

3223

RELATÓRIO DE PESQUISA 1994

A FIXED POINT THEOREM OF
BANACH IN THE FUZZY CONTEXT

*Marko A. Rojas-Medar, Heriberto Román-Flores
and
Rodney C. Bassanezi*

Maio

RP 22/94

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA
ESTATÍSTICA E CIÊNCIA DA COMPUTAÇÃO



UNICAMP

UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS

RT - BIMECC
3143

06 JAN 1995

ABSTRACT - The classic fixed point theorem of Banach establish that if (X, d) is a complete metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a contractive function, then f has a unique fixed point.

In this work we present a "Banach theorem" type for a function $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$, where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ denote the metric space of fuzzy sets.

IMECC - UNICAMP
Universidade Estadual de Campinas
CP 6065
13081-970 Campinas SP
Brasil

O conteúdo do presente Relatório de Pesquisa é de única responsabilidade do(s) autor(es).

Maio - 1994

I. M. E. C. C.
B I B L I O T E C A

A FIXED POINT THEOREM OF BANACH IN THE FUZZY CONTEXT*

Marko A. Rojas-Medar
Rodney C. Bassanezi
IMECC-UNICAMP
C.P.. 6065
13081-970 Campinas,
SP, Brazil.

Heriberto Román-Flores
Departamento de Matemática
Universidad de Tarapacá
Casilla 7D
Arica, Chile.

Abstract. The classic fixed point theorem of Banach establish that if (X, d) is a complete metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a contractive function, then f has a unique fixed point.

In this work we present a "Banach theorem" type for a function $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$, where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ denote the metric space of fuzzy sets.

1. Preliminaries.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, we denote by

$$\mathcal{C}(X) = \{A \subseteq X \mid A \text{ is closed, bounded and nonempty}\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \{A \subseteq X \mid A \text{ is compact and nonempty}\}$$

$$N(A, r) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, a) < r \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

The *Hausdorff metric* on $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is defined by

$$H(A, B) = \inf \{r > 0 \mid B \subseteq N(A, r) \text{ and } A \subseteq N(B, r)\}$$

$$A, B \in \mathcal{C}(X).$$

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) and (Y, d') metric spaces; an application $\Gamma : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ is a multivalued contraction if

$$H(\Gamma(x), \Gamma(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, 0 \leq \alpha < 1;$$

*Acknowledgement. Work partially suport by "Dirección de Investigación y Desarrollo Científico de la Universidad de Tarapacá" - Proj. 4731-92

where α is constant.

Remark. A multivalued contraction Γ is H -continuous.

Definition 1.2 Let $\Gamma : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$. Then $x \in X$ is a fixed point of Γ if $x \in \Gamma(x)$.

To prove the main result of this work we need of the following results:

Lemma 1.3 Let $A, B \in \mathcal{C}(x)$, $a \in A$ and $\eta > 0$. Then there exist $b \in B$ such that

$$d(a, b) \leq H(A, B) + \eta. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. If $A, B \in \mathbb{K}(X)$, then we can take $\eta = 0$ (see [1]).

The following theorem give a generalization for the Banach theorem in the multivalued case.

Theorem 1.4 (Nadler [1]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $\Gamma : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$ be a multivalued contraction with constant α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then Γ has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $p_0 \in X$, we choose $p_1 \in \Gamma(p_0)$. Since $\Gamma(p_0)$ and $\Gamma(p_1)$ belong to $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $p_1 \in \Gamma(p_0)$ the Lemma 1.2 implies that there exist $p_2 \in \Gamma(p_1)$ such that $d(p_1, p_2) \leq H(\Gamma(p_0), \Gamma(p_1)) + \alpha$. Analogously, since $\Gamma(p_1), \Gamma(p_2) \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ and $p_2 \in \Gamma(p_1)$, there exist $p_3 \in \Gamma(p_2)$ such that $d(p_2, p_3) \leq H(\Gamma(p_1), \Gamma(p_2)) + \alpha^2$. By induction we generate a sequence (p_n) in X such that $p_{n+1} \in \Gamma(p_n)$ and $d(p_n, p_{n+1}) \leq H(\Gamma(p_{n-1}), \Gamma(p_n)) + \alpha^n$ for any $n \geq 1$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} d(p_n, p_{n+1}) &\leq H(\Gamma(p_{n-1}), \Gamma(p_n)) + \alpha^n \\ &\leq \alpha d(p_{n-1}, p_n) + \alpha^n \\ &\leq \alpha[H(\Gamma(p_{n-2}), \Gamma(p_{n-1})) + \alpha^{n-1}] + \alpha^n \\ &\leq \alpha^2 d(p_{n-2}, p_{n-1}) + 2\alpha^n \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \alpha^n d(p_0, p_1) + n\alpha^n, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$d(p_n, p_{n+j}) \leq d(p_n, p_{n+1}) + d(p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}) + \cdots + d(p_{n+j-1}, p_{n+j})$$

$$\leq \left(\left[\sum_{k=n}^{n+j-1} d(p_0, p_1) + \sum_{k=n}^{n+j-1} k\alpha^k \right] \right) \rightarrow 0$$

if $n, j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\{p_n\}$ is a Cauchy-sequence; since (X, d) is complete, the limit of this sequence is a point p^* in X . Since Γ is continuous, $\Gamma(p_n) \rightarrow \Gamma(p^*)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By definition, we have that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such that $H(\Gamma(p_n), \Gamma(p^*)) < \varepsilon$. Moreover, $\Gamma(p_n) \subseteq N(\Gamma(p^*), \varepsilon)$, consequently $d(p_{n+1}, q) < \varepsilon$ for some $q \in \Gamma(p^*)$, so $d(p_{n+1}, \Gamma(p^*)) < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N$.

Being $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we conclude that $d(p^*, \Gamma(p^*)) = 0$. But, $\Gamma(p^*)$ is closed. Hence $p^* \in \Gamma(p^*)$. ■

Remark. The uniqueness of the fixed point is not guaranteed in this context (see example 1), unless one uses additional properties (Fisher [3], Kaneko [4]).

Example 1. Let $X = [0, 1]$ be a metric space with the usual metric. We consider $u : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} nx & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1/n \\ 0 & \text{if } 1/n < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then $L_\alpha u = \{x \in X | u(x) \geq \alpha\} = [\alpha/n, 1/n]$ is the α -level of u , $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$

We consider now the multifunction $\Gamma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(X)$ define by $\Gamma(\alpha) = L_\alpha u = [\alpha/n, 1/n] \quad \forall \alpha$.

We observe that

$$H(\Gamma(\alpha), \Gamma(\beta)) = H([\alpha/n, 1/n], [\beta/n, 1/n]) = \frac{1}{n}|\alpha - \beta|.$$

Then, Γ is a multivalued contraction for $n \geq 2$.

But,

$$\alpha \in \Gamma(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in [\alpha/n, 1/n] \Leftrightarrow \alpha/n \leq \alpha \leq 1/n$$

and this inequality is verified for all $\alpha \in [0, 1/n]$.

Consequently, every point in $[0, 1]$ is a fixed point of Γ .

This example shows that, in the multivalued case, the fixed point is not unique necessarily.

2. Fixed point theorem in the Fuzzy-Multivalued case.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathbb{F}(X) = \{u : X \rightarrow [0, 1] / L_\alpha u \in \mathcal{C}(X), \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$, where $L_0 u \equiv \text{supp}(u) = \text{cl}\{x \in X \mid u(x) > 0\}$ is the support of u .

We observe that $L_\alpha u \neq \emptyset, \forall \alpha$, is equivalent to $u(x) = 1$, for some $x \in X$.

In the following, we will use the notation $[u]^\alpha = L_\alpha u$.

Definition 2.1. Let $u, v \in \mathbb{F}(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. We define

$$h_\alpha(u, v) = \inf\{d(x, y) \mid x \in [u]^\alpha, y \in [v]^\alpha\},$$

$$H_\alpha(u, v) = H([u]^\alpha, [v]^\alpha),$$

$$H^*(u, v) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} H_\alpha(u, v).$$

It is easy to show that h_α is increasing monotonically function in α and that H^* is a metric on $\mathbb{F}(X)$. If X is a complete metric space then the metric space $(\mathbb{F}(X), H^*)$ is also complete. But, $(\mathbb{F}(X), H^*)$ is not a separable metric space again if X is.

The space $(\mathbb{F}(X), H^*)$ is called a *fuzzy metric space*.

Moreover, we can define a partial order, \subseteq_F , on $\mathbb{F}(X)$ by setting

$$u \subseteq_F v \Leftrightarrow u(x) \leq v(x), \forall x \in X$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [u]^\alpha \subseteq [v]^\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Remark. It is easy to see that

$$(X, d) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{C}(X), H) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{F}(X), H^*).$$

In fact, we observe that, for every $A \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ we can associate the characteristic function $\chi_A : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ defined by $\chi_A(x) = 0$ if $x \notin A$ and $\chi_A(x) = 1$ if $x \in A$; then we have

$$H^*(A, B) \equiv H^*(\chi_A, \chi_B) = H(A, B).$$

If $A = \{x\}$ and $B = \{y\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(\{x\}, \{y\}) &\equiv H^*(\chi_{\{x\}}, \chi_{\{y\}}) \\ &= H(\{x\}, \{y\}) = d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we will denote $\chi_{\{x\}}(x)$ by χ_x .

Definition 2.2. Let X, Y be metric spaces. A fuzzy-mapping is an application $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(Y)$

Lemma 2.3. Let $x \in X$ and $u \in \mathbb{F}(X)$. Then $\chi_x \subseteq_F u \Leftrightarrow h_\alpha(\chi_x, u) = 0, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. If $\chi_x \subseteq_F u$, then $u(x) = 1$, consequently, $x \in [u]^\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$. Hence,

$$h_\alpha(\chi_x, u) = \inf\{d(x, y) \mid y \in [u]^\alpha\} = 0.$$

The reciproque is evidently. ■

Lemma 2.4. $h_\alpha(\chi_x, u) \leq d(x, y) + h_\alpha(\chi_y, u)$ for any $x, y \in X$ and $u \in \mathbb{F}(X)$.

Proof. Since $[\chi_x]^\alpha = \{x\}, \forall \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\alpha(\chi_x, u) &= \inf\{d(x, z) / z \in [u]^\alpha\} \\ &\leq \inf\{d(x, y) + d(y, z) / z \in [u]^\alpha\} \\ &= d(x, y) + h_\alpha(\chi_y, u) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5. If $\chi_x \subseteq_F u$, then $h_\alpha(\chi_x, v) \leq H_\alpha(u, v), \forall \alpha \in [0, 1], \forall v \in \mathbb{F}(X)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} h_\alpha(\chi_x, v) &= \inf\{d(x, y) / y \in [v]^\alpha\} \\ &\leq \sup_{X \in [u]^\alpha} \inf\{d(x, y) / y \in [v]^\alpha\} \\ &\leq H([u]^\alpha, [v]^\alpha) = H_\alpha(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.6. Let (X, d) and (Y, d') be two metric spaces. An application $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(Y)$ is a H^* -contraction if

$$H^*(F(x), F(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Definition 2.7. Let $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(X)$. Then $x^* \in X$ is a fixed point of F if $\chi_{x^*} \subseteq_F F(X^*)$.

Remark. The definitions 2.6 and 2.7 generalize the correspondente definition of the multivalued-mapping.

We can give now the fixed point theorem in the fuzzy multivalued case:

Theorem 2.8. Let (X, d) a complete metric space and $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(X)$ a H^* -contraction. Then, F has a fixed point in the sense of the definition 2.7.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and we choose $x_1 \in [F(x_0)]^1$. This implies that $\chi_{x_1} \subseteq_F F(x_0)$. By using the Lemma 1.3, we can to find $x_2 \in [F(x_1)]^1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &\leq H_1(F(x_0), F(x_1)) + \alpha \\ &\leq H^*(F(x_0), F(x_1)) + \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, $\chi_{x_2} \subseteq_F F(x_1)$ and $d(x_1, x_2) \leq \alpha d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha$.

Analogously, we can find $x_3 \in X$ such that $\chi_{x_3} \subseteq_F F(x_2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_3) &\leq H_1(F(x_2), F(x_1)) + \alpha^2 \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_2, x_1) + \alpha^2 \\ &\leq \alpha^2 d(x_0, x_1) + 2\alpha^2 \end{aligned}$$

and by recursion we generated a sequence $\{x_k\}$ in X , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{x_k} &\subseteq_F F(x_{k-1}) \quad \text{and} \\ d(x_k, x_{k+1}) &\leq H_1(F(x_k), F(x_{k-1})) + \alpha^k \\ &\leq \alpha^k d(x_0, x_1) + k\alpha^k. \end{aligned}$$

Exactly as in the proof of Nadler - Theorem 1.4, we prove that the sequence $\{x_k\}$ is a Cauchy-sequence in X and, since X is a complete metric space, then there exist $x^* \in X$ such that $x_k \rightarrow x^*$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us now to show that x^* is a fixed point of F .

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\alpha(\chi_{x^*}, F(x^*)) &\leq d(x^*, x_k) + h_\alpha(\chi_{x_k}, F(x^*)) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4)} \\ &\leq d(x^*, x_k) + H_\alpha(F(x_{k-1}), F(x^*)) && \text{(by Lemma 2.5)} \\ &\leq d(x^*, x_k) + H^*(F(x_{k-1}), F(x^*)) \\ &\leq d(x^*, x_k) + \alpha d(x_{k-1}, x^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $h_\alpha(\chi_{x^*}, F(x^*)) = 0, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$, this implies that $\chi_{x^*} \subseteq_F F(x^*)$. ■

Example 2. Let $X = [0, 1]$ be the metric space with the usual metric and $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(X)$ is defined by

$$F(t)(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ t & \text{if } x \in (0, 1] \end{cases}$$

In this case, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{t^*} \subseteq_F F(t^*) &\Leftrightarrow F(t^*)(t^*) = 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow t^* = 0 \text{ or } t^* = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The principals ideas of this work are based in the Heilpern' paper [2], without to suppose that X have a linear structure and F have compact-convexes levels.

References

- [1] S. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mapping, *Pac. J. of Math.*, 30 (2), 1969, 475-488.
- [2] S. Heilpern, Fuzzy mapping and fixed point theorem, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, 83, 1981, 566-569.
- [3] B. Fisher, Set-valued mappings on metric spaces, *Fund. Math.*, CXII, 1981, 141-145.
- [4] H. Kaneko, Comparation of contractive conditions for multi-valued mappings, *Kobe J. Math.*, 3, 1986, 37-45.
- [5] V. Istrătescu, Fixed point theory: an introduction, D. Reidel Publishing Company, London, 1981.

RELATÓRIOS DE PESQUISA — 1994

- 01/94 Stability of the Sucker Rod's Periodic Solution — *Aloisio Freire Neves*.
- 02/94 A New Strategy for Solving Variational Inequalities in Bounded Polytopes — *Ana Friedlander, José Mario Martínez and Sandra Augusta Santos*.
- 03/94 Prime and Maximal Ideals in Polynomial Rings — *Miguel Ferrero*.
- 04/94 A New Globalization Strategy for the Resolution of Nonlinear Systems of Equations — *Ana Friedlander, Márcia A. Gomes-Ruggiero, José Mario Martínez and Sandra Augusta Santos*.
- 05/94 An Ambrosetti-Prodi Type Result for a System of Elliptic Equations via Leray-Schauder Degree — *Daniel Cordeiro de Moraes Filho*.
- 06/94 On Attractivity of Discontinuous Systems — *Marco Antonio Teixeira*.
- 07/94 Weakly Elliptic Systems of Variational Inequalities: a 2×2 Model Problem with Obstacles in both Components — *D. R. Adams and H. J. Nussenzveig Lopes*.
- 08/94 A New Method for Large-Scale Box Constrained Convex Quadratic Minimization Problems — *Ana Friedlander, José Mario Martínez and Marcos Raydan*.
- 09/94 Weak - Strong Continuity of Multilinear Mappings Pełczyński - Pitt Theorem — *Raymundo Alencar and Klaus Floret*.
- 10/94 Removable Singularities Theorems for the Yang-Mills Functional — *Antonella Marini*.
- 11/94 Magneto-Micropolar Fluid Motion: Existence and Uniqueness of Strong Solution — *Marko A. Rojas-Medar*.
- 12/94 Absolutely Summing Analytic Operators and the Generalized Khintchine Inequality — *Mário C. Matos*.
- 13/94 Minimal Immersions of Surfaces Into n -Dimensional Space Forms — *Irwen Valle Guadalupe*.
- 14/94 Inexact - Newton Methods and the Computation of Singular Points — *Daniel N. Kozakevich, José Mario Martínez and Sandra Augusta Santos*.
- 15/94 Existence and non-existence of radial solutions for elliptic equations with critical growth in R^2 — *Djairo G. de Figueiredo and B. Ruf*.
- 16/94 Numerical Solution of the Lepton Model — *M. F. Tome, J. M. Martínez and Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr.*

- 17/94 On Acyclic Knots — *Ricardo N. Cruz*.
- 18/94 Optimal Stopping Time for a Poisson Point Process — *Nancy Lopes Garcia*.
- 19/94 Field Theory of the Spinning Electron: I – Internal Motions — *Giovanni Salesi* and *Erasmo Recami*.
- 20/94 Field Theory of the Spinning Electron: II – The New, Non-Linear Field Equations — *Erasmo Recami* and *Giovanni Salesi*.
- 21/94 The Weak Solutions and Reproductive Property for a System of Evolution Equations of Magnetohydrodynamic Type — *Marko A. Rojas-Medar* and *José Luiz Boldrini*.