

# On Invariant Subspaces of Linear Operators

*Ricardo N. Cruz\**

We prove that a linear operator  $T : V \rightarrow V$ ,  $V$  a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , has an invariant subspace of dimension 1 or 2, by using complex equations. The spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators follows in the easiest way known to us. The result is useful in the study of orthogonal operators as well.

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator,  $V$  a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will prove the existence of a  $T$ -invariant subspace  $W$  of  $V$  of dimension one or two. Now, given an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  over  $V$ , then  $W^\perp$  (the orthogonal complement) is  $T$ -invariant if  $T$  is self-adjoint or orthogonal with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  (the proofs in the literature are basically the same ([BW], [Li])). As self-adjoint or orthogonal operators are easily described in dimensions one or two ([BW], [Li]) the study of  $T$  reduces to the study of  $T|_{W^\perp} : W^\perp \rightarrow W^\perp$ . As  $\dim W^\perp < \dim V$ , iterating this procedure produces the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators and a characterization of orthogonal operators (finite dimension).

## 2. Invariant Subspaces.

**2.1 Lemma.** Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator,  $V$  a vector space with  $n = \dim V < \infty$ . There is a  $T$ -invariant subspace  $W \subset V$  with  $\dim W = 1$  or  $2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  be an ordered basis of  $V$ . Let  $A$  be the matrix of  $T$  with respect to  $\alpha$ . Let  $\lambda = \mu + i\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of the equation

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0,$$

---

\* partially supported by FAPESP/BRAZIL grant 1996/6167-7

where  $I$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and  $\det ( )$  means determinant. The existence of  $\lambda = \mu + i\nu$  is guaranteed by the fundamental theorem of Algebra. Now, solve the equation

$$(A - (\mu + i\nu)I)Z = 0,$$

$Z$  a complex column vector with  $n$  coordinates, by performing elementary row operations ([AR], [BCRW]). This process will produce a matrix  $E$  in row-echelon form such that the above equation is equivalent to

$$EZ = 0.$$

As  $\det (A - (\mu + i\nu)I) = 0$ ,  $\det E = 0$  as well. Therefore,  $E \neq I$  and so there is a solution  $Z \neq 0$ . Thus, there are real column vectors not both zero

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

such that  $Z = X + iY$ . It follows that

$$A(X + iY) = (\mu + i\nu)(X + iY).$$

Taking real and imaginary parts gives

$$AX, AY \in \text{Span}[X, Y],$$

where  $\text{Span}[X, Y]$  is the subspace spanned by  $X, Y$  in the space of  $n \times 1$  matrices. Next, let  $u, v \in V$  be vectors such that its coordinates with respect to  $\alpha$  are given by  $X, Y$  respectively. Set  $W = \text{Span}[u, v]$ . Then  $W$  is  $T$ -invariant. Clearly,  $\dim W = 1$  or  $2$ . ■

### 3. Concluding Remark.

In [Li], the above lemma is proved by first obtaining a polynomial  $p(x)$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $p(T) = 0$ , then factoring  $p(x)$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then concluding that a linear

or quadratic factor of  $p(T)$  must be non-invertible. Now, use the kernel and image theorem.

We believe that our proof is less abstract than the proof outlined above.

IMECC/UNICAMP  
Campinas SP, Brazil  
CEP 13083-970

## References

- [AR] H. Anton & C. Rorres: Elementary Linear Algebra, 7<sup>th</sup> ed., Wiley, 1994.
- [BCRW] J. Boldrini, S. Costa, V. Ribeiro & H. Wetzler: Álgebra Linear, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed, Harper & Row do Brasil, 1984.
- [BW] T. Banchoff & J. Wermer: Linear Algebra Through Geometry, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [Li] E. Lima Álgebra Linear, 2nd ed., Coleção Matemática Universitária, IMPA, 1996.