

# Partitions with Attached Parts

by

*Paulo Mondek*

and

*José Plínio O. Santos*

**Abstract:** *In this paper we present a combinatorial interpretation, by partitions with attached parts, for a special family of summations, which includes very interesting particular cases.*

## 1. Introduction

Our main purpose in this paper is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A_{k,i}(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  with parts in  $M_{k,i}$  which satisfy: (a) if “ $rk - (i + 1)$ ” and “ $sk - (i + 1)$ ” are parts then  $|r - s| \geq 2$ , and (b)  $tk + 1$  is a part (repetitions allowed) only if “ $(t + 1)k - (i + 1)$ ” or “ $(t + 2)k - (i + 1)$ ” occurs as a part. Then for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{k,i}(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{kn^2+(k-i-1)n}}{(q^k, q^k)_n (q; q^k)_n}.$$

For the cases  $k = i = 1$  or  $k = i = 2$  or  $k > 2$  and  $i = k - 2$  we have to consider the parts as elements of multisets indexed as  $[(2 + s)k - (i + 1)]_1$  and  $[rk + 1]_2$ .

Here we use the standard notation

$$(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \dots (1 - aq^{n-1})$$

and

$$(a; q)_{\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n.$$

The sets  $M_{k,i}$  which appear in the Theorem 1 above are defined as

$$M_{k,i} = \{rk + 1 \text{ or } (2 + s)k - (i + 1) \mid r, s \geq 0\}.$$

## 2. Particular Cases

The set of partitions enumerated by  $A_{2,1}(n)$  is the same enumerated by  $\mathcal{C}_{2,2}(n)$ , i.e.,

*“the number of partitions of  $n$  wherein: (a) 2 appears as a part at most 1 time, (b) the total number of appearances of  $2j$  and  $2j + 2$  together is at most 1, and (c)  $2j + 1$  is allowed to appear (and may be repeated if it appears) only if the total number of appearances of  $2j$  and  $2j + 2$  together is precisely 1”*

which is given in Theorem 1, pg. 92 of Andrews and Santos [1]. From this we get the following result:

**Theorem 2:** Let  $\mathcal{A}_{2,2}(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  into parts that are either even but  $\not\equiv 0, \pm 6 \pmod{16}$  or odd and  $\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ ;  $\mathcal{B}_{2,2}(n)$  the number of partitions of  $n$  into parts that are even but  $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  or distinct, odd and  $\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ ; and  $A_{2,1}(n)$  the number of partitions of  $n$  with parts into  $M_{2,1}$  satisfying:

- (a) if  $2r - 2$  and  $2s - 1$  are parts then  $|r - s| \geq 2$ , and
- (b)  $2t + 1$  is a part (repetitions allowed) only if  $2t$  or  $2t + 2$  occurs as a part.

Then, for each  $n$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_{2,2}(n) = \mathcal{B}_{2,2}(n) = A_{2,1}(n).$$

We observe, furthermore, that  $A_{2,1}(n)$  is also equal to  $A_2(n)$ :

*“The number of partitions of  $n$  with parts in*

$$M_2 = \{2_2, 3_3, 4_2, 4_4, 5_3, 5_5, 6_2, 6_4, 6_6, \dots\}$$

such that the difference between any consecutives parts  $a_i$  and  $b_j(a > b)$  satisfy  $a - b \geq i + j$ "

described in Theorem 2.1 of Santos and Mondek [3].

The table below give us an illustration for Theorem 2 and the observation above for  $n = 10$ .

$\mathcal{A}_{2,2}(10) = 7$	$\mathcal{B}_{2,2}(10) = 7$	$A_{2,1}(10) = 7$	$A_2(10) = 7$
$8 + 2$	$10$	$10$	$10_{10}$
$5 + 5$	$6 + 4$	$8 + 2$	$10_8$
$5 + 3 + 2$	$6 + 2 + 2$	$6 + 2 + 1 + 1$	$10_6$
$4 + 4 + 2$	$5 + 3 + 2$	$4 + 3 + 3$	$10_4$
$4 + 3 + 3$	$4 + 4 + 2$	$3 + 3 + 2 + 1 + 1$	$10_2$
$4 + 2 + 2 + 2$	$4 + 2 + 2 + 2$	$3 + 2 + 1 + \dots + 1$	$8_4 + 2_2$
$2 + \dots + 2$	$2 + \dots + 2$	$2 + 1 + \dots + 1$	$8_2 + 2_2$

The second particular case is for  $k = i = 1$ .

From equation 6 of [2] which is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2-n}}{(q; q)_n^2} = \frac{2}{(q; q)_{\infty}}$$

we obtain:

**Theorem 3.** The number of partitions of  $n$  enumerated by  $A_{1,1}(n)$  is equal to two times the number of partitions of  $n$ .

For exemple we have  $A_{1,1}(4) = 10$  which enumerates the following partitions:

$$\begin{aligned}
&4_1 \\
&4_1 + 0_1 \\
&3_1 + 1_1 \\
&3_1 + 1_2 + 0_1 \\
&3_2 + 1_1 \\
&2_1 + 2_2 + 0_1 \\
&2_1 + 1_2 + 1_2 + 0_1 \\
&2_2 + 2_2 + 0_1 \\
&2_2 + 1_2 + 1_2 + 0_1 \\
&1_2 + 1_2 + 1_2 + 1_2 + 0_1
\end{aligned}$$

Our third particular case is for  $k = i = 2$ :

Using the identity 85 of Slater [4]

$$(q; q)_\infty \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(2n-1)}}{(q; q)_{2n}} = (q^6; q^8)_\infty (q^2; q^8)_\infty (q^4; q^{16})_\infty (q^8; q^8)_\infty$$

we rewrite it as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(2n-1)}}{(q^2; q^2)_n (q^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_\infty}.$$

From this we can see that is valid the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.** The number of partitions of  $n$  enumerated by  $A_{2,2}(n)$  is equal to number of partitions of  $n$  in odd parts.

To illustrate this theorem we present below the partitions for  $n = 8$ :

partitions enumerated by $A_{2,2}(8)$	partitions of 8 in odd parts
$7_1 + 1_1$	$7 + 1$
$5_2 + 3_1$	$5 + 3$
$5_1 + 1_1 + 1_2 + 1_2$	$5 + 1 + 1 + 1$
$3_2 + 3_2 + 1_1 + 1_2$	$3 + 3 + 1 + 1$
$3_2 + 1_1 + 1_2 + \dots + 1_2$	$3 + 1 + \dots + 1$
$1_1 + 1_2 + \dots 1_2$	$1 + \dots + 1$

### 3. The Proof of Theorem 1

**Proof.** First we define  $A_{k,i}(m, n)$  to be the number of partitions of the type enumerated by  $A_{k,i}(n)$  with the added condition that the number of parts in each partitions is exactly  $m$ .

Now our goal is to prove that

$$\cup_{k,i}(z) := \sum_{m,n \geq 0} A_{k,i}(m, n) z^m q^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n q^{kn^2 + (k-i-1)n}}{(q^k; q^k)_n (zq; q^k)_n} := \vee_{k,i}(z) \quad (1)$$

We have the following functional equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \vee_{k,i}(z) - \vee_{k,i}(zq^k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n q^{kn^2 + (k-i-1)n}}{(q^k; q^k)_n (zq^{k+1}; q^k)_{n-1}} \left( \frac{1}{1-zq} - \frac{q^{kn}}{1-zq^{kn+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-zq)(1-zq^{k+1})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n q^{kn^2 + (k-i-1)n}}{(q^k; q^k)_{n-1} (zq^{2k+1}; q^k)_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{zq^{2k-i-1}}{(1-zq)(1-zq^{k+1})} \vee_{k,i}(zq^{2k}) \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\vee_{k,i}(z) = \vee_{k,i}(zq^k) + \frac{zq^{2k-i-1}}{(1-zq)(1-zq^{k+1})} \vee_{k,i}(zq^{2k}) \quad (2)$$

After this we observe that (2), together with  $\vee_{k,i}(0) = 1$ , uniquely determine  $\vee_{k,i}(z)$  as double power series in  $z$  and  $q$ .

On the other side, due to the definition of  $M_{k,i}$  and the condition (b) of the enunciate,  $\cup_{k,i}(z) - \cup_{k,i}(zq^k)$  enumerates all those partitions of the type enumerated by  $\cup_{k,i}(z)$  that contain any number of 1's attached to an appearance of “ $2k - (i + 1)$ ” or any  $(k + 1)$ 's attached to an appearance of “ $2k - (i + 1)$ ” and not to an appearance of  $3k - (i + 1)$ . This, together with condition (a), tell us that the partitions in  $\cup_{k,i}(z) - \cup_{k,i}(zq^k)$  are generated by

$$\frac{zq^{2k-i-1}}{(1-zq)(1-zq^{k+1})} \cup_{k,i}(zq^{2k}).$$

Considering that  $U_{k,i}(0) = 1$ , (1) is proved.

To finish the proof of the theorem is sufficient to note that the same argument used above can be used for the cases:  $k = i = 1$ ,  $k = i = 2$ ,  $k > 2$  and  $i = k - 2$ . ■

**Remark.** The natural question that arise from this work: there exist others  $k$  or  $i$ , different from the ones that we give in section 2, for which we have sums?

### References

- [1] Andrews, G.E. and Santos, J.P.O. (1997). Rogers-Ramanujan Type Identities for Partitions with Attached Odd Parts. *The Ramanujan Journal* 1, 91-99.
- [2] Santos, J.P.O and Mondek, P. (1996). Sobre a Obtenção e Demonstração Combinatória de Novas Identidades do Tipo Rogers-Ramanujan (to appear).
- [3] —————. (1998). Partitions with " $\lfloor \frac{N+1}{2} \rfloor$  copies of  $N$ ", Relatório de Pesquisa 09/98, Unicamp.
- [4] Slater, L.J. (1952). Further identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) 54, 147-167.

**CCET - UFMS Cx.P.549**  
**79070-900 - Campo Grande - MS - Brasil**  
**e-mail:mondek@hilbert.dmt.ufms.br**

**IMECC-UNICAMP Cx.P. 6065**  
**13081-970 - Campinas - SP - Brasil**  
**email:josepli@ime.unicamp.br**