ON A CONJUGATE ORBIT OF G_2

Lucas M. Chaves
and
A. Rigas

RELATÓRIO TÉCNICO Nº 17/90

Abstract. Using the index of a simple Lie subalgebra we show that two exceptional orbits, with the same cell decomposition, of the Adjoint action of G_2 are not homotopy equivalent. Moreover the conjugate orbit corresponding to the exponential image of one of the above is a minimal embedding of S^6 in G_2, that generates $\pi_6 G_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Ciência da Computação
Universidade Estadual de Campinas
13.081, Campinas, S.P.
BRASIL

Março – 1990

On a conjugate orbit of G_2

Lucas M. Chaves[(1)] *and A. Rigas*[(2)]

Introduction

A study of the topology of the adjoint action orbits of a compact Lie group, was done by R. Bott in [B-2]. The orbits are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes with even dimensional cells. The dimension and the number of cells is obtained from the infinitesimal diagram of the group. Since all maximal tori of a compact Lie group are conjugate, all regular orbits are mutually diffeomorphic. For the singular orbits this is not true in general. In this note we exibt an example of two singular orbits of the exeptional Lie group G_2 with the same cell decomposition that are not homotopy equivalent.

In section two, we project one of these two orbits by the exponential map onto an orbit of the conjugate action and using the property of triality we show that this orbit is a minimal embedding of $S^6 \simeq G_2/SU(3)$ in G_2, that generates the homotopy group $\pi_6(G_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3$. This fact is interesting when compared to the following elementary theorem of Elie Cartan [C-E, p. 77].

"If the Lie groups (G, H) form a symetric pair then G/H has a canonical embedding in G as a totally geodesic submanifold."

In our example, although $(G_2, SU(3))$ is not a symmetric pair, $S^6 \simeq G_2/SU(3)$ inherits, by submersion from (G_2, Killing), a symmetric metric [B-1].

We would like to thank J. Rawnsley and F. E. Burstall for helpfull discussions and for making available to us their unpublished notes [B-R]. The second author is greatful to E. Musso and Renato Pedrosa for helpful conversations.

§1 Distinction of Adjoint orbits

Let $G_2 = \{A \in SO(8),\ A(xy) = A(x)A(y)\ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}_a \simeq \mathbb{R}^8\}$, where $\mathbb{C}_a$ is the algebra of Cayley numbers. The root diagram of the 14 dimensional, compact,

(1) **Escola Superior de Agricultura de Lavras and IMECC, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brasil**

(2) **IMECC, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brasil**

simple Lie group G_2 is as follows [P].



We want to look at the Adjoint action ($: xAx^{-1}$) of G_2 on its Lie algebra $\widehat{G}_2$. By Bott's theorem [B-2], regular orbits are homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex with one cell of dimension zero, one of dimension 12, and two cells in each one of the dimensions 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10. Singular orbits have one cell in dimension 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10. Let H_1, H_2 in $\widehat{G}_2$ be elements corresponding to roots of different norms. If

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(H_i) &:= \{xH_ix^{-1},\ x \in G_2\},\ i = 1, 2 \\ I(H_i) &:= \{x \in G_2,\ xH_i = H_ix\},\ i = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{O}(H_i) \simeq G_2/I(H_i), \qquad i = 1, 2$$

To exhibit the difference between $G_2/I(H_1)$ and $G_2/I(H_2)$ we will use the symmetric pair $(G_2, SO(4))$. An inclusion of $SO(4)$ in G_2 is defined by the following homomorphism of $Spin(4) \simeq Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$.

$$\theta \ : \ Sp(1) \times Sp(1) \longrightarrow G_2$$
$$(\xi,\, \eta) \mapsto \theta_{\xi,\,\eta} : \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \eta a \overline{\eta} \\ \xi b \overline{\eta} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ is a representation of a Cayley number by two quaternions with

$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} ac - \bar{d}b \\ da + b\bar{c} \end{pmatrix}$ defining the Cayley product [W].

Since rank $G_2 = rank\ SO(4) = 2$, we can get a basis of G_2 as follows: If b_1, b_2 in $\widehat{SO(4)}$ with

$$b_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$B_1 = \theta_*(b_1) = \begin{array}{c} \\ i \\ j \\ k \\ e \\ f \\ g \\ h \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc|cccc} i & j & k & e & f & g & h \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & & \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$B_2 = \theta_*(b_2) = \begin{array}{c} \\ i \\ j \\ k \\ e \\ f \\ g \\ h \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc|cccc} i & j & k & e & f & g & h \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & & 0 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$$

If $\langle A,\ B\rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ trace (AB^t) $\quad A, B \in \widehat{G}_2$, then $||B_1||^2 = ||B_2||^2 = 2$ and $\langle B_1,\ B_2\rangle = -1$.

Therefore (see the infinitesimal diagram) $H_1 = B_1 + B_2$ and $H_2 = B_2 - B_1$ are elements in distinct singular orbits.

Infinitesimal diagram of G_2



Both isotropy subgroups $I(H_1)$ and $I(H_2)$ are isomorphic to $U(2)$: Note first that $dim I(H_i) = 4 \quad i = 1,\ 2$ (by orbit dimension) and
$U(2) \simeq \theta(S^1 \times Sp(1)) \subseteq I(H_1)$, $U(2) \simeq \theta(Sp(1) \times S^1) \subseteq I(H_2)$, where $S^1 = \{x + iy;\ \ x, y \in I\!R,\ \ x^2 + y^2 = 1\} \subseteq Sp(1)$.

The concept of index introduced by Dynkyn in [D] and related to the homotopy group π_3 in [AHS] will allow us to distinguish between $\mathcal{O}(H_1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(H_2)$. Let $\widehat{G}_1$ be a simple subalgebra of a simple algebra $\widehat{G}$. There is in $\widehat{G}$ only one scalar product, up to homothety, such that all automorphisms of $\widehat{G}$ are orthogonal transformations. Fix the scalar product, denoted by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$, such that if α is the largest root then $\langle\alpha,\ \alpha\rangle_{\widehat{G}} = 2$. Define $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\widehat{G_1}}$ analogously and observe that there is a $k \in I\!R^+$ such that

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\widehat{G}_1} = k\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$$

Theorem ([D], [AHS]): k as in interger, called the index of $\widehat{G}_1$ in $\widehat{G}$ and

$\pi_3(G/G_1) = \mathbb{Z}_k$.
To calculate the index of $I(H_1)$ and $I(H_2)$ we note that if

$$\begin{aligned} Sp(1)\times\{1\} &\xrightarrow{\theta_1} G_2 \\ \xi, 1 &\longrightarrow \theta_{\xi,1} : \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \xi b \end{pmatrix} \\ \{1\}\times Sp(1) &\xrightarrow{\theta_2} G_2 \\ 1, \eta &\longrightarrow \theta_{1,\eta} : \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \eta a \bar{\eta} \\ b\bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

then $\theta_1(Sp(1)\times\{1\}) \subseteq I(H_1)$ and $\theta_2(\{1\}\times Sp(1)) \subseteq I(H_2)$.
As $\theta_*(b_1+b_2) = H_1$ and $\theta_*(b_1-b_2) = H_2$ we have $H_1 \in \theta_*(Sp(1)\hat{\times}\{1\})$, $H_2 \in \theta_*(\{1\}\hat{\times}Sp(1))$, $||H_1||^2 = 2$ and $||H_2||^2 = 6$ (note that $||(b_1+b_2)||^2 = ||(b_1-b_2)||^2 = 2$) wich implies that index $I(H_1) = 1$ and index $I(H_2) = 3$, so that $\pi_3(\mathcal{O}(H_1)) = \{0\}$ and $\pi_3(\mathcal{O}(H_2)) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_3$.
Remark: These two singular orbits appear also in [S p. 163-164] without mention of their not being homotopy equivalent.

§2. A conjugate orbit

Now we project by the exponential to conjugate orbits of G_2. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}(H_2)$ projects on to $G_2/SO(4)$, the symmetric totally geodesic conjugate orbit, with fiber $\mathbb{C}P^1$. To investigate the geometry of the other conjugate orbit, let

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z \end{bmatrix}, \quad z = \exp(i\frac{2\pi}{3})$$

be in the center of $SU(3)$ and $\Lambda = \exp(A)$ is in the subspace generated by $H_2 + 2H_1$ [P, p. 260]. Therefore the orbit $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda) = \{x\Lambda x^{-1},\ x \in G_2\}$ is the exponential of the singular orbit $\mathcal{O}(H_1)$. By a straightforward calculation, we see that $SU(3) = I(\Lambda)$ and therefore we have

$$\mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \simeq G_2/I(\Lambda) \simeq G_2/SU(3) \simeq S^6$$

Proposition. The map

$$\psi : S^6 \simeq G_2/SU(3) \longrightarrow G_2$$
$$[x] \mapsto x\Lambda x^{-1}$$

is a generator of the homotopy group $\pi_6(G_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Proof. We will use the property of triality [C]:
"$\forall\ A \in SO(8)\ \exists B, C \in SO(8)$ such that $A(xy) = B(x)C(y)$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}_a \simeq \mathbb{R}^8$"
(where the products are Cayley multiplication).

Recall that
$Spin(7) := \{B \in SO(8), A(xy) = B(x)C(y)\ \forall\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^8$ and $A \in SO(7)\}$, is a Lie subgroup of $SO(8)$ [W].

The linear transformation $g_\alpha(x) = \alpha x \overline{\alpha}\quad \alpha, x \in \mathbb{R}^8\quad ||\alpha||^2 = 1$, is in $SO(7)$, because $g_\alpha(1) = 1$. By a Moufang type identity [T-S-Y] we have

$$g_\alpha(xy) := \alpha(xy)\overline{\alpha} = (\alpha x \alpha^2)((\overline{\alpha})^2 y \overline{\alpha})$$

Therefore f_α with $f_\alpha(x) = \alpha x \alpha^2$ is in $Spin(7)$ and the map

$$f : S^7 \longrightarrow Spin(7)$$
$$\alpha \longmapsto f_\alpha$$

generates $\pi_7 Spin(7) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, since $\alpha \mapsto g_\alpha$ generates $\pi_7 S0(7) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ [T-S-Y].

If $\alpha \in S^7$ and $\alpha^3 = 1$ then $\alpha^2 = \overline{\alpha}$ and $f_\alpha(xy) = \alpha(xy)\alpha^2 = (\alpha x \alpha^2)(\overline{\alpha}^2 y \alpha^2) = (\alpha x \alpha^2)(\alpha^4 y \alpha^2) = (\alpha x \alpha^2)(\alpha x \alpha^2) = f_\alpha(x) f_\alpha(y)$; therefore $f_\alpha \in G_2$. Every unitary Cayley number is of the form $\alpha = \cos(t) + J\sin(t)$, where J is pure imaginary and $\alpha^3 = 1$ if and only if $t = \frac{2\pi}{3}$. Now, f restricted to the equator $S^6 = \{\cos(t) + J\sin(t), t = \frac{2\pi}{3}\}$ defines a map

$$f_1 : S^6 \longrightarrow G_2$$
$$\alpha \longmapsto f_\alpha$$

Let $e^7 \subseteq S^7$ be a seven-dimentional cell defined by
$e^7 = \{\cos(t) + J\sin(t),\ \frac{2\pi}{3} \leq t \leq \pi\}$.
It follows easily that the restriction $\tilde{f}$ of f to e^7 is injetive and as $\partial e^7 = S^6$, $\tilde{f}|_{\partial e^7} = f_1$. By the well know fibration

(1) $$G_2 \dots Spin(7) \xrightarrow{\pi} S^7$$

we have $\pi \circ \tilde{f} : e^7 \longrightarrow S^7$, $\pi \circ \tilde{f} : e^7 - \partial e^7 \longrightarrow S^7 - \{(1, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ is bijetive, $\pi \circ \tilde{f}(\partial e^7) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and therefore $\pi \circ \tilde{f} : (e^7, \partial e^7) \longrightarrow S^7$ is a generator of $\pi_7(S^7) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

As $\pi \circ f : S^7 \to S^7$ is a map of degree 3 and $\pi_6(Spin(7)) = \{0\}$, by the exact homotopy sequence of (1), we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_7(Spin(7)) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \pi_7(S^7) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \pi_6(G_2) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \simeq & & \partial \\ & & & \pi_7(Spin(7), G_2) & \end{array}$$

i) $\pi_*([1]) = [3]$, therefore $\pi_6(G_2) = \mathbb{Z}_3$

ii) $\Delta(1) = [1]$, therefore $f_1 : S^6 \to G_2$ is not homotopicaly trivial and so it is a generator of $\pi_6(G_2)$.

Remark: i) was proved by Mimura in [M] using the fact that $\pi_6(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$. The above approach furnishes also an elementary proof that $\pi_6(SU(4)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_6$ and that $\pi_6(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, using the exact homotopy ladder of the principal fibrations over S^7 with total spaces $Spin(5)$, $Spin(6)$ and $Spin(7)$ ([Y]).

It remains to prove that the image of f_1 is the conjugate orbit ψ of Λ.

Observe that if $t = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ and $\alpha = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ then $f_\alpha(i) = i$ and therefore $f_\alpha \in SU(3) \simeq G_2$.

We claim that $f_\alpha = \Lambda$ and for this we must show that $f_\alpha A = A f_\alpha$ for all A in $SU(3)$: $Af_\alpha(x) = A(\alpha x \alpha^2) = A(\alpha)\ A(x)\ A^2(\alpha) = \alpha\ A(x)\ \alpha^2 = f_\alpha(A(x))$, since $A(\alpha) = \alpha$ by the fact that $A(i) = i$.

Now, we have that for B in G_2 $B\Lambda B^{-1} = Bf_\alpha B^{-1}(x) = B(\alpha B^{-1}(x)\alpha^2) = B(\alpha)xB^2(\alpha) = f_{B(\alpha)}(x)$, therefore $f_1(S^6) \subseteq \psi(S^6)$. As f_1 and ψ are embeddings the two sets are equal.

By the Cartan polyhedron of G_2 we have that $\theta(\Lambda)$ is an isolated orbit and therefore a minimal submanifold [H-L].

REFERENCES

[A.H.S] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin and I. M. Singer : "Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian Geometry"; Proc. Royal Soc. London, Ser A 362 (1978) 425-461

[B-1] M. Berger. Les variétés riemanniennes homogénes normales simplement connexes à courbure strictement positive. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 15 (1961), 179-246

[B-2] R. Bott : "An application of the Morse theory to the topology of Lie groups". Bull. Soc. Math. France 84 (1956), 251-281

[B-R] F. E. Burstall and J. H. Rawnsley: "Twistor theory for Riemannian symmetric spaces", preprint.

[C-1] E. Cartan "Le principe de dualité et la théorie des groupes simples et semi-simples" Bull. Sci. Math. 49 (1925), 361-374.

[C-E] J. Cheeger and D. G. Ebin "Comparison theorems in Riemannian Geometry" North-Holland, New York, 1975

[D] E. B. Dynkin "Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras". Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 6 (1957), 111-245

[H-L] Wu-Yi Hsiang and H. B. Lawson "Minimal submanifolds of low cohomogeneity" Journal of Differential Geometry, 5 (1971), 1-38

[M] M. Mimura, "The homotopy groups of Lie groups of low rank" J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 6-2 (1967), 131-176

[P] M. Postnikov "Lectures in Geometry", Semester V, Lie groups and Lie algebras, Mir Publishers, Moscou, 1986.

[S] S. Salamon "Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups". Longman Scientific and Technical, Essex, 1989.

[T-S-Y] H. Toda, Y. Saito and T. Yokota "A note on the generator of $\pi_7(S0(n))$" Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser A 30(1957), 227-230

[W] G. Whitehead: "Elements of homotopy theory" Graduate texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag 1978

[Y] I. Yokota: "Explicit isomorphism between $SU(4)$ and $Spin(6)$" J. Fac. Sci. Shinschu Univ. 14(1979), 29-34

RELATÓRIOS TÉCNICOS — 1990

01/90 — Harmonic Maps Into Periodic Flag Manifolds and Into Loop Groups — *Caio J. C. Negreiros.*

02/90 — On Jacobi Expansions — *E. Capelas de Oliveira.*

03/90 — On a Superlinear Sturm–Liouville Equation and a Related Bouncing Problem — *D. G. Figueiredo* and *B. Ruf.*

04/90 — F– Quotients and Envelope of F–Holomorphy — *Luiza A. Moraes, Otília W. Paques* and *M. Carmelina F. Zaine.*

05/90 — S-Rationally Convex Domains and The Approximation of Silva-Holomorphic Functions by S–Rational Functions — *Otília W. Paques* and *M. Carmelina F. Zaine.*

06/90 — Linearization of Holomorphic Mappings On Locally Convex Spaces — *Jorge Mujica* and *Leopoldo Nachbin.*

07/90 — On Kummer Expansions — *E. Capelas de Oliveira.*

08/90 — On the Convergence of SOR and JOR Type Methods for Convex Linear Complementarity Problems — *Alvaro R. De Pierro* and *Alfredo N. Iusem.*

09/90 — A Curvilinear Search Using Tridiagonal Secant Updates for Unconstrained Optimization — *J. E. Dennis Jr., N. Echebest, M. T. Guardarucci, J. M. Martínez, H. D. Scolnik* and *C. Vacchino.*

10/90 — The Hypebolic Model of the Mean × Standard Deviation "Plane" — *Sueli I. R. Costa* and *Sandra A. Santos.*

11/90 — A Condition for Positivity of Curvature — *A. Derdzinski* and *A. Rigas.*

12/90 — On Generating Functions — *E. Capelas de Oliveira.*

13/90 — An Introduction to the Conceptual Difficulties in the Foundations of Quantum Mechanics a Personal View — *V. Buonomano.*

14/90 — Quasi-Invariance of product measures Under Lie Group Perturbations: Fisher Information And L^2-Differentiability — *Mauro S. de F. Marques* and *Luiz San Martin.*

15/90 — On Cyclic Quartic Extensions with Normal Basis — *Miguel Ferrero, Antonio Paques* and *Andrzej Solecki.*

16/90 — Semilinear Elliptic Equations with the Primitive of the Nonlinearity Away from the Spectrum — *Djairo G. de Figueiredo* and *Olimpio H. Miyagaki.*