POLARIZED PARTITION RELATIONS OF HIGHER DIMENSION

Walter Alexandre Carnielli and Carlos Augusto Di Prisco

RELATÓRIO TÉCNICO Nº 12/88

ABSTRACT. We consider polarized partition relations concerning partitions into an infinite number of pieces and also partitions defined on products of higher dimension. We use an infinite version of the method of induced coloring which is frequent in Finite Ramsey Theory. Sufficient conditions on cardinals $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$, β are given in order to satisfy the polarized partition relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}_{\beta}^{1,1,\dots,1}$$

It is shown that the simplest infinite dimensional polarized partition relations fail under the assumption of the axiom of choice, and that under certain large cardinal hypotesis, there are valid polarized partition relations defined on the union of all the finite dimensional powers of a cardinal.

Universidade Estadual de Campinas Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Ciência da Computação IMECC — UNICAMP Caixa Postal 6065 13.081 - Campinas, SP BRAZIL

O conteúdo do presente Relatório Técnico é de única responsabilidade dos autores.

The systematic study of polarized partition relations was initiated by Erdös and Rado in [ER] Sierpnski had already obtained some results in [Si] Later on, Erdös, Hajnal and Rado pursued this study in another article[EHR] investigating mainly polarized partition relations defined in products of dimension 2. In this note we will discuss some cases of partitions of higher dimension using a method inspired in the finite Ramsey

Definition: Let K,λ,α,β and δ be cardinals. The partition symbol

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} k_1 k_2 \cdots k_n$$

means that for every $f: [\lambda_1]^{k_1} \times [\lambda_2]^{k_2} \times \mathbb{I}[\lambda_n]^{k_n} \to 6$, there are sets $H_1 \subset \lambda_1$. $H_2 \subset \lambda_2 \dots H_n \subset \lambda_n$ with $|H_i| = \alpha_i$ for $i=1,\dots,n$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $F^{-}([H_1 \not\uparrow^1 x (H_2 \not\uparrow^2 x ... x (H_n \not\uparrow^n) = \{\xi\}.$

Proposition (Monotonicity): If $\lambda_i \alpha_i$, $\alpha_i \epsilon \alpha_i$, $k'_i \epsilon k_i$ for all i, leien, and $\delta' \epsilon \delta$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{implies} & \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} & \text{i$$

Proof: Follows immediately from the definition.

It is also easy to show that if nem.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_m \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{bmatrix}_{\delta}^{k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot k_m} \quad \text{implies} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda'_1 \\ \lambda'_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda'_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha'_1 \\ \alpha'_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha'_n \end{bmatrix}_{\delta}^{k'_1 \cdot k'_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot k'_m}$$

The first infinite case of a multidimentional polarized partition fails, indeed,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \aleph_0 \\ \aleph_0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \aleph_0 \\ \aleph_0 \end{bmatrix}^{1,1}$$

is easily seen to be false by defining F(i,j)=0 if i < j and F(i,j)=1 otherwise.

In this paper we will restrict our attention to the case in which $k_1 = k_2 = ... = k_n = 1$. and in this case we may omit the exponents in the partition symbol.

We start by proving a lemma concerning products of dimension two (i.e. n=2)

Lemma: Let K.1 be infinite cardinals such that $2^K < cof\lambda$, then, for any $\delta < cof\lambda$, $\begin{bmatrix} K \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}_{\delta}$.

Proof: Let $FK \times \lambda \to \delta$ be given. Define an equivalence relation \sim on λ by $a \sim \beta \iff \nabla F \ll F(\xi,\alpha) = F(\xi,\beta)$. The number of equivalence classes is given by $k \in K \times 2 \times CO(\lambda)$. Therefore, there is an equivalence class B of cardinality λ .

Define now $G \times \to S$ by $G(\xi) = F(\xi, \alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in B$. Since cof $K \times S$, there must be a set $A \subset K$, A = K, on which G is constant. Clearly, $A \times B$ is homogeneous for F. \Box

This result improves Lemma 4.2.6 of [W] which only deals with partitions into two pieces.

We will now consider polarized partition relations of higher dimension, the previous proof is extended to this case.

Lemma: Suppose $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$ are infinite cardinals such that $\cot \lambda_{i+1} \cdot 2^{\lambda_i}$ for i=1,2,...,n. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}_{\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for all & cof \1.

Proof: The proof is by induction on n2. For n=2 we have the result by the previous proposition.

Suppose the result holds for n=k, and let $F.\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times ... \times \lambda_{k+1} \rightarrow \beta$. As before, we define an equivalence relation \sim on $\lambda_{k+1} b y$:

 $a \sim \beta \iff \text{For all } (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \dots \times \lambda_k$, $F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a) = F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a)$.

The number of equivalence classes is the cardinality of $(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times ... \times \lambda_k)_{\beta}$, which is $[^{(\lambda_k)}_{\beta}] = 2^{\lambda_k}$. By our hypothesis, $2^{\lambda_k} < \cos(\lambda_{k+1})$, and thus there is a class $H_k = \lambda_k$ of cardinality λ_k .

Define now $G:\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times ... \times \lambda_k \to \beta$ by $G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_k) = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_k, \alpha)$ for any

 $a\in H_k.$ By the inductive hypothesis, there are $H_1=\lambda_1, H_2=\lambda_2,...$, and $H_k=\lambda_k$, with $|H_i|=\lambda_i$ for i=1,2,...,k such that G is constant on $H_1\times H_2\times ...\times H_k$.

Then $H_1 \times H_2 \times ... \times H_k \times H_{k+1}$ is homogeneous for F.D

Theorem: If K is a strongly inaccessible cardinal,

Lemma: Let K, λ be infinite cardinals such that $2^{\kappa} < \text{cof} \lambda$, then, for any $\delta < \text{cof} \lambda$, $\begin{bmatrix} K \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}_{\delta}$

Proof: Let $FK \times \lambda \to \delta$ be given. Define an equivalence relation \sim on λ by $\alpha \sim \beta \iff \nabla \xi \ll F(\xi,\alpha) = F(\xi,\beta)$. The number of equivalence classes is given by $|\xi| = \delta \times 2 \times (\cos \lambda)$. Therefore, there is an equivalence class B of cardinality λ .

Define now $G \times \to \delta$ by $G(\xi)=F(\xi,\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in B$. Since cof $K \times \delta$, there must be a set $A \subset K$, $A \models K$, on which G is constant. Clearly, $A \times B$ is homogeneous for F. \Box

This result improves Lemma 4.2.6 of $[\Psi]$ which only deals with partitions into two pieces.

We will now consider polarized partition relations of higher dimension, the previous proof is extended to this case.

Lemma: Suppose $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$ are infinite cardinals such that cof $\lambda_{i+1} > 2^{\lambda_i}$ for i=1,2,...,n. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}_{\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for all & cof \1.

Proof: The proof is by induction on n32. For n=2 we have the result by the previous proposition.

Suppose the result holds for n=k, and let $F:\lambda_1\times\lambda_2\times...\times\lambda_{k+1}\to\beta$. As before, we define an equivalence relation \sim on λ_{k+1} by:

 $a \sim \beta \iff \text{For all } (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \dots \times \lambda_k$, $F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a) = F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a)$.

The number of equivalence classes is the cardinality of $(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times ... \times \lambda_k)_\beta$, which is $|\lambda_k\rangle_\beta = 2^{\lambda_k}$. By our hypothesis, $2^{\lambda_k} < \cos(\lambda_{k+1})$, and thus there is a class $H_k \subset \lambda_k$ of cardinality λ_k .

Define now $G:\lambda_1\times\lambda_2\times...\times\lambda_k\to\beta$ by $G(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,...,\alpha_k)=F(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,...,\alpha_k,\alpha)$ for any $\alpha\in H_k$.

By the inductive hypothesis, there are $H_1 \subset \lambda_1$, $H_2 \subset \lambda_2$,..., and $H_k \subset \lambda_k$, with $|H_i| = \lambda_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., k such that G is constant on $H_1 \times H_2 \times ... \times H_k$.

Then H₁×H₂×...×H_k×H_{k+1} is homogeneous for F.D

Theorem: If K is a strongly inaccessible cardinal,

$$\begin{bmatrix} K \\ K \\ \vdots \\ K \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}_{\beta} \text{ for all } a_1.a_2....a_n, \beta \ll \text{and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof: Take 14 such that $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n d$ and β coff (in fact, we can take 1 to be regular)

Put $T(s) = (2^6)^+$, and in general, $T^{m+1}(s) = T(T^m(s))$ for each cardinal s. By the lemma we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ T(\lambda) \\ \vdots \\ T^{n-1}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ T(\lambda) \\ \vdots \\ T^{n-1}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}_{\beta}$$

The monotonicity of the partition relation and the inaccessibility of K imply the desired result. \Box

We would like to consider now products of infinite dimension. With the axiom of choice, the simplest infinite dimensional polarized partition relations are false:

Theorem (AC): For all ks2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} K \\ K \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}_2$$

Proof: Define an equivalence relation on K^{ω} by $f \sim g \iff \exists m \text{ flm=glm (where flm= flew| n>m)}$.

Pick one element of each equivalence class, and given fek $^\omega$, denote by f the chosen element from [f] $_\omega$, the class of f.

Given $f{\in}K^{\varpi},$ let n_f be the least mew such that $f{\downarrow}m$ = $f{\downarrow}m.$ Define a partition $FK^{\varpi}{\to}2$ by

$$F(f) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } n_f \text{ is even} \\ 1 \text{ if } n_f \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Let $\{H_i | i\in\omega\}$ be a collection of subsets of K such that $|H_i| \ge 2$ for each $i\in\omega$. We will show that the product $\Pi_{i\in\omega}H_i$ is not homogeneous for F.

Let $f \in \Pi_{i \in \omega} H_i$. The functions f and \tilde{f} coincide from n_f on: $f(k) = \tilde{f}(k)$ for all $k \nmid n_f$. Define $g \in \Pi_{i \in \omega} H_i$ by g(n) = f(n) for $n \neq n_f$ and $g(n_f) =$ some element of H_{n_f} different from $f(n_f)$. Clearly, $g \sim f$ (and thus $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}$), but $n_g = n_f + 1$. Thus $F(f) \neq F(g)$. \square

Nevertheless, some polarized partition relations defined on the union of all the finite dimensional products of a cardinal K can be interesting. First, we state without proof the following technical lemma:

Lemma: Given measurable cardinals K_1 < K_2 and measures μ_1 and μ_2 on K_1 and K_2 respectively, the filter on K_1 × K_2 generated by the sets $\mathbb{C} \subset K_1 \rtimes K_2$ satisfying

 $\mu_1(\{\alpha \in K_1 | \mu_2(\{\beta \in K_2 | (\alpha, \beta) \in C\})\}) = 1$ is a K_1 -complete ultrafilter. \square

Definition: The partition symbol

$$\begin{bmatrix} K \\ K \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{co}{\rightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 \\ \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

means that for every function FU_{new} $K^n\to 2$, there are sets H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n , ... all subsets of K such that for all $i\!\!1$, $|H_i|=\lambda_i$ and for all $n\!\!1$ F is constant on $H_1\times H_2\times \dots \times H_n$.

Theorem: Suppose there is an w-sequence $\kappa_0\kappa_1$ <... κ_n <... of measurable cardinals below a cardinal κ then

$$\begin{bmatrix} K \\ K \\ i \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{<\omega}{\rightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 \\ \lambda_1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}$$

for any sequence of cardinals $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, ...$ such that $\lambda_i \in \bigcup_{n \in \omega} K_n$ for every $i \in \omega$.

Proof: We will show
$$\begin{bmatrix} K \\ K \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\omega}{\to} \begin{bmatrix} K_0 \\ K_1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

From here the statement of the theorem by picking the appropriate subsequence of the sequence of measurable cardinals.

Let $F.\cup_{n\in\omega}K^n\to 2$. Let μ_i be a measure on K_i . We will construct sets $H_0, H_1, ...$ with the required properties. For this purpose, we will define sets \mathbb{A}_i^n for all $n\in\omega$ and i.e., satisfying $\mathbb{A}_i^{n+1}\subset \mathbb{A}_i^n$ for i.e. (new); and for every n, $\mathbb{A}_i^n\subset K_i$ and $\mu_i(\mathbb{A}_i^n)=1$.

For every iew, the set H_i will be defined by $H_i = \bigcap_{n \in A_i} A_i^n$.

Consider FTK0 . One of the sets $\{\alpha\ll_0\mid F(\alpha)=0\}$ or $\{\alpha\ll_0\mid F(\alpha)=1\}$ has measure one with respect to μ_0 . Call it λ_0^0 , and let i_0 be the constant value of F on λ_0^0 .

To define \mathbb{A}^1_0 and \mathbb{A}^1_1 , notice that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}^0_0$ $\{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_1 \mid \mathbb{F}(\alpha,\beta)=0\}$ has μ_1 -measure one or $\{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_1 \mid \mathbb{F}(\alpha,\beta)=1\}$ has μ_1 -measure one. In the first case we call α a 0-point, and in the second we say it is a 1-point. The set \mathbb{A}^1_0 is the set of 0-points or the set of 1-points, whichever has measure one with respect to μ_0 . Let μ_1 be the appropriate value, i.e. \mathbb{A}^1_0 is the set of μ_1 -points in \mathbb{A}^0_0 and \mathbb{A}^0_0 =1.

Now, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_0^1$, $\mu_1(\{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_1 \mid \mathbb{F}(\alpha,\beta) = i_1\})=1$, put $\mathbb{A}_1^1 = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_0^1} \{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_1 \mid \mathbb{F}(\alpha,\beta) = i_1\}.$ Note that since $\mathbb{K}_0 = |\mathbb{A}_0^1| < \mathbb{K}_1$ and μ_1 is \mathbb{K}_1 -complete, $\mu_1(\mathbb{A}_1^1)=1$.

We proceed inductively. Suppose we have defined \mathbb{A}_0^k , \mathbb{A}_1^k , ..., \mathbb{A}_k^k such that F is constant on $\mathbb{A}_0^k \times \mathbb{A}_1^k \times ... \times \mathbb{A}_i^k$ and $\mathbb{H}_i(\mathbb{A}_i^k) = 1$ for every its.

Classify the k+1-tuples of $\mathbb{A}_0^k \times \mathbb{A}_1^k \times ... \times \mathbb{A}_k^k$ in two classes: tuples of type 0 and tuples of type 1 according to which of the sets, $\{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_{k+1} | F(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k, \beta) = 0 \}$ or $\{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_{k+1} | F(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k, \beta) = 1 \}$ has measure one with respect to μ_{k+1} . Let $i_{k+1} \in \{0,1\}$ be such that the set of tuples of type i_{k+1} of \mathbb{A}_0^k , \mathbb{A}_1^k , ..., \mathbb{A}_k^k has measure one with respect to

the measure $\mu_0x\mu_1x$... $x\mu_k$ on K_0xK_1x ... xK_k . We thus have: $\mu_0(\{\alpha_0\in A_0^k\mid \mu_1(\{\alpha_1\in A_1^k\}\dots xK_k\})$

 $\mu_k(\{\alpha_k\in \mathbb{A}_k^k|\;\mu_{k+1}(\{\beta\in K_{k+1}|F(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k,\beta)=i_{k+1}\})=1\;\})=1\;\ldots\;\})=1\;\})=1$

For each tuple $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{A}_0^k \times \mathbb{A}_1^k \times \dots \times \mathbb{A}_i^k$ (i.k.), call $\mathbb{B}_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)}^k$

 $=i_{k+1}$)=1}) ...})=1} . And for $(a_0, a_1, ..., a_k)$, $B_{(a_0, a_1, ..., a_k)}^k = \{\beta \in K_{k+1} | F(a_0, a_1, ..., a_k) \in \beta = i_{k+1} \}$.

We put $\mathbb{A}_0^{k+1} = \{a \in \mathbb{A}_0^k | \mu_1(\mathbb{B}_a^k) = 1\}$.

$$\mathbb{A}_1^{k+1} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_0^{k+1}} \mathbb{B}_{\alpha}^k$$

$$\mathbb{A}_2^{k+1} = \bigcap_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_2,) \in \mathbb{A}_0^{k+1} \times \mathbb{A}_2^{k+1}} \mathbb{B}_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_2)}^k.$$

and in general.

$$\mathbb{A}_{i}^{k+1} = \bigcap_{\substack{\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{i-1} \neq k \\ 0}} \mathbb{A}_{0}^{k+1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{A}_{i-1}^{k+1} \mathbb{B}_{(\alpha_{0}, \dots, \alpha_{i-1})}^{k} \text{ (for ick)}$$

$$\mathsf{A}_{k+1}^{k+1} = \bigcap_{(\alpha_0, \, \alpha_1, \, \dots, \, \alpha_k) \in \, \mathsf{A}_0^{k+1} \times \, \dots \, \times \, \mathsf{A}_k^{k+1}} \mathsf{B}_{(\alpha_0, \, \dots, \, \alpha_k)}^k \, .$$

By the completeness property of each μ_i , for all idk+1, $\mu_i(A_i^{k+1})$ =1.

To complete the proof, put, for each iew, $H_i = \cap_{n \ni i} A_i^n$. Clearly, for every iew,

 $\mu_i(H_i) = 1$ and for every new, F" $H_0 \times H_1 \times ... \times H_n = \{i_n\}$.

We would like to point out that finite dimensional polarized partitions with finite parameters on the right hand side of the arrow have finite solutions, that is, if $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n, \beta$ are finite cardinals, then there is a finite cardinal k such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} k \\ k \\ \vdots \\ k \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}_B$$

As an example, k=5 is a solution for the partition property $\begin{bmatrix} K \\ K \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_2$

$$\begin{bmatrix} K \\ K \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_2$$

and some k, 80k 20 is a solution for

$$\begin{bmatrix} k \\ k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(see, for example, [Ca]).

REFERENCES

[Ca]	Carnielli, W. A.: Ramsey type theorems for cubes. Technical Report. University of Campinas
[ER]	P. Erdős and R. Rado: A partition calculus in set theory. <u>Bull Amer. Math.</u> Soc. 62 (1956) 427-489.

[EHR] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal and R. Rado: Partition relations for cardinal numbers. Acta Mat. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 16 (1965) 93-196.

[G.R.S] Graham, R.L., B.L., Rothschild and J.H. Spencer Ramsey Theory, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1980).

[Si] W. Sierpinski: Concernant l'hypothese du continu. <u>Acad. Royale Serbe Bull.</u> de l'Acad. Sc. Math. et Naturelles. Ser. A Sc. Math. et Phys. 1 (1933) 67-73.

[W] M. Williams: Combinatorial Set Theory. North Holland (1977).

Walder Alexandre Carnielli Universidade Estadual de Campinas Instituto de Matemática Caixa Postal 6065 13.081 Campinas, SP., Brasil

Carlos Augusto Di Prisco Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas Apartado 21.827, Caracas 1020—A, Venezuela and Universidad Central de Venezuela.

RELATÓRIOS TÉCNICOS 1988

- 01/88 A Linear Continuous Transportation Problem Enrique D. Andyel.

 Tires L. Letter and J se Mario Martinez.
- 02/88 A Splitting Theorem for Complete Manifolds With Non-Negative Curvature Operator Maria Helena Noronha.
- 03/88 Mathematical Physics of the Generalized Monopole without String W. A. E. drigues. Jr., M. A. Faria-Rosa, A. Maia-Jr., and E. Rosami.
- 04/88 A Family of Quasi Newton Methods with Direct Secant Updates of Matrix Factorizations Jose Mario Martinez.
- 05/88 Rotation Numbers of Differential Equations. A Framework in the Linear Case Law Sin Martin.
- 06/88 A Geometrical Theory of non Topological Magnetic Monopoles

 Marcie A. Faria Resea and Waldyr A. Redrigues Jr.
- 07/88 Cosmic Walls and Axially Symmetric Sigma Models Fatrice S. Letcher and Enric Verdaguer
- 08/88 Verificação do Nível de Enlace do Protocolo X-25 Cela C. Guimaraes e Edmunde R. M. Maneira.
- 09/88 A Numerically Stable Reduced Gradient Type Algorithm for Solving Large Scale Linearly Constrained Minimization Problems Herman Simus Geomes and Jose Mario Martinez.
- 10/88 On Integral Bases of Some Ring of Integers Neb D. Allan
- 11/88 Generating Inexact Newton Methods Using Least Change Secant Update Procedures José Mario Martinez.