*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \{gA(\infty) \,|g \in G\} \\ &= \{\textit{All flats at infinity}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the chambers and walls are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \left\{g\overline{A}_{\Theta}^{+}(\infty) \,|g \in G, \Theta \subseteq \Sigma\right\} \\ &= \{\textit{All chambers and walls at infinity}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for every pair of chambers or walls at infinity there is a flat at infinity that contains both of them, the adjacency relation is just the usual one defined in the flats at infinity. More details about this structure may be found in ([B-G-S, Appendix 5]).

4 Semigroups and Invariant Control Sets

Let $X = G/L$ be an homogeneous manifold. We denote respectively by cl$D$ and int$D$ the closure and the interior of a subset D (of X or G, to be clearly understood from the context). A set S of (local) diffeomorphisms of M is a *semigroup* if the composition of elements of S (with possible restrictions of domains) is still in S. An *invariant control set* for S (an S-i.c.s.) is a subset $\emptyset \neq \mathrm{C} \subseteq X$ satisfying the conditions:

(i) For all $x \in \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{cl}\, Sx = \mathrm{cl}\, \mathrm{C}$,

(ii) C is maximal with property *(i)*.

For the simplicity of the presentation, we assume that G is a semisimple Lie group of non-compact type and S a subsemigroup of G, even if some of the results independ on the semisimplicity of G. Regarding the control sets in a compact homogeneous space $X = G/L$ we have the following:

Proposition 2 *[SM, Proposition 2.1]Let $X = G/L$ be a compact homogeneous space and S a subsemigroup of G with $\mathrm{int} S \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathrm{C} \subset X$ be an S-i.c.s and let $\mathrm{C}_0 = (\mathrm{int}\ S)\, \mathrm{C}$. Then:*

(i) $\mathrm{C}_0 = \mathrm{int}\ (Sx)$ *for all* $x \in \mathrm{C}_0$.

(ii) $S\mathrm{C}_0 \subset \mathrm{C}_0 = Sy = (\mathrm{int}\ S)\, y$ *for all* $y \in \mathrm{C}_0$.

(iii) $\mathrm{C}_0 = \{x \in C | \exists g \in \mathrm{int}\ S$ *with* $gx = x\}$.

(iv) $\mathrm{C}_0 = \{x \in C | \exists g \in \mathrm{int}\, S \textit{ with } g^{-1}x \in \mathrm{C}\}$.

(v) $\mathrm{cl}\, \mathrm{C}_0 = \mathrm{C}$.

Because of property *(iii)*, C_0 is called the *set of transitivity* of C.

The product MA is a closed subgroup of G. The homogeneous space G/MA may be seen as the set of Weyl chambers in either $\mathfrak{g}$ or Weyl chambers in G with base point at the identity. Alternatively, it may be seen as the choice of a Weyl chamber decomposition in each of the flats gAx_0 of $\mathcal{X}$. Each Weyl chamber $b = gMA$ is conjugated to the base chamber A^+: $b = gA^+g^{-1}$.

We assume throughout that S has non-empty interior. Then, it has a unique S invariant control set C ([SM, Theorem 3.1]). If we put

$$\Delta = \left\{ b = gA^+g^{-1} \in G/MA | b \cap \mathrm{int}\, S \neq \emptyset \right\},$$

we have the following:

Theorem 3 *[SM-T, Theorem 3.1] Let* C *be the unique* S*-i.c.s. in* G/P *and* C_0 *be its set of transitivity. Let*

$$p : G/MA \to G/MAN^+$$

be the canonical projection. Then

$$\mathrm{C}_0 = p(\Delta).$$

4.1 Ideal Boundary and Invariant Control Sets

In this section we consider sub-semigroups of a semisimple Lie group of non-compact type G. Without loss of generality, we assume that G has finite center. We assume also that S has non-empty interior and show how to construct invariant control sets in the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty(\mathcal{X})$ of the of the associated symmetric space $\mathcal{X} = G/K$. This is done simply by considering the ideal boundary of an orbit of S in $\mathcal{X}$, as stated in our main Theorem:

Theorem 4 *Let S be a sub-semigroup of a semisimple Lie group G, with non-empty interior. Consider the boundary of an orbit Sx_0 in G/K and let D be the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty(Sx_0)$. Then D is the invariant control set of S. Moreover, if C_Θ be the unique S-i.c.s. in $G/P = G/P_\Theta$ and $\mathrm{D}^\Theta = \mathrm{D} \cap \partial_\infty^\Theta(\mathcal{X})$. Then,*

$$\pi^\Theta\left(\mathrm{D}^\Theta\right) = \mathrm{C}_\Theta.$$

We start proving a particular instance of this theorem, the case $\Theta = \emptyset$:

Theorem 5 *Let S be a sub-semigroup of a semisimple Lie group G, with non-empty interior. Let C be the unique S-i.c.s. in $G/P = G/P_\emptyset$. Consider the boundary of an orbit Sx_0 in G/K and let D be the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty(Sx_0)$*

and $\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset} = \mathrm{D} \cap \partial_{\infty}^{\emptyset}(\mathcal{X})$. *Then,*

$$\pi^{\emptyset}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset}\right) = \mathrm{C}.$$

Actually, we could consider the orbit of any point $gx_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ instead of the orbit Sx_0:

Proposition 6 *For any two points $gx_0, hx_0 \in \mathcal{X}$, the ideal boundaries of the orbit of S coincide, that is, $\partial_{\infty}(Sgx_0) = \partial_{\infty}(Shx_0)$.*

Proof. Given $\eta \in \partial_{\infty}(Sgx_0)$, there is a sequence $(s_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}, s_i \in S$ such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} s_i gx_0 = \eta$, the limit considered in $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X} \cup \partial_{\infty} x$. But

$$d(s_i gx_0, s_i hx_0) = d(gx_0, hx_0)$$

is bounded, so that $\lim_{i\to\infty} s_i hx_0 = \eta$. ■

The proof of Theorem 5 is build up from the next two lemmas.

Lemma 7 *With the notation above defined,*

$$\mathrm{C}_0 \subseteq \pi^{\emptyset}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset}\right).$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{b} \in \mathrm{C}_0$. By Theorem 5 there is an $b \in \Delta$ such that $p(b) = \widetilde{b}$ and an element $g \in b \cap \mathrm{int}S$. We consider an open ball $B_r(g) \subset \mathrm{int}S$. For each $h \in b \cap B_r(g)$, we have some $Y_h \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $\|Y_h\| = 1$ such that

$hx_0 = \exp(t_h Y_h)\, x_0$. Then, $h^n = \exp(nt_h Y_h) \in b \cap \mathrm{int} S$ for every $n \geq 1$ so that $h^n x_0 \subset S x_0$. Moreover, if we put $\eta_h(t) = \exp(tY_h)\, x_0$, we find that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} h^n x_0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_h(nt_h) = \eta_h(\infty).$$

Since b is an open Weyl chamber, we get that $\eta_h(\infty) \in \mathrm{D}^{\emptyset} = \mathrm{D} \cap \partial_{\infty}^{\emptyset}(\mathcal{X})$ and, from the fact that $h^n \in b$ follows that $\pi^{\emptyset}(\eta_h(\infty)) = p(b) = \widetilde{b}$ ■

Lemma 8 *With the notation above defined, let* $\mathrm{int}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset}\right)$ *stands for the intersection of* $\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset}$ *with the interior of* D *as a subset of* $\partial_{\infty}\mathcal{X}$*. Then,*

$$\pi^{\emptyset}\left(\mathrm{int}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset}\right)\right) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{C}_0}.$$

Proof. First of all we notice that $\mathrm{D} := \partial_{\infty}(Sx_0) = \partial_{\infty}((\mathrm{int}S)\, x_0)$. Indeed, if h_n is a point in the boundary of S, there is an interior point g_n of S which distance (in G) from h_n at most 1, so that the sequences $h_n x_0$ and $g_n x_0$ have bounded distance in $\mathcal{X}$ and one of them converges to an ideal point if and only if the other one converges to the same ideal point.

Let $\eta = \eta(\infty) \in \mathrm{int}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset}\right)$, and put $\eta(t) = \exp(tY_0)\, x_0$, with $\|Y_0\| = 1$, with $Y \in \mathfrak{x}$. Since $\eta \in \mathrm{D}$, there is a sequence $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, g_n \in S$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n x_0 = \eta$. If we put $g_n = \exp t_n Y_n$, with $Y_n \in \mathfrak{x}$ unitary, it means that $t_n \to \infty$ and the angle $\theta_n = \sphericalangle(Y_0, Y_n)$ between Y_0 and Y_n goes to

0. Since $\partial_\infty (Sx_0) = \partial_\infty ((\text{int}S)\, x_0)$, we may assume that $g_n \in \text{int}S$. The same reasoning used in Lemma 8 implies that $\eta_n (t) = \exp (tY_n)\, x_0$ defines an element $\eta_n := \eta_n (\infty)$ such that $\pi^\emptyset (\eta_n) \in \mathrm{C}_0$.

On the other hand, since η is an interior point D, considering the spherical metric in $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$, the set

$$B_{\theta,r} (\eta) = \{\exp (tY)\, x_0 | t > r > 0; \sphericalangle (Y_0, Y) < \theta\}$$

constitute a base for the neighborhoods of η in $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$. We may fix $r = 0$ and get a base for the neighborhoods of η in $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n x_0 = \eta$, we find that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Y_n = Y$ so that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_n = \eta_0.$$

but this implies that $\pi^\emptyset (\eta) \in \overline{\mathrm{C}_0}$, since the projection $\pi^\emptyset : \partial^\emptyset_\infty (\mathcal{X}) \to G/P$ is continuous. ■

Now we can gather together the previous lemmas and proof Theorem 5.

Proof (of Theorem 5). Since $\overline{\mathrm{C}_0} = \mathrm{C}$, lemma 7 assures that

$$\overline{\mathrm{C}_0} = \mathrm{C} \subseteq \pi^\emptyset \left(\mathrm{D}^\emptyset\right).$$

Since $\pi^\emptyset$ is continuous, we find that $\pi^\emptyset \left(\overline{\mathrm{A}}\right) \subseteq \overline{\pi^\emptyset (\mathrm{A})}$ for every subset $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \partial_\infty (\mathcal{X})$ and the closures being taken respectively in $\partial_\infty (\mathcal{X})$ and $G/P_\emptyset$. So, by lemma 8, we find that

$$\pi^{\emptyset}\left(\overline{\operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset}\right)}\right)=\pi^{\emptyset}\left(\left(\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset}\right)\right)\subseteq\overline{\overline{\mathrm{C}_0}}=\overline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C}$$

and it follows that

$$\pi^{\emptyset}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\emptyset}\right)=\mathrm{C}$$

■

We can prove now our main theorem:

Proof of Theorem 4. We consider now another parabolic subgroup P_Θ, $\Theta\subseteq\Sigma$. Again, the compacity of the manifold G/P_Θ assures the existence of a unique S-invariant control set $\mathrm{C}_\Theta\subseteq G/P_\Theta$. It is known that $\mathrm{C}_\Theta=\rho\left(\mathrm{C}\right)$, where $\rho:G/P\rightarrow G/P_\Theta$ is the natural projection.

The closed Weyl chamber $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ is a cone generated by a family $\left\{H_\alpha^\perp\right\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ of unit vectors where $\beta\left(H_\alpha^\perp\right)=0$ whenever $\beta\neq\alpha$. It means that $H_\alpha^\perp$ is contained in the intersection of all the hyperplanes orthogonal to H_β for every root $\beta\neq\alpha$. Then, the open Weyl chamber may be described as

$$\mathfrak{a}^+=\left\{\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma}c_\alpha H_\alpha^\perp|c_\alpha>0\text{ for every }\alpha\in\Sigma\right\}.$$

Then, we may define a projection $p:\mathfrak{a}^+\rightarrow\mathfrak{a}_\Theta^+$ by

$$p\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma}c_\alpha H_\alpha^\perp\right)=\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma\backslash\Theta}c_\alpha H_\alpha^\perp.$$

This projection is not orthogonal (relatively to the Cartan-Killing form) but it is clearly surjective. Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{\infty}^{\emptyset}(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\emptyset}} & G/P_{\emptyset} \\
\downarrow p_{\Theta} & & \downarrow \rho_{\Theta} \\
\partial_{\infty}^{\Theta}(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\Theta}} & G/P_{\Theta}
\end{array}$$

is clearly commutative.

Since $\partial_{\infty}^{\emptyset}(\mathcal{X})$ is open and dense in $\bigcup_{\emptyset\neq\Theta\subseteq\Sigma}\partial_{\infty}^{\Theta}(\mathcal{X})$, the same thing happens to the ideal boundary of an orbit of the semigroup: $\partial_{\infty}^{\emptyset}(Sx_0)$ is open and dense in $\partial_{\infty}(Sx_0)$ and its border is contained in $\bigcup_{\emptyset\neq\Theta\subseteq\Sigma}\partial_{\infty}^{\Theta}(Sx_0)$, so that

$$\partial_{\infty}^{\Theta}(Sx_0)\subseteq p_{\Theta}\left(\partial_{\infty}^{\emptyset}(Sx_0)\right)$$

From this and the fact that the diagram 4.1 commutes, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{\Theta}\left(\partial_{\infty}^{\Theta}(Sx_0)\right) &\subseteq \pi^{\Theta}\left(p_{\Theta}\left(\partial_{\infty}^{\emptyset}(Sx_0)\right)\right)\\
&= \rho_{\Theta}\circ\pi^{\emptyset}\left(\partial_{\infty}^{\emptyset}(Sx_0)\right)\\
&= \rho_{\Theta}(\mathrm{C})\\
&= \mathrm{C}_{\Theta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, given $\widetilde{b} \in (\mathrm{C}_\Theta)_0$ (the set of transitivity of C_Θ), by definition there is an element $g \in \operatorname{int}(S)$ such that $g\widetilde{b} = \widetilde{b}$. As we did before in lemma 7, we find that $g^n x_0$ converges (in $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$) to a point $\eta = \eta(\infty) \in \partial_\infty^\Theta (Sx_0)$. The theorem follows by taking the appropriates closures, as we did in the proof of Theorem 5. ■

Example 9 *If G is semisimple Lie group of non-compact type and rank 1, then the Weyl sectors are one-dimensional, so that their asymptotic images in $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$ have dimension 0, that is, they are just points. It follows that the projection $\pi : \partial_\infty \mathcal{X} \to G/P$ is actually a bijection so that the ideal boundary and the Furstenberg boundary may be identified.*

As a special case, we consider the group $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the semigroup $S = SL_+(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the matrices with non-negative entries. The symmetric space is just the hyperbolic plane. We consider the Lobatchevsky model, the semiplane $\mathbb{H}^2 = z \in \mathbb{C} | \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0$. Its ideal boundary is just the set $z \in \mathbb{C} | \mathrm{Im}(z) = 0 \cup \infty$. The group G acts on $\mathbb{H}^2$ as Möbius transformations

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : z \to A(z) := \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

If $A \in SL_+(2,\mathbb{R})$, the image of the point $z = i$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ai+b}{ci+d} &= \frac{(ac+bd)+i(ad-bc)}{c^2+d^2} \\ &= \frac{ac+bd+i}{c^2+d^2} \end{aligned}$$

has non-negative real part, so that $\partial^-\infty(Si) \subset \{0 \le t \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \infty$.

From the other side, for any $\lambda \ge 0, t > 0$, the matrices

$$A_t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{t}+\lambda t & \lambda t \\ t & t \end{pmatrix}$$

belong to $SL_+(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $lim_{t\to\infty} A_t(i) = (\lambda + \frac{1}{2t^2}) + \frac{i}{2t^2} = \lambda$, so that $\{0 \le t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset Si$ The ideal point ∞ is attained as the limit of the orbit of the one-parameter subgroup of hyperbolic isometries

$$B_t = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 10 *We consider the group $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$. The associated symmetric space $\mathcal{X} = Sl(3,\mathbb{R})/SO(3,\mathbb{R})$ is the space of all 3×3 symmetric positive definite matrices. This space is 5-dimensional and its ideal boundary is thus a 4-dimensional sphere. This boundary may be identified with a set of 2-dimensional strips in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We make this identification in way slightly differ-*

ent from the one presented in [B-G-S]. Since $\mathcal{X} = Sl(3,\mathbb{R})/SO(3,\mathbb{R})$ *is an Hadamard manifold, its ideal boundary is determined by the asymptotic image of geodesic rays starting at the point* $x_0 = \mathrm{Id}_{3\times 3}$ *(section 3). The tangent vector* $\eta\prime(0)$ *of a unit speed geodesic ray* $\eta : [0,\infty] \to \mathcal{X}$ *is a symmetric matrix* A *with trace* 0 *and norm* $\|A\|^2 = \mathrm{Tr}(AA^T) = 1$*. Since* A *is symmetric, it has* 3 *eigenvalues* a, b *and* c*. The norm* 1 *and trace* 0 *conditions implies that* $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$ *and* $a + b + c = 0$*. We loose no generality by assuming that* $|a| \geq |b| \geq |c|$*. Since* A *is symmetric, their eigenvectors* v_a, v_b *and* v_c *are orthogonal. We associate to this ray the strip* $s_\eta := (\mathbb{R}v_a + [-r,r]v_b) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$*, where* $r := (b-c)/(a-b) \in [0,\infty]$ *equals* 0 *if* $b = c$ *and* ∞ *if* $a = b$*. The map* $: \eta \mapsto s_\eta$ *is well defined and a bijection onto the space*

$$\mathrm{Str} := \{\mathbb{R}v_1 + [-r,r]v_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 |\, \|v_1\| = \|v_2\| = 1, v_1 \text{ orthogonal to } v_2, r \in [0,\infty]\}$$

of all strips in $\mathbb{R}^3$*. We note that, being* $\{v_a, v_b, v_c\}$ *an orthogonal base, at least one of* $\{\pm v_a, \pm v_b, \pm v_c\}$ *is contained in the positive quadrant*

$$\mathbb{R}^3_{(+,+,+)} := \{(x_1,x_2,x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 |\, x_1,x_2,x_3 \geq 0\}$$

We consider now the set

$$\mathfrak{sl}_+(3,\mathbb{R}) := \{(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3 \in \mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R}) |\, a_{ij} \geq 0 \textit{ for } i \neq j\}$$

of all matrices with nonnegative entries (outside the diagonal) and trace 0. *It is clearly a closed convex cone with non empty interior. So, its image under the exponential map is a semigroup* S *with non empty interior ([Ho]). We note that* S *is contained (but not equal) to the semigroup* $SL_+(3,\mathbb{R})$ *of the matrices with nonnegative entries.*

We claim that the invariant control set of S *in* $\partial_\infty \mathcal{X}$*, may be identified with the set of strips*

$$\mathcal{S}_{(+,+,+)} := \{(\mathbb{R}v_a + [-r,r]v_b) \in \mathrm{Str}| : v_a \in \mathbb{R}^3_{(+,+,+)}\}.$$

Since the set of matrices in with different eigenvalues is open and dense in S*, we loose no generality by restricting ourselves to matrices* $A \in S$ *with eigenvalues of different absolute value.*

Let us see that $\partial_\infty(Sx_0) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{(+,+,+)}$*. If we look at the projective space* $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *over* $\mathbb{R}^3$*, the sequence* $(A^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *determines a quasi-projective transformation in the sense of Goldsheid and Margulis ([G-M]). It follows that every line* $\mathbb{R}v \in (\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3) - \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}v_b + \mathbb{R}v_c))$ *is attracted by the line* $\mathbb{R}v_a$*, since* a *is the greatest (in absolute value) eigenvalue, that is,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} A^n(\mathbb{R}v) = \mathbb{R}v_a$$

the limit being taken in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *([G-M], Corollary 2.4). However, any matrix*

A with nonnegative entries leaves the positive quadrant invariant. Actually, it is the compression semigroup of this quadrant ([SM]). It follows that the eigenvector v_a *(or its opposite* $-v_a$*) must be contained in this quadrant and so* $\partial_\infty(Sx_0) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{(+,+,+)}$.

To prove the other inclusion, we choose a pair of non zero orthogonal vectors v_1 *and* v_2 *such that* v_1 *has nonnegative coordinates and a real number* r*. We are looking for a symmetric matrix* $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$ *and constants* $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ *satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $A(v_1) = av_1, \;\; A(v_2) = bv_2, \;\; A(v_1 \times v_2) = c(v_1 \times v_2)$;
2. $\mathrm{trace}(A) = a + b + c = 0$;
3. $(a - b)r = b - c$;
4. $\|A\|^2 = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$;
5. $a_{12},\, a_{13},\, a_{23} \geq 0$,

where $v_1 \times v_2$ *is just the vectorial product.*

It is not difficult to see there is a matrix satisfying all the first 4 conditions. What we will do is to show that the set of solutions of the first three conditions projects surjectively onto the coordinate subspace W *of* $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$*, generated by* $a_{12},\, a_{13},\, a_{23}$.

Since A is assumed to be symmetric, the equations in the first condition define a linear system with six variables that is soluble for each choice of the constants a, b and c. We can solve it in the variables a_{11}, a_{22} and a_{33}, keeping the variables a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{23} free. By doing so, the set of solutions is a three dimensional affine subspace V_1 of $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R})$ such that the projection into the coordinate subspace W is surjective, and it follows that we can find solutions with nonnegative entries outside the diagonal[1] *(condition 5). The next two conditions are also linear, so it reduces our choice of freedom and the solution of the equations in the first three items is an affine one dimensional subspace V_2 contained in V_1. But equations (2) and (3) are homogeneous and this assures that the projection of V_2 in the coordinate subspace W is still surjective, so there are solutions of conditions 1, 2 and 3 with nonnegative entries outside the diagonal (condition 5). But, if A' and a', b', c' are solutions of our problem (ignoring the last condition), so are $\lambda A'$ and $\lambda a', \lambda b', \lambda c'$ for every positive λ. A suitable choice of λ gives us the only solution satisfying also condition (4).*

Remark 11 *As we saw in remark 1, the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty(\mathcal{X})$ may be*

[1] Actually, this projection fails to be surjective in one of the variables a_{12}, a_{13} or a_{23} only when it assumes the constant value 0, still in the range of the nonnegativity condition

considered, in a canonical way as the geometric realization of a spherical Tits Building. With this structure, each connected component of $\partial_\infty^\Theta(\mathcal{X})$ *is a cell of type* Θ *in the building structure. This fact encourages the investigation of invariant control sets semigroups of algebraic groups and* (B, N) *pairs in general.*

References

[A-K] L. Arnold and W. Kliemann, *On unique ergodicity for degenerate diffusions*. Stochastics, **21** (1987), 41-61.

[B-G-S] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov and V. Schroeder, *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 61, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., 1985.

[E-O] P. Eberlein and B. O'Neill, *Visibility Manifolds.* Pac. J. Math. **46** (1973), 45-110.

[G-M] I. Ya. Goldsheid and G. A. Margulis, *Lyapunov exponents of a product of random matrices (Russian).* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 44 (1989), no. 5(269), 13–60; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 44 (1989), no. 5, 11–71.

[He] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 34. AMS, Providence, RI, 2001.

[Ho] J. Hilgert. K. H. Hofmann and J. D. Lawson, *Lie groups, convex cones, and semigroups*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, New York, 1989.

[SM] L. A. B. San Martin, *Invariant Control Sets on Flag Manifolds.* Math. Control Signal Systems **6** (1993), 41-61.

[SM-T] L. A. B. San Martin and P. A. Tonelli, *Semigroups actions on homogeneous spaces*. Semigroup Forum **50** (1995), 59-88.

Marcelo Firer

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística

Universidade Estadual de Campinas - UNICAMP

13083-970 - Campinas - SP - Brazil

e-mail: mfirer@ime.unicamp.br

Osvaldo Germano Rocio

Centro de Ciências Exatas

Universidade Estadual de Maringá - UEM

Avenida Colombo, 5790

87020-900 - Maringá - PR - Brazil

e-mail: rocio@uem.br