Reflexive Spaces of Linear Operators

Jorge Mujica

IMECC-UNICAMP, Caixa Postal 6065 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brazil e-mail: mujica@ime.unicamp.br

Abstract

In this note we improve a result of Ruckle[9] and Holub[3], which characterizes when the space L(E; F) is reflexive.

Let E and F be Banach spaces, let L(E; F) denote the Banach space of all continuous linear operators from E into F, and let K(E; F) denote the subspace of all compact members of L(E; F). Let A(E; F) denote the subspace of all *approximable operators*, that is A(E; F) is the norm-closure of $E' \otimes F$, the subspace of all finite rank operators.

Let τ_c denote the topology of compact convergence on L(E; F), that is the locally convex topology defined by the seminorms of the form $||T|| = sup_{x \in K} ||Tx||$, where K varies among the compact subsets of E.

We recall that E is said to have the *approximation property* if the identity operator on E lies in the τ_c -closure of $E' \otimes E$. Likewise E is said to have the *compact approximation property* if the identity operator on E lies in the τ_c -closure of K(E; E). Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem. Let E and F be reflexive Banach spaces. Consider the following conditions:

(a) L(E; F) = A(E; F).

(b) L(E;F) = K(E;F).

(c) For each $T \in L(E; F)$ there exists $x \in E$ with ||x|| = 1 such that ||Tx|| = ||T||.

(d) L(E; F) is reflexive.

(e) $L(E;F)' = (L(E;F), \tau_c)'.$

Then the implications $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e)$ always hold. If either E or F has the compact approximation property, then $(e) \Rightarrow (b)$. And if either E or F has the approximation property, then $(e) \Rightarrow (a)$.

Proof. The implication $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ is obvious, and the implications $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ and $(c) \Rightarrow (d)$ have been elegantly proved by Holub[3].

 $(d) \Rightarrow (e)$: We have the canonical isometric isomorphisms

$$L(E;F) = Bil(E,F') = (E\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi}F')'$$

(see [1, p.27]). And L(E; F) being reflexive, we conclude that

$$L(E;F)' = E\tilde{\otimes}_{\pi}F'.$$

Hence every $\Phi \in L(E; F)'$ admits a representation of the form

$$\Phi(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y'_n(Tx_n)$$

for every $T \in L(E; F)$, where

$$(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset E, \quad (y'_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset F', \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||x_n|| ||y'_n|| < \infty.$$

Thus $L(E; F)' \subset (L(E; F), \tau_c)'$, by [1, p. 62] or [6, p. 31]. Since the other inclusion is obvious, (e) follows.

 $(e) \Rightarrow (b)$ if either E or F has the compact approximation property: If either E or F has the compact approximation property, then one can readily see that K(E; F) is a dense subspace of $(L(E; F), \tau_c)$. This in tandem with (e) implies that

$$L(E;F) = \overline{K(E;F)}^{\tau_c} = \overline{K(E;F)}^{\parallel,\parallel} = K(E;F).$$

If either E or F has the approximation property, then a similar argument shows that $(e) \Rightarrow (a)$.

Remarks. (1) Ruckle[9] proved that $(b) \Leftrightarrow (d)$ when both E and F have the approximation property. Holub[3] proved that the implications $(b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d)$ always hold, and that $(d) \Rightarrow (b)$ when either E or F has the approximation property. See also [4] for another proof of the implication $(b) \Rightarrow (d)$, and [1, p. 196] or [2, p. 247] for another proof of the equivalence $(b) \Leftrightarrow (d)$ when either E or F has the approximation property.

(2) A refinement of the proof of the implication $(d) \Rightarrow (e)$ shows that conditions (d) and (e) are actually equivalent. But I do not know whether any of the other implications in the theorem may be reversed in general.

(3) Every ℓ_p with $p \neq 2$ contains a closed subspace without the compact approximation property. See [6, p. 94] for the case p > 2 and [7, p. 107] for the case p < 2. Willis[10] has given an example of a reflexive Banach space which has the compact approximation property, but fails to have the approximation property.

Example. Let E and F be closed subspaces of ℓ_p and ℓ_q , respectively, where 1 < p, q < 2. Let $R : E \hookrightarrow \ell_p$ and $S : F \hookrightarrow \ell_q$ denote the inclusion mappings. Let $\tilde{T} = S \circ T \circ R'$ for each $T \in L(E'; F)$. Then one can readily see that the mapping

$$T \in L(E'; F) \to \tilde{T} \in L(\ell_{p'}; \ell_q)$$

is an isometric embedding, where (1/p) + (1/p') = 1. Moreover T is compact if and only if \tilde{T} is compact. Since p' > q, it follows from a result of Pitt[8] that $L(\ell_{p'}; \ell_q) = K(\ell_{p'}; \ell_q)$ (see [5, p. 208] for a direct proof). Hence we see that L(E'; F) is reflexive and L(E'; F) = K(E'; F). Furthermore, taking into account Remark (3) and the fact that a reflexive Banach space has the compact approximation property if and only if its dual has the same property (a standard argument shows this), we may even assume that neither E' nor F has the compact approximation property.

I am indebted to Raymundo Alencar for some helpful conversations.

References

[1] A. Defant and K. Floret, Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.

[2] J. Diestel and J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1977.

[3] **J. Holub**, *Reflexivity of* L(E; F), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 39(1973), 175-177.

[4] **N. Kalton**, Spaces of compact operators, Math. Ann. 208(1974), 267-278.

[5] G. Köthe, Topological Vector Spaces II, Springer, New York, 1979.

[6] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.

[7] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II*, Springer, Berlin, 1979.

[8] **H.** Pitt, A note on bilinear forms, J. London Math. Soc. 11(1936), 174-180.

[9] **W. Ruckle**, *Reflexivity of* L(E; F), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34(1972), 171-174.

[10] **G. Willis**, The compact approximation property does not imply the approximation property, Studia Math. 103(1992), 99-108.