# MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY LINEAR RESONANT ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS 
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AbStract. In this paper we establish the existence of multiple solutions for the semilinear elliptic problem

$$
\begin{array}{rlrc}
-\Delta u & =g(x, u) & & \text { in } \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega,
\end{array}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of class $C^{1}$ such that $g(x, 0)=0$ and which is asymptotically linear at infinity. We considered both cases, resonant and nonresonant. We use critical groups to distinguish the critical points.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =g(x, u) & & \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a open bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^{1}$ such that $g(x, 0)=0$, which implies that (1) possesses the trivial solution $u=0$. We will be interested in nontrivial solutions. Assume that

$$
\limsup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \ell, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The classical solutions of the problem (1) correspond to critical points of the functional $F$ defined on $H=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(x, u) d x, \quad u \in H, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(x, s) d s$. Under the above assumptions $F \in C^{2}$.
Denote by $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{j} \leq \ldots$ the eigenvalues of $\left(-\Delta, H_{0}^{1}\right)$. We write $a(x) \not \leq b(x)$ to indicate that $a(x) \leq b(x)$ with strict inequality holding on a set of positive measure.

[^0]We first assume the followings hypotheses on $g$ ．

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{g(x, t)}{g} \text { is strictly increasing with respect to } t \geq 0, \text { a.e. in } \Omega, \text { and }  \tag{3}\\
\frac{g(x, t)}{t} \text { is strictly decreasing with respect to } t \leq 0, \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{j} \not 又 L(x) & =\liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \limsup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t}=K(x) \not 又 \lambda_{j+1}, \quad \text { uniformly in } \Omega .  \tag{4}\\
\lambda_{j} & \not 又 L(x) \text { and } \lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}[t g(x, t)-2 G(x, t)]=\infty, \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1．1．Let $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^{1}, g(x, 0)=0$ ，which satisfies （3）．Suppose that there exist $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$ such that

$$
\lambda_{k-1} \leq g^{\prime}(x, 0)<\lambda_{k} \leq \lambda_{k+m} \leq \liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \limsup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{k+m+1}
$$

where the limits are uniform for $x$ in $\Omega$ ．If either（4）or（5）hold with $j=k+m$ ．Then problem（1）has at least two nontrivial solutions．

Theorem 1．2．Let $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^{1}, g(x, 0)=0$ ．Suppose that there exists $m \geq 1$ such that

$$
g^{\prime}(x, 0)<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{m+1} \leq \liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \limsup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{m+2}
$$

where the limits are uniform for $x$ in $\Omega$ ．Suppose that either（4）or（5）hold with $j=m+1$ ． Moreover if（5）hold，assume that there exists $C(x) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\operatorname{tg}(x, t)-2 G(x, t) \geq$ $C(x) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ ，a．e．$x \in \Omega$ ．Then problem（1）has at least three nontrivial solutions．
Theorem 1．3．Let $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^{1}, g(x, 0)=0$ ，which satisfies （3）．Suppose that there exists $m \geq 2$ such that

$$
g^{\prime}(x, 0)<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{m+1} \leq \liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \limsup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{m+2},
$$

where the limits are uniform for $x$ in $\Omega$ ．If either（4）or（5）hold with $j=m+1$ ．Then problem（1）has at least four nontrivial solutions，one of those changing sign，another one positive and a third one negative．

Theorem 1．4．Let $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^{1}, g(x, 0)=0$ ，which satisfies （3）．If there exists $k \geq 2$ such that

$$
\lambda_{k-1} \leq g^{\prime}(x, 0)<\lambda_{k}<\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t}<\lambda_{k+1}
$$

where the limits are uniform for $x$ in $\Omega$ ．If 0 is an isolated critical point then problem（1） has exactly two nontrivial solutions．

Remark 1.1. We call (1) a resonant or double resonant problem when it happens, respectively, that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t}=\lambda_{j}, \\
\lambda_{j} \leq \liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \limsup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{j+1},
\end{gathered}
$$

for some $j \geq 1$, uniform for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ (cf. [2, 5]). Multiplicity for double resonant problems were treated by recent papers [23, 24, 25]. In [23], the author considered only the autonomous case and assume strong resonant hypotheses. Theorem 1.5 below, gives a example of a function that satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 and does not satisfy the hypotheses in $[24,25]$. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2, but assuming resonance only at one eigenvalue, Dancer \& Zhang [13] proved that problem (1) has at least one sign-changing solution, one positive solution, and one negative solution.

Now consider the autonomous problem

$$
\begin{array}{cllc}
-\Delta u=g(u) & \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u=0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega, \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

where $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of class $C^{1}$ such that $g(0)=0$. Castro \& Lazer [6] and Ambrosetti \& Mancini [1] proved that if $g \in C^{2}, \operatorname{tg}^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}$, and

$$
\lambda_{k-1}<g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{k}<\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} g^{\prime}(t)<\lambda_{k+1}
$$

for some $k \geq 1$, then (6) has exactly two nontrivial solutions. In Mizoguchi [19], it was shown that if $g \in C^{2}, t g^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}$, and

$$
\lambda_{k-1} \leq g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{k} \leq \lambda_{k+1}<\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} g^{\prime}(t)<\lambda_{k+2},
$$

then there exist at least two nontrivial solutions of the problem (6). Our next result extends the previous results in this autonomous case.

Theorem 1.5. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^{1}, g(0)=0$, which satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(t) \text { is convex if } t \geq 0, \quad \text { and } \\
g(t) \text { is concave if } t \leq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If there exist $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$ such that

$$
\lambda_{k-1} \leq g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{k} \leq \lambda_{k+1} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{k+m}<\ell_{ \pm}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} g^{\prime}(t) \leq \lambda_{k+m+1}
$$

then problem (6) has at least two nontrivial solutions.
In fact, the above hypothesis on the convexity of $g$ implies that (see Proposition 3.1)

$$
\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}[t g(t)-2 G(t)]=\infty
$$

Hence the previous theorem is corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.2. In [4], Bartsch, Chang \& Wang showed that if $g^{\prime}(t)>g(t) / t \forall t \neq 0$ and

$$
g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{k}<\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} g^{\prime}(t)<\lambda_{k+1},(k>2),
$$

then problem (6) has at least four nontrivial solution, two of these solutions change sign, one is positive and another one is negative. They observe that the nonresonance at infinity in the above result can be removed using arguments like in [3] and [9]. In [9], the author assumes a Ladesmam-Lazer condition and that $\left|g(t)-\lambda_{k+1} t\right|$ is bounded for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In [3], the authors suppose that $\left|g(t)-\lambda_{k+1} t\right| \leq c\left(|t|^{r}+1\right)$, for some $r \in(0,1)$, with the purpose of computing the critical groups at infinity. The next result is a corollary of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.6. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^{1}, g(0)=0$, which satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(t) \text { is convex if } t \geq 0, \quad \text { and } \\
g(t) \text { is concave if } t \leq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Suppose that there exist $k>2$ such that

$$
g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{k}<\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t}=\lambda_{k+1} .
$$

Then problem (6) has at least four nontrivial solutions, one of those change sign, one is positive and another one is negative.

Remark 1.3. The functional in the nonresonant case satisfies the Palais-Smale Condition, $(P S)$ in short, and the difficulty in the resonant case is the lack of a $(P S)$ condition. But if the function $g$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}[\operatorname{tg}(x, t)-2 G(x, t)]=\infty, \quad \text { uniformly in } \Omega,
$$

then in [11], Costa \& Magalhães showed that this condition is sufficient to obtain a weak version of the $(P S)$ condition, namely the $(C)$ condition, which was introduced by Cerami in [7]. The $(C)$ condition was used by Bartolo, Benci \& Fortunato in [2] to prove a general minimax theorem (see [20] for this results with the ( $P S$ ) condition). The so called Second Deformation Lemma, proved by Chang (see [9]), has a version with the Cerami condition replacing the usual $(P S)$ condition, as proved by Silva \& Teixeira in [21].

In Section 2, we collect some results on Morse Theory, with the functional satisfying the Cerami condition. In Section 3, we prove some lemmas about the geometry of the functional and a compactness condition. In Section 4 we prove the main theorems.

## 2. Remarks on Critical Point Theory

In this section some classical definitions and results in Morse Theory are recalled. These results will be used in the proofs of main theorems. In [9] the $(P S)$ condition is used, whereas we use here a weaker compactness condition on the functionals. This results can be found in [18] with others hypotheses.

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a functional of class $C^{1}$. Denote the set of critical points of $f$ by $K$. Given $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $f_{c}=\{x \in H: f(x) \leq c\}$ and $K_{c}=f^{-1}(c) \cap K$.

Definition 2.1. Given $f \in C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that $f$ satisfies the Cerami condition at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, denoted by $(C)_{c}$, if every sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset H$ satisfying

$$
f\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \text { and }\left(1+\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

has a converging subsequence. If $f$ satisfies the $(C)_{c}$ condition for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that it satisfies the $(C)$ condition.

It is clear that a functional satisfying the $(P S)$ condition also satisfies the $(C)$ condition.
Definition 2.2. $f \in C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$ is said to possess the deformation property if it satisfies the following condition
(i) for every $a<b$ such that $K \cap f^{-1}(a, b)=\emptyset$, then $f_{a}$ is a strong deformation retract of $f_{b} \backslash K_{b}$.

The next result is a version of a deformation lemma (for references see [9]) proved in [22] (see also [21]).
Proposition 2.1 (Deformation Lemma). Suppose that $f \in C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the ( $C$ ) condition and $a$ is the only possible critical value of $f$ in the interval $[a, b)$. Assume that the connected components of $K_{a}$ are only isolated points. Then, $f_{a}$ is a strong deformation retract of $f_{b} \backslash K_{b}$.

This lemma is an important tool in Critical Point Theory. Now we state some known results, which are also true under the $(C)$ condition instead of the usual $(P S)$. For proofs of these results assuming $(P S)$ see [9]. Where further references can be found. These proofs can be early adapted for the case when $(C)$ condition is assumed.

Let $Y \subset X$ be topological spaces, denote by $H_{*}(X, Y)$ the singular relative homology groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $x_{0}$ be an isolated critical point of $f$, and let $c=f\left(x_{0}\right)$. We call

$$
C_{p}\left(f, x_{0}\right)=H_{p}\left(f_{c} \cap U_{x_{0}},\left(f_{c} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right) \cap U_{x_{0}}\right)
$$

the $p^{\text {th }}$ critical group of $f$ at $x_{0}, p=0,1,2, \ldots$, where $U_{x_{0}}$ is a neighborhood of $x_{0}$ such that $K \cap\left(f_{c} \cap U_{x_{0}}\right)=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$.
Theorem 2.1. Assume that $\alpha \in H_{j}\left(f_{b}, f_{a}\right)$ is nontrivial, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\inf _{\tau \in \alpha} \sup _{x \in|\tau|} f(x) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $f$ possesses the deformation property. Then there exists $x_{0} \in K_{c}$ such that $C_{j}\left(f, x_{0}\right) \neq 0$.
Definition 2.4. Let $D$ be a j-topological ball in $H$, and $S$ be a subset in $H$. We say that $\partial D$ and $S$ homologically link, if $\partial D \cap S=\emptyset$ and $|\tau| \cap S \neq \emptyset$, for each singular $j$ chain $\tau$ with $\partial \tau=\partial D$ where $|\tau|$ is the support of $\tau$.

The following proposition provides examples of sets homologically linking, their proofs are a consequence of Example 2, 3 and Theorem 1.2 in Chapter II of [9].

Proposition 2.2. Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be two closed subspaces of a Hilbert space $H$. Suppose that

$$
H=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}, \quad \operatorname{dim} H_{1}<\infty .
$$

Then, if $D_{1}=B_{R} \cap H_{1}$ and $S_{1}=H_{2}, \partial D_{1}$ and $S_{1}$ homologically link.
Proposition 2.3. Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be two closed subspaces of a Hilbert space $H$. Suppose that

$$
H=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}, \quad \operatorname{dim} H_{1}<\infty .
$$

Let $e \in H_{2},\|e\|=1$, and $R, r, \rho>0$ with $\rho<R$. Set $D_{2}=\left\{x+s e ; x \in H_{1} \cap B_{r}, s \in\right.$ $[0, R]\}$ and $S_{2}=H_{2} \cap \partial B_{\rho}$. Then $\partial D_{2}$ and $S_{2}$ homologically link.

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 1.1', Chapter II, [9]). Assume that $\partial D$ and $S$ homologically link, where $D$ is a $j$-topological ball. If $f \in C\left(H, \mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ satisfies

$$
f(x)>a \quad \forall x \in S \text { and } f(x) \leq a \quad \forall x \in \partial D
$$

then $H_{j}\left(f_{b}, f_{a}\right) \neq 0$ for $b>\operatorname{Max}\{f(x) ; x \in D\}$.
We intend to compute the critical groups of an isolated critical point. For this purpose we present the Shifting Theorem. First, consider the Splitting Theorem

Theorem 2.3 (Splitting Theorem). Suppose that $U$ is a neighborhood of $x_{0}$ in a Hilbert space $H$ and that $f \in C^{2}(U, \mathbb{R})$. Assume that $x_{0}$ is the only critical point of $f$ and that $A=d^{2} f\left(x_{0}\right)$ with kernel $N$. If 0 is either an isolated point of the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ or not in $\sigma(A)$, then there exists a ball $B_{\delta}, \delta>0$, centered at 0 , an ordering-preserving local homomorphism $\phi$ defined on $B_{\delta}$, and a $C^{1}$ mapping $h: B_{\delta} \cap N \rightarrow N^{\perp}$ such that

$$
f \circ \phi(z+y)=\frac{1}{2}(A z, z)+f(h(y)+y), \quad \forall x \in B_{\delta}
$$

where $y=P_{N} x, z=P_{N^{\perp}} x$, and $P_{N}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace $N$.
We call $\mathcal{N}=\phi(U \cap N)$. The following theorem sets up the relationship between the critical points of $f$ and those of $\tilde{f}:=\left.f\right|_{\mathcal{N}}$. It is proved in [9].

Theorem 2.4 (Shifting Theorem). Suppose the hypotheses of the Splitting Theorem. Assume that the Morse index of $f$ at $x_{0}$ is $\mu$, then we have

$$
C_{p}\left(f, x_{0}\right)=C_{p-\mu}\left(\tilde{f}, x_{0}\right), \quad p=0,1, \ldots .
$$

In addition, if $d^{2} f\left(x_{0}\right)$ has finite dimensional kernel, then we have
Corollary 2.1. Suppose that $N$ is finite dimensional with dimension $\nu$ and $x_{0}$ is
(i) a local minimum of $\tilde{f}$, then

$$
C_{p}\left(f, x_{0}\right)=\delta_{p \mu} \mathbb{Z}
$$

(ii) a local maximum of $\tilde{f}$, then

$$
C_{p}\left(f, x_{0}\right)=\delta_{p(\mu+\nu)} \mathbb{Z},
$$

(iii) neither a local maximum nor a local minimum of $\tilde{f}$, then

$$
C_{p}\left(f, x_{0}\right)=0 \text { for } p \leq \mu, \text { and } p \geq \mu+\nu .
$$

## 3. Preliminary Lemmas

Let $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^{1}$ such that $g(x, 0)=0$. Suppose that there exist $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{k-1} \leq g^{\prime}(x, 0)<\lambda_{k} \\
\lambda_{k+m} \not \leq L(x)=\liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \limsup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{k+m+1}, \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the limits are uniform for $x$ in $\Omega$.
Let $H=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and denote the norms in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $L^{2}(\Omega)$ by $\|\cdot\|$ and $|\cdot|_{2}$, respectively. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ be the subspaces of $H$ spanned by the eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{k}, \ldots, \lambda_{k+m}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{k+m+1}, \ldots\right\}$, respectively.

The next result is similar to Lemma 1 in [19]. The proof given here is a variation of the one found in [19]. Let $F$ be defined as in (2).

Lemma 3.1. Under the assumptions above and the hypothesis (3), the following statements hold:
(i) There are $r>0$ and $a>0$ such that $F(u) \geq a$ for all $u \in H_{2} \oplus H_{3}$ with $\|u\|=r$;
(ii) $F(u) \rightarrow-\infty$, as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$, for $u \in H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$;
(iii) $F(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in H_{3}$; and
(iv) $F(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in H_{1}$.

Proof. By (3) and (8), we can take the positive numbers $\alpha, \delta$ satisfying that

$$
\lambda_{k-1} \leq \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \alpha<\lambda_{k}
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t| \leq \delta$. Moreover, there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{g(x, t)}{t}<\ell$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ from (3) and (8). Let $H^{\ell}=\operatorname{ker}(-\Delta-\ell I)$. Then $H_{2} \oplus H_{3}=V \oplus W$, where $V=H_{2} \oplus H^{\ell}$. For $u \in H_{2} \oplus H_{3}$, put $u=v+w, v \in V$ and $w \in W$. Since $V$ is spanned by a finite number of eigenfunctions which are $L^{\infty}$-functions. Then there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in \Omega}|v(x)| \leq \frac{\gamma-\ell}{\gamma-\alpha} \cdot \delta
$$

if $\|v\| \leq r$, where $\gamma>\ell$ and $|\nabla w|_{2}^{2} \geq \gamma|w|_{2}^{2}$ for all $w \in W$. Suppose that $\|v\| \leq r$. If $|v(x)+w(x)| \leq \delta$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k}|v|^{2} & +\frac{1}{4} \gamma|w|^{2}-G(x, v+w) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k}|v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \gamma|w|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha(v+w)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k}|v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \gamma|w|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha v^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha w^{2}-\alpha v w \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} \alpha|w|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(\gamma-\alpha) w^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k}-\alpha\right) v^{2}-\alpha v w \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{4} \alpha|w|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k}-\alpha\right) v^{2}-\alpha v w \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{4} \ell|w|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k}-\alpha\right) v^{2}-\alpha v w .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|v(x)+w(x)|>\delta$, we have

$$
|G(x, v+w)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \ell(v+w)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\ell-\alpha) \delta^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k}|v|^{2} & +\frac{1}{4} \gamma|w|^{2}-G(x, v+w) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k}|v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \gamma|w|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \ell(v+w)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\ell-\alpha) \delta^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k}|v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \gamma|w|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \ell|v|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \ell|w|^{2}-\ell v w+\frac{1}{2}(\ell-\alpha) \delta^{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} \ell|w|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k}-\alpha\right)|v|^{2}-\alpha v w \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(\gamma-\ell)|w|^{2}+(\alpha-\ell) v w+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\ell)|v|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\ell-\alpha) \delta^{2} \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{4} \ell|w|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k}-\alpha\right)|v|^{2}-\alpha v w
\end{aligned}
$$

(in order to see the last inequality, consider

$$
\frac{1}{4}(\gamma-\ell)|w|^{2}+(\alpha-\ell) v w+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\ell)|v|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\ell-\alpha) \delta^{2}
$$

as a quadratic form in $w$ and prove that it is positively defined).
Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\|v+w\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} G(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\|w\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \ell|w|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k}-\alpha\right)|v|_{2}^{2} \\
& \geq \min \left\{\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{\ell}{\gamma}\right), \frac{\lambda_{k}-\alpha}{2 \ell}\right\}\|u\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies statement (i). By the hypothesis $\lambda_{k+m} \not \leq L(x)$, the Proposition 2 in [12] states that there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} L(x) u^{2} d x \leq-\delta_{1}\|u\|^{2} \quad \forall u \in H_{1} \oplus H_{2}
$$

To show (ii), let $\delta_{1}$ be given above and $\epsilon>0$ be such that $\epsilon<\lambda_{1} \delta_{1}$. By the definition of $L(x)$, there exists $M=M(\epsilon)$ such that

$$
2 F(x, t) \geq(L(x)-\epsilon) t^{2}-M \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
$$

Therefore, for $u \in H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 F(u) & \leq\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} L(x) u^{2} d x+\epsilon|u|_{2}^{2}+M|\Omega| \\
& \leq\left(-\delta_{1}+\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{2}+M|\Omega| \rightarrow-\infty, \quad \text { as } \quad\|u\| \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\epsilon / \lambda_{1}-\delta_{1}<0$. We prove (iii) and (iv) by straightforward calculations.
Let $u_{0}$ be a critical point of $F$, defined by (2). The Morse index $\mu\left(u_{0}\right)$ of $u_{0}$ measures the dimension of the maximal subspace of $H=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ on which $F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)$ is negative definite. We denote the dimension of the kernel of $F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)$ by $\nu\left(u_{0}\right)$. The next lemma evaluates $\nu\left(u_{0}\right)$ for a nonzero critical point of $F$. Similar ideas used in the proof below can be seen in [19] and [6].
Lemma 3.2. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1, $\nu\left(u_{0}\right) \leq m$ provided that $u_{0}$ is a nonzero critical point of $F$ defined in (2).

Proof. Let $u_{0}$ be a nonzero critical point of $F$, that is, a nontrivial weak solution of problem (6). We denote $g\left(x, u_{0}\right)=g\left(u_{0}\right)$ and $g^{\prime}\left(x, u_{0}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)$. Note that $F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right) u=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
-\Delta u=g^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right) u & & \text { in } & \Omega \\
u & =0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

From $g(x, 0)=0$, the problem (6) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u & =q(x) u & & \text { in } \quad \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q(x)=g\left(u_{0}\right) / u_{0}$ if $u_{0}(x) \neq 0$ and $q(x)=g^{\prime}(0)$ if $u_{0}(x)=0$. It is a standard result that $u_{0}$ is a classical solution of (6). Then $u_{0}$ cannot vanish identically on every open subset of $\Omega$, by the unique continuation property (see [15]). Let $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n} \leq \cdots$ and $\beta_{1}<\beta_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{n} \leq \cdots$ be eigenvalues of the problems

$$
\begin{array}{clc}
-\Delta u=\alpha q(x) u & \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u=0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega, \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =\beta g^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right) u & & \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Let $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ denote the corresponding eigenfunctions of problems (9) and (10) satisfying

$$
\int_{\Omega} q \psi_{n} \psi_{m} d x=\delta_{n m}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} g^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right) \phi_{n} \phi_{m} d x=\delta_{n m}
$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.
Claim: $\beta_{n}<\alpha_{n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
In fact, by (3) we have $g^{\prime}\left(u_{0}(x)\right) \geq \frac{g\left(u_{0}(x)\right)}{u_{0}(x)}$ and again by the unique continuation property

$$
m\left(\left\{x \in \Omega ; g^{\prime}\left(u_{0}(x)\right)>\frac{g\left(u_{0}(x)\right)}{u_{0}(x)}\right\}\right)>0
$$

Then we use Proposition 1.12 A in [14], and so the claim is proved.
Next, suppose that $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ denote the eigenvalues of the problems

$$
\begin{array}{rlrc}
-\Delta u & =\nu \lambda_{k+m+1} u & & \text { in } \\
u & =0 & & \text { on }  \tag{11}\\
& \partial \Omega,
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =\delta \lambda_{k-1} u & & \text { in } \quad \Omega  \tag{12}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Immediately, this implies $\nu_{n}=\lambda_{n} / \lambda_{k+m+1}$ and $\delta_{n}=\lambda_{n} / \lambda_{k-1}$. By (3) and (4), we have

$$
\lambda_{k-1}<\frac{g\left(u_{0}(x)\right)}{u_{0}(x)} \leq g^{\prime}\left(u_{0}(x)\right)<\lambda_{k+m+1}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ such that $u_{0}(x) \neq 0$. By a method similar to the proof of $\beta_{n}<\alpha_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\alpha_{k-1}<\delta_{k-1}=1, \quad 1=\nu_{k+m+1}<\alpha_{k+m+1} \quad \text { and } \quad 1=\nu_{k+m+1}<\beta_{k+m+1} .
$$

From $u_{0} \neq 0,1$ is an eigenvalue of (9). Therefore, it holds that $\alpha_{k}=1$, or $\alpha_{k+1}=1, \ldots$, or $\alpha_{k+m}=1$.
If $\alpha_{k+m}=1$, the fact

$$
\beta_{k+m}<\alpha_{k+m}=1=\nu_{k+m+1}<\beta_{k+m+1}
$$

implies that 1 is not an eigenvalue of (10), i.e. $\nu\left(u_{0}\right)=0$.
If $\alpha_{k+m-1}=1$, the fact

$$
\beta_{k+m-1}<\alpha_{k+m-1}=1=\nu_{k+m+1}<\beta_{k+m+1}
$$

implies that $\nu\left(u_{0}\right) \leq 1$.
Analogously, if $\alpha_{k+m-2}=1$ then $\nu\left(u_{0}\right) \leq 2, \ldots$, if $\alpha_{k}=1$ then $\nu\left(u_{0}\right) \leq m$.
This completes the proof of the lemma.

Now we observe a compactness condition for the functional $F$ defined by (2), in the resonant case.

Consider $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{1}$-function and $G(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(x, s) d s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j} \leq \liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \limsup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{j+1}, \quad \text { uniformly in } \Omega ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exists $C(x) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tg}(x, t)-2 G(x, t) \geq C(x), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \text { a.e } x \in \Omega \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}[\operatorname{tg}(x, t)-2 G(x, t)]=\infty, \quad \text { a.e } x \in \Omega . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [11] it was shown that the assumptions (13), (14) and (15) are enough to prove that functional the $F$, defined by (2), satisfies the Cerami condition (see [16]). Note that the hypothesis (3) implies (14) with $C(x)=0$.

In order to prove that Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 follow from Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, respectively, we have to prove that the function $g$ satisfies (15).

Proposition 3.1. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonlinear function of class $C^{1}, g(0)=0$, which satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(t) \text { is convex if } t \geq 0 \\
g(t) \text { is concave if } t \leq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, assume that $g(t) / t$ is bounded. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}[t g(t)-2 G(t)]=\infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $t>0$, and note that

$$
\frac{1}{2}[t g(t)-2 G(t)]=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{g(t)}{t} s-g(s)\right) d s
$$

The convexity of $g$ gives that $(g(t) / t) s>g(s)$ for $s \in(0, t)$. Denote by $A_{t}$ the region of the plane between the line $s \mapsto(g(t) / t) s$ and $s \mapsto g(s)$ in $(0, t)$. Let $s(t) \in(0, t)$ defined by

$$
\frac{g(t)}{t} s(t)-g(s(t))=\max _{s \in(0, t)}\left(\frac{g(t)}{t} s-g(s)\right)
$$

and the triangle $\triangle_{t}$ with vertices $(0,0),(s(t), g(s(t)))$ and $(t, g(t))$. We have $\triangle_{t} \subset A_{t}$ by convexity of $g$, hence

$$
\left|\triangle_{t}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}[t g(t)-2 G(t)]
$$

Therefore the Proposition follows of
Claim: $\left|\triangle_{t}\right| \rightarrow \infty$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

In fact, the height of $\triangle_{t}$, with reference to base $b_{t}=[(0,0),(t, g(t))]$, is

$$
h(t)=\left[\frac{g(t)}{t} s(t)-g(s(t))\right] \cos \left(\arctan \left(\frac{g(t)}{t}\right)\right) .
$$

Hence $\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t)>0$, since $g(t) / t$ is bounded; and $b_{t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The claim is proved. The argument with $t<0$ is entirely similar and the proof of proposition is complete.

Lemma 3.3. Let $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function satisfying $g(x, t) / t$ is nondecreasing for $t>0, g(x, t)=0$ for all $t \leq 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j} \leq L(x)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{j+1}, \quad j \geq 2 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the $C^{2-0}$-functional $F_{+}: H_{0}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F_{+}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(x, t) d x
$$

satisfies the (PS) condition.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in H_{0}^{1}$ be a sequence such that $\left\{F_{+}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, and $\left\|F_{+}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that for all $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<F_{+}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \varphi>=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi-\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) \varphi d x \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\varphi=u_{n}$; we have

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} g\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x+O\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \leq \lambda_{j+1}\left|u_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}+O\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)
$$

Therefore, we need to show that $\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|_{2}\right\}$ is bounded, which implies that $\left\{\left|\left|u_{n}\right|\right|\right\}$ is bounded. Since $\Omega$ is bounded and $g$ is subcritical, then if $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded, by the compactness of Sobolev embedding and by the standard processes we know that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $H_{0}^{1}$ which converges strongly, hence the Lemma is proved.

Assume by contradiction that $\left|u_{n}\right|_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left|u_{n}\right|_{2}$. Then $\left|v_{n}\right|_{2}=1$ and $\left\{\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded. We can assume that $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}$, strongly in $L_{2}$ and a.e. in $\Omega$. Thus, $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ a.e. in $\Omega$. From (18) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[\nabla v \nabla \varphi-L(x) v^{+} \varphi\right] d x, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{0}^{1} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{+}(x)=\max \{0, v(x)\}$. By the regularity theory we have

$$
-\Delta v=L(x) v^{+} \quad \text { in } \Omega .
$$

By the maximum principle and by the unique continuation property, $v=v^{+} \geq 0$ and $L \equiv \lambda_{j}$ or $L \equiv \lambda_{j+1}$. Since, $j \geq 2, v \equiv 0$, which contradicts $|v|_{2}=1$. The proof is completed.

## 4. Proofs of main Theorems

It follows from [11] that the functional $F$, defined by (2), satisfies the $(C)$ condition (or the $(P S)$ condition on the nonresonant case). Then we can use the theorems in Section 2. Without loss of generality, we assume that $F$ has only a finite number of critical points.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The cases (4) and (5) are considered simultaneously.
Let $H_{i}, i=1,2,3$, be as in Lemma 3.1. Consider

$$
S_{1}=B_{r} \cap\left(H_{2} \oplus H_{3}\right) \text { and } D_{1}=\left\{v+t e ; v \in H_{1}, 0 \leq t \leq R,\|v+t e\| \leq R\right\}
$$

where $B_{r}$ denotes the closed ball with radius $r$ centered of 0 , and $e \in H_{2}$ is chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)>0 \quad \forall u \in S_{1} \text { and } F(u) \leq 0 \quad \forall u \in \partial D_{1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

this is possible by (i) and (iii) in Lemma 3.1. Since $\partial D_{1}$ and $S_{1}$ homologically link and $D_{1}$ is a $k$-topological ball, by $(20)$ we have $H_{k}\left(F_{b}, F_{0}\right) \neq 0$, where $b>\max \{F(u) \mid u \in D\}$ (see Theorem 2.2 in Section 2). Hence we can conclude, by Theorem 2.1, that there exist $u_{1}$ critical point of $F$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}\left(F, u_{1}\right) \neq 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, set $S_{2}=H_{3}$ and $D_{2}=B_{R} \cap\left(H_{1} \oplus H_{2}\right)$. By (ii) and (iv) in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in S_{2} \text { and } F(u)<0 \quad \forall u \in \partial D_{2} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, since $\partial D_{2}$ and $S_{2}$ homologically link and $D_{2}$ is a $(k+m)$-topological ball, we have that there exist $u_{2}$ critical point of $F$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k+m}\left(F, u_{2}\right) \neq 0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we have to prove that $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, and are nontrivial. Note that 0 is a critical point of $F$ and $\mu(0)+\nu(0) \leq k-1$. By Shifting Theorem, $C_{p}(F, 0)=0$ for all $p \geq k$. So $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are nontrivial, by (21) and (23). Again by Shifting Theorem (Corollary 2.1) we have, either
(i) $C_{p}\left(F, u_{1}\right)=\delta_{p \mu\left(u_{1}\right)}$, or
(ii) $C_{p}\left(F, u_{1}\right)=\delta_{p\left(\mu\left(u_{1}\right)+\nu\left(u_{1}\right)\right)}$, or
(iii) $C_{p}\left(F, u_{1}\right)=0$ if $p \notin\left(\mu\left(u_{1}\right),\left(\mu\left(u_{1}\right)+\nu\left(u_{1}\right)\right)\right.$.

If (i) or (ii) hold, then $C_{k+m}\left(F, u_{1}\right)=0$ by (21). If (iii) hold then $k>\mu\left(u_{1}\right)$ by (21) and hence $k+m>\mu\left(u_{1}\right)+\nu\left(u_{1}\right)$ by Lemma 3.2, again $C_{k+m}\left(F, u_{1}\right)=0$ by (iii). Therefore $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$ by (23). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is finished.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set

$$
g_{+}(x, t)= \begin{cases}g(x, t), & t \geq 0 \\ 0, & t \leq 0,\end{cases}
$$

and consider the problem

$$
\begin{array}{rlrc}
-\Delta u & =g_{+}(x, u) & & \text { in } \\
u & =0 & & \text { on }  \tag{24}\\
& \partial \Omega,
\end{array}
$$

Define

$$
F_{+}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G_{+}(x, u) d x, \quad u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

Then $F_{+} \in C^{2-0}$ and, by Lemma 3.3, satisfies $(P S)$ condition.
Since $g^{\prime}(x, 0)<\lambda_{1}, u=0$ is a strictly local minimum of $F_{+}$. Let $\varphi_{1}>0$ to be the first eigenfunction of $\left(\Delta, H_{0}^{1}\right)$, and consider $\gamma>\lambda_{1}$ such that $G_{+}(x, t) \geq(\gamma / 2) t^{2}-C$ for $t>0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{+}\left(s \varphi_{1}\right) & =\frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G_{+}\left(x, s \varphi_{1}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda_{1} s^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{2} d x-\frac{\gamma s^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{2} d x+C \\
& =\frac{s^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}-\gamma\right)}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{2} d x+C \rightarrow-\infty, \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the mountain pass theorem, $F_{+}$has a nontrivial critical point $u_{+}$. By the maximum principle, $u_{+}>0$. Therefore $u_{+}$is a critical point of the functional $F$ defined by (2). Similarly, we get a negative critical point $u_{-}$of $F$. Moreover, as in [10], we have

$$
\operatorname{rank} C_{p}\left(\left.F_{ \pm}\right|_{C_{0}^{1}}, u_{ \pm}\right)=\delta_{p 1} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{rank} C_{p}\left(\left.F\right|_{C_{0}^{1}}, u_{ \pm}\right)=\operatorname{rank} C_{p}\left(\left.F_{ \pm}\right|_{C_{0}^{1}}, u_{ \pm}\right)=\delta_{p 1} \quad \forall p=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

By the proof of the previous theorem, there exists a nontrivial solution $u$ such that

$$
C_{m+1}(F, u) \neq 0, \quad \text { where } m \geq 1 .
$$

By Theorem 1 in [8], we have

$$
C_{m+1}\left(\left.F\right|_{C_{0}^{1}}, u\right)=C_{m+1}(F, u) .
$$

Therefore $u$ is a third nontrivial solution.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. By the proof of the previous theorem, problem (1) has at least three nontrivial solutions one is positive, another is negative and a third solution $u$ is such that

$$
C_{m+1}(F, u) \neq 0, \quad m \geq 2
$$

So the Theorem follows of next claim.
Claim: (1) has a sign changing solution $w$ such that

$$
C_{p}(F, w)=\delta_{p 2} \mathbb{Z}
$$

Proof: We use the notation as in [4].
Let $P=\left\{u \in X=C_{0}^{1}(\Omega) ; u \geq 0\right\}, D=P \cup(-P), \dot{D}$ and $\varphi_{i}$ the normalized eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2$; we have $\varphi_{1} \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$.

The main ingredient in the proof of the Claim is the negative gradient flow $\varphi^{t}$ of $F$ in $H$, that is,

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi^{t}=-\nabla F \circ \varphi^{t}, \quad \varphi^{0}=\mathrm{id} .
$$

We have that $\varphi^{t}(u) \in X$ for $u \in X$ and $\varphi^{t}$ induces a continuous (local) flow on $X$ which we continue to denote by $\varphi^{t}$. The main order related property of $\varphi^{t}$ is that $P$ and $-P$ are positively invariant (by $g(x, t) t \geq 0$ ). $F$ has the retracting property on $X$ (see [13]).

Now the proof follows as in Theorem 3.6 in [4]. We sketch it briefly for completeness. Here we denote by $F^{a}=\{u \in X ; F(u) \leq a\}$.

As $k>2$ by (ii) in Lemma 3.1 there exists $R>0$ such that $F(u)<0$ for any $u \in$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\}$ with $\|u\| \geq R$. Now we set

$$
B=\left\{s \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2} ;|s| \leq R, 0 \leq t \leq R\right\}
$$

and

$$
\partial B=\left\{s \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2} ;|s|=R \text { or } t \in\{0, R\}\right\} .
$$

We have $\partial B \subset F^{0} \cup D$. Let $\beta=\max F(B)$ so that $(B, \partial B) \hookrightarrow\left(F^{\beta} \cup D, F^{0} \cup D\right)$. Let $\xi_{\beta} \in H_{2}\left(F^{\beta} \cup D, F^{0} \cup D\right)$ be the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}=H_{2}(B, \partial B)$ under the homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{Z}=H_{2}(B, \partial B) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(F^{\beta} \cup D, F^{0} \cup D\right)
$$

induced by the inclusion. For $\gamma \leq \beta$ let

$$
j_{\gamma}: H_{2}\left(F^{\gamma} \cup D, F^{0} \cup D\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(F^{\beta} \cup D, F^{0} \cup D\right)
$$

be also induced by the inclusion. Now we define

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \leq \beta ; \xi_{\beta} \in \text { image }\left(j_{\gamma}\right)\right\}
$$

and $c=\inf \Gamma$. It is a critical value by the next lemma and standard deformation arguments.
Lemma 4.1. $\xi_{\beta} \neq 0$.
In fact, let $e_{1} \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$ be the first eigenvalue of

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u-g^{\prime}(x, 0) u & =\lambda u \quad & \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u & =0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

and set $X_{1}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}\right\}, X_{2}=X_{1}^{\perp} \cap X$. We have $\inf F\left(X_{2} \cap \partial B_{\rho}\right) \geq \alpha>0$ for some $\rho>0$ small. This implies

$$
(B, \partial B) \subset\left(F^{\beta} \cup D, F^{0} \cup D\right) \subset\left(X, X \backslash X_{2} \cap \partial B_{\rho}\right)
$$

Therefore the lemma follows of that the homeomorphism

$$
H_{2}(B, \partial B) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(X, X \backslash X_{2} \cap \partial B_{\rho}\right)
$$

induced by inclusion is nontrivial (it is showed in [4]).
As a consequence of previous lemma we have $0 \notin \Gamma$ because $j_{0}=0$. As $F^{0} \cup D$ is a strong deformation retract of $F^{\gamma} \cup D$ for $\gamma>0$ small enough (see Remark 5.1 in Appendix), we have $c>0$. Clearly $\beta \in \Gamma$, hence $c \in(0, \beta]$.

We choose $\epsilon>0$ small enough. Consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2}\left(F^{c-\epsilon} \cup D, F^{0} \cup D\right) \\
& \downarrow j \\
& { }^{j}\left(F^{c+\epsilon} \cup D, F^{0} \cup D\right) \\
& \downarrow^{j_{c-\epsilon}} \\
& \underbrace{j_{c+\epsilon}} \\
& H_{2}\left(F^{c+\epsilon} \cup D, F^{c-\epsilon} \cup D\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c+\epsilon \in \Gamma$ there exists $\xi_{c+\epsilon} \in H_{2}\left(F^{c+\epsilon} \cup D, F^{0} \cup D\right)$ with $j_{c+\epsilon}\left(\xi_{c+\epsilon}\right)=\xi_{\beta}$. Now $\xi_{c+\epsilon} \notin$ image ( $j_{c-\epsilon}$ ) because $c-\epsilon \notin \Gamma$. Therefore the exactness of the left column yields $H_{2}\left(F^{c+\epsilon} \cup D, F^{c-\epsilon} \cup D\right) \neq 0$. This implies that there exists a critical point $w$ such that $w \notin D$ and $C_{2}(F, w) \neq 0$ (see the Appendix, above).

Let $w_{+}=\max \{w, 0\}$ and $w_{-}=w_{+}-w$. By (3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle F^{\prime \prime}(w) w_{+}, w_{+}\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla w_{+}\right|^{2}-g^{\prime}(x, w) w_{+}^{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(w_{+} g(x, w)-g^{\prime}(x, w) w_{+}^{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} w_{+}^{2}\left(\frac{g(x, w)}{w_{+}}-g^{\prime}(x, w)\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} w_{+}^{2}\left(\frac{g\left(x, w_{+}\right)}{w_{+}}-g^{\prime}\left(x, w_{+}\right)\right)<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $\left\langle F^{\prime \prime}(w) w_{-}, w_{-}\right\rangle<0$. As $w_{+}$and $w_{-}$are orthogonal, we have $\left\langle F^{\prime \prime}(w) u, u\right\rangle<0$ for all $u \in \operatorname{span}\left\{w_{+}, w_{-}\right\}$, that is, the Morse index of $w$ is 2 . By the Shifting Theorem we have $C_{p}(F, w)=\delta_{p 2} \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $a<b$ such that $F(K) \in(a, b)$ (see [1]), then by the hypothesis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k-1} \leq g^{\prime}(x, 0)<\lambda_{k}<\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t}<\lambda_{k+1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the limits are uniformly in $\Omega$. It is proved in [17], that

$$
C_{p}(F, 0)=\delta_{p, k-1} \mathbb{Z}
$$

and

$$
H_{p}\left(F_{b}, F_{a}\right)=\delta_{p k} \mathbb{Z}
$$

Moreover, note that if $u_{0}$ is a nontrivial critical point of $F$ by (25), the Lemma 3.2 states that $u_{0}$ is nondegenerate and the Morse index of $u_{0}$ is $k$. Therefore

$$
C_{p}(F, u)=\delta_{p k} \mathbb{Z}
$$

Let $m$ the number of nontrivial critical points of $F$, by the Morse identity, we have

$$
(-1)^{k}=(-1)^{k-1}+m(-1)^{k} .
$$

It follows that $m=2$. Then problem (1) has exactly two solutions.

## 5. Appendix

In this section we prove that if $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}$ be all the sign changing critical points of $F$ at the level $c$, then we can choose $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
H_{*}\left(F^{c+\epsilon} \cup \dot{D}, F^{c-\epsilon} \cup \dot{D}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} C_{*}\left(F, x_{i}\right)
$$

Again $F^{a}=\{u \in X ; F(u) \leq a\}$.
Let $N^{\prime} \subset N$ be two closed neighborhoods of $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(N^{\prime}, \partial N\right) \geq \frac{7}{8} \delta, \quad \delta>0
$$

By the $(C)$ condition there exist constants $b$ and $\bar{\epsilon}$ positive, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F^{\prime}(u)\right\| & \geq b \quad \forall x \in F^{c+\bar{\epsilon}} \backslash\left(F^{c-\bar{\epsilon}} \cup N^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & <\bar{\epsilon}<\operatorname{Min}\left\{\frac{1}{4} \delta b^{2}, \frac{1}{8} \delta b\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define a smooth function:

$$
p(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { for } s \notin[c-\bar{\epsilon}, c+\bar{\epsilon}] \\
1 & \text { for } s \in[c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $0 \leq p(s) \leq 1$ and $0<\epsilon<\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{2}$. Let $A=\overline{H \backslash\left(N^{\prime}\right)_{\frac{\delta}{8}}}$, where $\left(N^{\prime}\right)_{\delta}=\left\{u \in H ; \operatorname{dist}\left(u, N^{\prime}\right) \leq\right.$ $\delta\}$, and $B=N^{\prime}$. Let

$$
d(u)=\frac{\operatorname{dist}(u, B)}{\operatorname{dist}(u, A)+\operatorname{dist}(u, B)}
$$

We see that $0 \leq d(u) \leq 1, d=0$ on $N^{\prime}$ and $d=1$ outside $\left(N^{\prime}\right)_{\frac{\delta}{8}}$. Define

$$
q(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1 & 0 \leq s \leq 1 \\
1 / s & s \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Denote $h(u)=d(u) p(F(u)) q\left(\left\|F^{\prime}(u)\right\|\right)$. Consider the ODE

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\sigma}(\tau)=-h(\sigma(\tau)) F^{\prime}(\sigma(\tau)),  \tag{26}\\
\sigma(0)=u_{0} \quad \forall u_{0} \in X .
\end{gather*}
$$

The global existence and uniqueness of the flow $\sigma(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ are known. Let

$$
\eta(u, t)=\sigma(t), \text { with } \sigma(0)=u
$$

Then $\eta \in C([0,1] \times X, X)$ satisfies

$$
\eta\left(1, F^{c+\epsilon} \backslash N\right) \subset F^{c-\epsilon}
$$

This result can be found in [9], Theorem 3.3 in Chapter I. We use it to prove the next result.

Lemma 5.1. Suppose that there are only finitely many sign changing critical points $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}$, of $F$ at the level $c$. Then we can choose $\epsilon>0$ and neighborhoods $N_{i} \subset X \backslash D$ of $u_{i}$ with the following properties:
(i) $N_{i} \cap N_{j}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$;
(ii) $u_{i}=N_{i} \cap K$;
(iii) $F^{c-\epsilon} \cup N_{i}$ is positively invariant under $\varphi^{t}$; and
(iv) there exists $T>0$ with $\varphi^{T}\left(F^{c+\epsilon}\right) \subset F^{c-\epsilon} \cup N_{1} \cup \cdots \cup N_{r}$.

Proof. Let be $u_{0} \in F^{-1}[c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon] \cap X$. By the $(C)$ condition, we have that there is a $\delta>\epsilon$ such that $0<h(u)$ is bounded when $u \in F^{-1}[c-\delta, c+\delta] \cap H$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(\tau, u_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{\tau} h\left(\eta\left(\zeta, u_{0}\right)\right) d \zeta, \quad \tau \in[0,1] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $t=\omega\left(\tau, u_{0}\right):[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, and let $\varphi\left(t, u_{0}\right)=\eta\left(\tau, u_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\frac{d \varphi}{d t}=\frac{d \eta}{d \tau} \frac{d \tau}{d t}=-F^{\prime}\left(\eta\left(\tau, u_{0}\right)\right)=-F^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)
$$

Now we choose the $\left(N_{i}\right)^{\prime} s$ satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii), $\epsilon$ as in above result and we define $T=\max \left\{\omega\left(1, u_{0}\right) ; u_{0} \in F^{-1}[c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon] \cap X\right\}<\infty$. Hence, by the previous result, we have

$$
\varphi^{T}\left(F^{c+\epsilon} \backslash N\right) \subset F^{c-\epsilon},
$$

and using (iii) we have (iv).
Setting $N=N_{1} \cup \cdots \cup N_{r}$ properties (iii) and (iv), in the above lemma, imply that $F^{c-\epsilon} \cup N \cup D$ is a strong deformation retract of $F^{c+\epsilon} \cup D$, hence

$$
H_{*}\left(F^{c-\epsilon} \cup N \cup \dot{D}, F^{c-\epsilon} \cup \dot{D}\right) \simeq H_{*}\left(F^{c+\epsilon} \cup \dot{D}, F^{c-\epsilon} \cup \dot{D}\right)
$$

The excision property of homology implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{*}\left(N, N \cap F^{c-\epsilon}\right) & \simeq H_{*}\left(F^{c-\epsilon} \cup N, F^{c-\epsilon}\right) \\
& \simeq H_{*}\left(F^{c-\epsilon} \cup N \cup \dot{D}, F^{c-\epsilon} \cup \dot{D}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now properties (i) and (ii) yield

$$
H_{*}\left(N, N \cap F^{c-\epsilon}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} H_{*}\left(N_{i}, N_{i} \cap F^{c-\epsilon}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} C_{*}\left(F, x_{i}\right) .
$$

How we want to prove.
Remark 5.1. The same idea, in the Lemma 5.1, can be used to show that $F^{0} \cup D$ is a strong deformation retract of $F^{\gamma} \cup D$ for $\gamma>0$ small enough. In fact, we can prove that the flow used in [22] have the same orbits of the flow $\varphi^{t}$.
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