Sobre bases de Gröbner e códigos quasi-cíclicos

Marcelo Miranda

EnCoRI 2023

16 de junho de 2023

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Gröbner bases for modules

3. Quasi-cyclic codes Introduction and relation to modules Finding sparse generator matrices

4. QC-LDPC codes

5. Perspectives

Introduction

In this presentation, we explore the Gröbner basis theory for modules with the primary intention of presenting some results and conjectures involving quasi-cyclic codes.

Introduction

In this presentation, we explore the Gröbner basis theory for modules with the primary intention of presenting some results and conjectures involving quasi-cyclic codes.

After that, we introduce QC-LDPC codes, giving a possible way to connect general Gröbner basis theory for modules to such class of codes.

Introduction

In this presentation, we explore the Gröbner basis theory for modules with the primary intention of presenting some results and conjectures involving quasi-cyclic codes.

After that, we introduce QC-LDPC codes, giving a possible way to connect general Gröbner basis theory for modules to such class of codes.

Finally, as perspectives of study for the current work, we establish another possible relations comprehending Gröbner basis theory, coding/decoding and lattices from codes.

0 0 0 0

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Gröbner bases for modules

3. Quasi-cyclic codes Introduction and relation to modules Finding sparse generator matrices

4. QC-LDPC codes

5. Perspectives

Set $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, a polynomial ring.

Set $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, a polynomial ring.

Definition 2.1

Let $M \subseteq R^m$ be a submodule, let \geq be a monomial order and let $\langle LT(M) \rangle \subseteq R$ be the monomial submodule generated by the leading terms of all $\mathbf{f} \in M$ with respect to \geq . A finite set $G = {\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_s} \subseteq M$ is a **Gröbner basis** of M if we have $\langle LT(M) \rangle = \langle LT(\mathbf{g}_1), \dots, LT(\mathbf{g}_s) \rangle$.

Set $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, a polynomial ring.

Definition 2.1

Let $M \subseteq R^m$ be a submodule, let \geq be a monomial order and let $\langle LT(M) \rangle \subseteq R$ be the monomial submodule generated by the leading terms of all $\mathbf{f} \in M$ with respect to \geq . A finite set $G = {\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_s} \subseteq M$ is a **Gröbner basis** of M if we have $\langle LT(M) \rangle = \langle LT(\mathbf{g}_1), \dots, LT(\mathbf{g}_s) \rangle$.

One important reference for the verification if a module basis is a Gröbner basis is the *S*-element.

One important reference for the verification if a module basis is a Gröbner basis is the *S*-element.

Definition 2.2

Let \geq be a monomial order in \mathbb{R}^m , $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{m} = MMC(LT(\mathbf{f}), LT(\mathbf{g}))$. Then, the *S*-element of \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} , denoted by $S(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$, is given by

$$S(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}) = \frac{\mathbf{m}}{LT(\mathbf{f})}\mathbf{f} - \frac{\mathbf{m}}{LT(\mathbf{g})}\mathbf{g}.$$

One important reference for the verification if a module basis is a Gröbner basis is the *S*-element.

Definition 2.2

Let \geq be a monomial order in \mathbb{R}^m , $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{m} = MMC(LT(\mathbf{f}), LT(\mathbf{g}))$. Then, the *S*-element of \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} , denoted by $S(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$, is given by

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}) = \frac{\mathbf{m}}{LT(\mathbf{f})}\mathbf{f} - \frac{\mathbf{m}}{LT(\mathbf{g})}\mathbf{g}.$$

Theorem 2.1 (Buchberger's Criterion for submodules [4]) Let $G = \{\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_s\} \subseteq R^m$ and $M = \langle \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_s \rangle$. Then, *G* is a Gröbner basis if, and only if, the remainder of the division of $S(\mathbf{g}_i, \mathbf{g}_i)$ by *G* is **0** for all *i*, *j*.

0 0

One important reference for the verification if a module basis is a Gröbner basis is the *S*-element.

Definition 2.2

Let \geq be a monomial order in \mathbb{R}^m , $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{m} = MMC(LT(\mathbf{f}), LT(\mathbf{g}))$. Then, the *S*-element of \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} , denoted by $S(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$, is given by

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}) = \frac{\mathbf{m}}{LT(\mathbf{f})}\mathbf{f} - \frac{\mathbf{m}}{LT(\mathbf{g})}\mathbf{g}.$$

Theorem 2.1 (Buchberger's Criterion for submodules [4]) Let $G = \{\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_s\} \subseteq R^m$ and $M = \langle \mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_s \rangle$. Then, *G* is a Gröbner basis if, and only if, the remainder of the division of $S(\mathbf{g}_i, \mathbf{g}_i)$ by *G* is **0** for all *i*, *j*.

By the Buchberger's Criterion, we can establish an algorithm that allows us to build, starting from a submodule basis, a Gröbner basis for the same submodule in R^m - the Buchberger's Algorithm for submodules.

Definition 2.3 Let $G \subseteq R^m$ be a Gröbner basis of a submodule $M \subseteq R^m$. G is **minimal** if $\circ LC(\mathbf{g}) = 1 \forall \mathbf{g} \in G;$ $\circ \forall \mathbf{g} \in G, LT(\mathbf{g}) \notin \langle LT(G - \{\mathbf{g}\}) \rangle.$

Definition 2.3 Let $G \subseteq R^m$ be a Gröbner basis of a submodule $M \subseteq R^m$. G is **minimal** if $\circ LC(\mathbf{g}) = 1 \forall \mathbf{g} \in G;$ $\circ \forall \mathbf{g} \in G, LT(\mathbf{g}) \notin \langle LT(G - \{\mathbf{g}\}) \rangle.$ Moreover, if $\circ LM(\mathbf{g})$ divides no monomial of any element of $G - \{\mathbf{g}\}$,

then G is a reduced Gröbner basis of M.

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Gröbner bases for modules
- 3. Quasi-cyclic codes Introduction and relation to modules Finding sparse generator matrices
- 4. QC-LDPC codes
- 5. Perspectives

Quasi-cyclic codes Introduction and relation to modules

Quasi-cyclic codes can be seen as generalizations of cyclic codes in the sense they can be associated to submodules of R'_m , with $R_m := \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{\langle x^m - 1 \rangle}$.

Quasi-cyclic codes Introduction and relation to modules

Quasi-cyclic codes can be seen as generalizations of cyclic codes in the sense they can be associated to submodules of R'_m , with $R_m := \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{\langle x^m - 1 \rangle}$.

Definition 3.1 (Classic) A linear code *C* of length n = ml in \mathbb{F}_q is named **quasi-cyclic** of index *l* if, for each $c \in C$,

 $c = (c_0, \cdots, c_{n-1}) \in C \Longrightarrow c' = (c_{n-1}, \cdots, c_0, \cdots, c_{n-l-1}) \in C.$

Introduction and relation to modules

lf

$$c = (a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l}a_{21}a_{22}\cdots a_{2l}\cdots a_{m1}a_{m2}\cdots a_{ml}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\prime\prime}$$

is a generating vector for a quasi-cyclic code C of index I, then, taking all possible vectors after shifting I coordinates, we obtain a generator matrix

Introduction and relation to modules

lf

$$c = (a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l}a_{21}a_{22}\cdots a_{2l}\cdots a_{m1}a_{m2}\cdots a_{ml}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$$

is a generating vector for a quasi-cyclic code *C* of index *I*, then, taking all possible vectors after shifting *I* coordinates, we obtain a generator matrix



Introduction and relation to modules

lf

$$c = (a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l}a_{21}a_{22}\cdots a_{2l}\cdots a_{m1}a_{m2}\cdots a_{ml}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$$

is a generating vector for a quasi-cyclic code C of index I, then, taking all possible vectors after shifting I coordinates, we obtain a generator matrix

 $G = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l} & a_{21}a_{22}\cdots a_{2l} & \cdots & a_{m1}a_{m2}\cdots a_{ml} \\ a_{m1}a_{m2}\cdots a_{ml} & a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l} & \cdots & a_{(m-1)1}a_{(m-1)2}\cdots a_{(m-1)l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{21}a_{22}\cdots a_{2l} & a_{31}a_{32}\cdots a_{3l} & \cdots & a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{m \times lm}(\mathbb{F}_q)$

for C.

It is always possible to permutate the columns of C in order to find a generator matrix G_1 , of an equivalent code to C, formed by I circulant blocks:

Introduction and relation to modules

lf

$$c = (a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l}a_{21}a_{22}\cdots a_{2l}\cdots a_{m1}a_{m2}\cdots a_{ml}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$$

is a generating vector for a quasi-cyclic code *C* of index *I*, then, taking all possible vectors after shifting *I* coordinates, we obtain a generator matrix

 $G = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l} & a_{21}a_{22}\cdots a_{2l} & \cdots & a_{m1}a_{m2}\cdots a_{ml} \\ a_{m1}a_{m2}\cdots a_{ml} & a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l} & \cdots & a_{(m-1)1}a_{(m-1)2}\cdots a_{(m-1)l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{21}a_{22}\cdots a_{2l} & a_{31}a_{32}\cdots a_{3l} & \cdots & a_{11}a_{12}\cdots a_{1l} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{m \times lm}(\mathbb{F}_q)$

for C.

It is always possible to permutate the columns of C in order to find a generator matrix G_1 , of an equivalent code to C, formed by l circulant blocks:

$$G_1 = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 & \cdots & C_l \end{bmatrix},$$

such that each $C_i \in M_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $1 \le i \le l$, is a circulant matrix obtained by the vector $(a_{0i} a_{1i} \cdots a_{(m-1)i}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$.

Introduction and relation to modules

Therefore, if a quasi-cyclic code has k generators, we can exhibit a generator matrix for an equivalent code (after a l-shift in its columns) in the form

$$G_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \cdots & C_{1l} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{k1} & C_{k2} & \cdots & C_{kl} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{ml \times mk}(\mathbb{F}_{q}).$$
(7)

Introduction and relation to modules

Therefore, if a quasi-cyclic code has k generators, we can exhibit a generator matrix for an equivalent code (after a l-shift in its columns) in the form

$$G_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \cdots & C_{1l} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{k1} & C_{k2} & \cdots & C_{kl} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{ml \times mk}(\mathbb{F}_{q}).$$
(

Remark 3.1 It is not guaranteed that G_2 has n = ml linearly independent rows.

Introduction and relation to modules

Therefore, if a quasi-cyclic code has k generators, we can exhibit a generator matrix for an equivalent code (after a l-shift in its columns) in the form

$$G_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \cdots & C_{1l} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{k1} & C_{k2} & \cdots & C_{kl} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{ml \times mk}(\mathbb{F}_{q}).$$
(1)

Remark 3.1

It is not guaranteed that G_2 has n = mI linearly independent rows.

Definition 3.2 (Equivalent)

A linear code having an equivalent code with generator matrix as in (1) is called **quasi-cyclic** code.

Introduction and relation to modules

Therefore, if a quasi-cyclic code has k generators, we can exhibit a generator matrix for an equivalent code (after a l-shift in its columns) in the form

$$G_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \cdots & C_{1} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{k1} & C_{k2} & \cdots & C_{kl} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{ml \times mk}(\mathbb{F}_{q}).$$

Remark 3.1

It is not guaranteed that G_2 has n = mI linearly independent rows.

Definition 3.2 (Equivalent)

A linear code having an equivalent code with generator matrix as in (1) is called **quasi-cyclic** code.

Since each C_{ij} is generated by a polynomial $a_{ij}(x) = a_{0ij} + a_{1ij}x + \cdots + a_{(m-1)ij}x^{m-1}$, then C_{ij} is isomorphic to an ideal of R_m ; this gives

 $\mathbb{F}_q^{lm} \simeq R_m^l \Longrightarrow C$ is a R_m -submodule of the module R_m^l .

Introduction and relation to modules



 $\begin{array}{cccc} \phi: & \left(\mathbb{F}_q[x]\right)^l & \to & R_m^l \\ & \left(\rho_1(x), \, \cdots, \, \rho_l(x)\right) & \mapsto & \left([\rho_1(x)], \, \cdots, \, [\rho_l(x)]\right) \end{array}$

Introduction and relation to modules

Let

be a map which has kernel $\widetilde{K} = \langle (x^m - 1)\mathbf{e}_i, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$ ($\{\mathbf{e}_i/1 \leq i \leq l\}$ canonical basis of $(\mathbb{F}_q[x])'$).

Introduction and relation to modules

Let

be a map which has kernel $\widetilde{K} = \langle (x^m - 1)\mathbf{e}_i, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$ ($\{\mathbf{e}_i/1 \leq i \leq l\}$ canonical basis of $(\mathbb{F}_q[x])'$).

Introduction and relation to modules

Let

$$egin{array}{rcl} egin{array}{ccc} & \left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]
ight)^{l} &
ightarrow & R_{m}^{l} \ & \left(eta_{1}(x), \ \cdots, eta_{l}(x)
ight) &
ightarrow & \left([eta_{1}(x)], \ \cdots, [eta_{l}(x)]
ight) \end{array}$$

be a map which has kernel $\widetilde{K} = \langle (x^m - 1)\mathbf{e}_i, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$ ($\{\mathbf{e}_i/1 \leq i \leq l\}$ canonical basis of $(\mathbb{F}_q[x])^{\prime}$).

By the First Isomorphism Theorem, there exists the correspondence

$$C \iff \widetilde{C}$$
 (preimages of $(\mathbb{F}_q[x])'$ containing \widetilde{K}).

Consider a *k*-generator (linear) quasi-cyclic code $C = \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle$, in \mathbb{F}_q , *q* prime, with $\mathbf{r}_i = (r_{i1}, \dots, r_{il})$.

Consider a *k*-generator (linear) quasi-cyclic code $C = \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle$, in \mathbb{F}_q , *q* prime, with $\mathbf{r}_i = (r_{i1}, \dots, r_{il})$. Then,

$$\hat{C} = \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_k, (x^m - 1) \mathbf{e}_j \rangle$$

is a module which has a minimal Gröbner basis G with respect to an order.

Consider a *k*-generator (linear) quasi-cyclic code $C = \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle$, in \mathbb{F}_q , *q* prime, with $\mathbf{r}_i = (r_{i1}, \dots, r_{il})$. Then,

$$\hat{C} = \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_k, (x^m - 1) \mathbf{e}_j \rangle$$

is a module which has a minimal Gröbner basis G with respect to an order.

Also, there will exist a reduced Gröbner basis G_1 , obtained from G, that is unique - its structure is given by the following Theorem:

0 0 0 0

Introduction and relation to modules

Theorem 3.1 ([7])

Let \widetilde{C} be a submodule of $(\mathbb{F}_q[x])^l$ containing $\widetilde{K} = \langle (x^m - 1)\mathbf{e}_i, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$. Then, \widetilde{C} has a reduced Gröbner basis with respect to \geq_{POT} and presented in the form

$$\widetilde{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1 \\ \mathbf{g}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{g}_l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \cdots & g_{1l} \\ 0 & g_{22} & \cdots & g_{2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_{ll} \end{bmatrix},$$

 $\overline{g_{ii}} \neq \overline{0 \forall i \in \{1, \dots, I\}}$ and

- 1. $g_{ii}|x^m 1$ and if $\mathbf{f} \in \tilde{C}$ has leading monomial in the *i*-th position, then $g_{ii}\mathbf{e}_i$ divides $LM(\mathbf{f})$;
- 2. deg $(g_{ji}) <$ deg $(g_{ii}) \le m \forall j < i;$
- 3. If $g_{ii} = x^m 1$, then $\mathbf{g}_i = (x^m 1)\mathbf{e}_i$;
- 4. The dimension of $\frac{(\mathbb{F}_q[x])^{\prime}}{\widetilde{C}}$ in \mathbb{F}_q is $\sum_{i=1}^{\prime} \deg(g_{ii})$.

0 0 0

(2)

Introduction and relation to modules

Theorem 3.1 ([7])

Let \widetilde{C} be a submodule of $(\mathbb{F}_q[x])^l$ containing $\widetilde{K} = \langle (x^m - 1)\mathbf{e}_i, 1 \leq i \leq l \rangle$. Then, \widetilde{C} has a reduced Gröbner basis with respect to \geq_{POT} and presented in the form

$$\widetilde{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1 \\ \mathbf{g}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{g}_l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \cdots & g_{1l} \\ 0 & g_{22} & \cdots & g_{2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_{ll} \end{bmatrix},$$

 $g_{ii} \neq 0 \ \forall \ i \in \{1, \ \cdots, l\}$ and

- 1. $g_{ii}|x^m 1$ and if $\mathbf{f} \in \tilde{C}$ has leading monomial in the *i*-th position, then $g_{ii}\mathbf{e}_i$ divides $LM(\mathbf{f})$;
- 2. $\deg(g_{ji}) < \deg(g_{ii}) \le m \forall j < i;$
- 3. If $g_{ii} = x^m 1$, then $\mathbf{g}_i = (x^m 1)\mathbf{e}_i$;
- 4. The dimension of $\frac{(\mathbb{F}_q[x])^l}{\widetilde{C}}$ in \mathbb{F}_q is $\sum_{i=1}^l \deg(g_{ii})$.

Proposition 3.1 ([7])

The dimension *t* of *C*, which has preimage \widetilde{C} by ϕ with Gröbner basis as in (2), is $t = lm - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \deg(g_{ii}).$

(2)

We recall the correspondence

C quasi-cyclic code

We recall the correspondence

C quasi-cyclic code

 \widehat{C} module

We recall the correspondence

\widetilde{C} module

 \widetilde{G} Reduced Gröbner basis wrt the order \geq_{POT} and presented as in (2).

We recall the correspondence

 \widehat{G} Reduced Gröbner basis wrt the order \geq_{POT} and presented as in (2).

This helps to prove the following Proposition:

Proposition 3.2

Let *C* be a *k*-generator quasi-cyclic code with matrix generator *G* and an equivalent code given by the generator matrix G_2 as in (1). Let \widetilde{C} be its associated module with reduced Gröbner basis \widetilde{G} as in (2) which, in turn, has a matrix representation with entries $g_{ij} = a_{0ij} + a_{1ij}x + \cdots + a_{(m-1)ij}x^{m-1} \pmod{(x^m - 1)}$, $1 \le i, j \le l$. Let G_B be the block matrix formed by the circulant blocks $G_{ij} \in M_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ corresponding to the generator polynomials g_{ij} (as of cyclic codes) and finally define G_S as the matrix obtained from G_B after applying in its columns the inverse permutation of the one applied in the columns of *G* to get G_2 . Then, G_S is a generator matrix for *C*.

0 0 0 0

Proposition 3.2

Let *C* be a *k*-generator quasi-cyclic code with matrix generator *G* and an equivalent code given by the generator matrix G_2 as in (1). Let \widetilde{C} be its associated module with reduced Gröbner basis \widetilde{G} as in (2) which, in turn, has a matrix representation with entries $g_{ij} = a_{0ij} + a_{1ij}x + \cdots + a_{(m-1)ij}x^{m-1} \pmod{(x^m - 1)}$, $1 \le i, j \le l$. Let G_B be the block matrix formed by the circulant blocks $G_{ij} \in M_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ corresponding to the generator polynomials g_{ij} (as of cyclic codes) and finally define G_S as the matrix obtained from G_B after applying in its columns the inverse permutation of the one applied in the columns of *G* to get G_2 . Then, G_S is a generator matrix for *C*.

By Proposition 3.2, we find k "new" generators for the quasi-cyclic code C. We conjecturate that those generators are the vectors with the lowest Hamming weight generating C; thus, G_S is the "sparsiest" generator matrix for such code.

0 0 0 0

Quasi-cyclic codes A conjecture

Conjecture 3.1

Let *C* be a 1-generator quasi-cyclic code generated by a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ with Hamming weight *m* such that $n > m \ge \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$. Then, there exists a vector $\overline{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, having Hamming weight min{m, n - m}, that generates *C*.

Quasi-cyclic codes A conjecture

Conjecture 3.1

Let *C* be a 1-generator quasi-cyclic code generated by a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ with Hamming weight *m* such that $n > m \ge \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$. Then, there exists a vector $\overline{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, having Hamming weight min{m, n - m}, that generates *C*.

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Gröbner bases for modules
- 3. Quasi-cyclic codes Introduction and relation to modules Finding sparse generator matrices
- 4. QC-LDPC codes
- 5. Perspectives

Low-Density Parity Check codes are usually defined via parity-check matrices H which, in turn, are usually associated with Tanner graphs. In general, a linear code is an LDPC code if it is given by a sparse parity-check matrix $H \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Low-Density Parity Check codes are usually defined via parity-check matrices H which, in turn, are usually associated with Tanner graphs. In general, a linear code is an LDPC code if it is given by a sparse parity-check matrix $H \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We use a characterization in order to define QC-LDPC (quasi-cyclic LDPC) codes ([3]):

0 0 0 0

Low-Density Parity Check codes are usually defined via parity-check matrices H which, in turn, are usually associated with Tanner graphs. In general, a linear code is an LDPC code if it is given by a sparse parity-check matrix $H \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We use a characterization in order to define QC-LDPC (quasi-cyclic LDPC) codes ([3]):

Definition 4.1

A linear code *C* is a QC-LDPC code of circulant size *z* if it is defined by a paritycheck matrix *H* constituted by square blocks $z \times z$ which are or circulant permutation matrices (CPM) or the null matrix.

0 0 0 0

Low-Density Parity Check codes are usually defined via parity-check matrices H which, in turn, are usually associated with Tanner graphs. In general, a linear code is an LDPC code if it is given by a sparse parity-check matrix $H \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We use a characterization in order to define QC-LDPC (quasi-cyclic LDPC) codes ([3]):

Definition 4.1

A linear code *C* is a QC-LDPC code of circulant size *z* if it is defined by a paritycheck matrix *H* constituted by square blocks $z \times z$ which are or circulant permutation matrices (CPM) or the null matrix.

In such case, if $H \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (usually q = 2), then we have length $n = zn_b$ and redudancy $m = zm_b$. It gives

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} P_{b(0,0)} & P_{b(0,1)} & \cdots & P_{b(0,n_b-1)} \\ P_{b(1,0)} & P_{b(1,1)} & \cdots & P_{b(1,n_b-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{b(m_b-1,0)} & P_{b(m_b-1,1)} & \cdots & P_{b(m_b-1,n_b-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

in which each block $P_{b(i,j)}$ is either a $z \times z$ CPM or the null matrix.

0 0 0

Example 4.1 Let *C* be the "minimal" QC code of index I = 2 in \mathbb{F}_2^8 generated by (11111100).

Example 4.1

Let *C* be the "minimal" QC code of index I = 2 in \mathbb{F}_2^8 generated by (11111100). First, we find a generator matrix for *C* given by

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 4.1

Let *C* be the "minimal" QC code of index I = 2 in \mathbb{F}_2^8 generated by (11111100). First, we find a generator matrix for *C* given by

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Permutating the columns of *G* conveniently, one obtains the matrix

$$G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & | & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \end{bmatrix},$$

in which each C_{ij} are circulant matrices with generating polynomials given by $c_{11}(x) = c_{12}(x) = 1 + x + x^2$, respectively.

Therefore, the associated module \widetilde{C} is given by

$$\widetilde{C} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1+x+x^2\\1+x+x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x^4-1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\x^4-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

Therefore, the associated module \widetilde{C} is given by

$$\widetilde{C} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1+x+x^2\\1+x+x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x^4-1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\x^4-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Via Buchberger's Algorithm, one finds the Gröbner basis

$$\widetilde{G} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1+x+x^2\\1+x+x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x^4-1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\x^4-1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1+x\\x+x^4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1+x+x^5 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

for \widetilde{C} .

Therefore, the associated module \widetilde{C} is given by

$$\widetilde{C} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1+x+x^2\\1+x+x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x^4-1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\x^4-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Via Buchberger's Algorithm, one finds the Gröbner basis

$$\widetilde{G} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1+x+x^2\\1+x+x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x^4-1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\x^4-1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1+x\\x+x^4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1+x+x^5 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

for \widetilde{C} .

Whence, its reduced Gröbner basis is given by

$$\widetilde{G_R} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x^4 - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Therefore, the associated module \widetilde{C} is given by

$$\widetilde{C} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1+x+x^2\\1+x+x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x^4-1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\x^4-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Via Buchberger's Algorithm, one finds the Gröbner basis

$$\widetilde{G} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1+x+x^2\\1+x+x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x^4-1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\x^4-1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1+x\\x+x^4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1+x+x^5 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

for \widetilde{C} .

Whence, its reduced Gröbner basis is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{R} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x^{4} - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

It follows that the rows of the matrix

$$\widetilde{G}_R = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ 0 & g_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & x^4 - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

generate \widetilde{C} .

0 0 0 0

By Proposition 3.1, dim C = 4 in \mathbb{F}_2^8 . Furthermore, via Proposition 3.2, one obtains the generator matrix

$$G_S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for C.



By Proposition 3.1, dim C = 4 in \mathbb{F}_2^8 . Furthermore, via Proposition 3.2, one obtains the generator matrix

$$G_S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for C. Permutating conveniently the columns of G_S , we get the matrix

 $G'_{S} = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 \mid I_4 \end{bmatrix},$

which generates an equivalent code to C, say C'.

By Proposition 3.1, dim C = 4 in \mathbb{F}_2^8 . Furthermore, via Proposition 3.2, one obtains the generator matrix

$$G_{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for C. Permutating conveniently the columns of G_S , we get the matrix

 $G'_{S} = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 \mid I_4 \end{bmatrix},$

which generates an equivalent code to *C*, say C'. In such case, the associated parity-check matrix H'_{S} of C' is

$$H'_{\mathcal{S}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{8-4} & I_4^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 & I_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

which follows Definition 4.1.

0 0 0 0

By Proposition 3.1, dim C = 4 in \mathbb{F}_2^8 . Furthermore, via Proposition 3.2, one obtains the generator matrix

$$G_{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for C. Permutating conveniently the columns of G_S , we get the matrix

 $G'_{S} = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 & I_4 \end{bmatrix},$

which generates an equivalent code to *C*, say C'. In such case, the associated parity-check matrix H'_{S} of C' is

$$H'_{S} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{8-4} & I_{4}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{4} & I_{4} \end{bmatrix},$$

which follows Definition 4.1.

Conclusion: C has an equivalent QC-LDPC code.

0 0 0 0

Our goal in this work (in progress) is to establish a parallel with the Gröbner basis theory for modules in order to provide conditions and/or algorithms allowing us to verify if a quasi-cyclic code, given its generator(s),

- has an equivalent LDPC code or is itself an LDPC code.
- has an equivalent code generated by a vector having minimal Hamming weight.

Our goal in this work (in progress) is to establish a parallel with the Gröbner basis theory for modules in order to provide conditions and/or algorithms allowing us to verify if a quasi-cyclic code, given its generator(s),

- has an equivalent LDPC code or is itself an LDPC code.
- has an equivalent code generated by a vector having minimal Hamming weight.

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Gröbner bases for modules
- 3. Quasi-cyclic codes Introduction and relation to modules Finding sparse generator matrices
- 4. QC-LDPC codes
- 5. Perspectives

We also intend to extend Conjecture 3.1 (in the case it is true) and to link the Gröbner basis theory for modules (or even for ideals) to

We also intend to extend Conjecture 3.1 (in the case it is true) and to link the Gröbner basis theory for modules (or even for ideals) to

Code-based Cryptography;

We also intend to extend Conjecture 3.1 (in the case it is true) and to link the Gröbner basis theory for modules (or even for ideals) to

- Code-based Cryptography;
- Coding and/or decoding of quasi-cyclic codes ([2]);

We also intend to extend Conjecture 3.1 (in the case it is true) and to link the Gröbner basis theory for modules (or even for ideals) to

- Code-based Cryptography;
- Coding and/or decoding of quasi-cyclic codes ([2]);
- Lattices from codes ([3], [1]).

Bibliography

- ALIASGARI, M., SADEGHI, M.-R., PANARIO, D. "Grobner Bases for Lattices and an Algebraic Decoding Algorithm". *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1222-1230, 2013.
- BRANCO DA SILVA, P. R., and SILVA, D. "Multilevel LDPC Lattices With Efficient Encoding and Decoding and a Generalization of Construction D ". IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 3246-3260, 2019.
- CHEN, S., KURKOSKI, B. M., and ROSNES, E. "Construction D' Lattices from Quasi-Cyclic Low-Density Parity-Check Codes". 2018 IEEE 10th International Simposium on Turbo Codes & Interative Information Processing (ISTC), pp. 1-5, 2018.
- COX, D., LITTLE, J., and O'SHEA, D. *Using Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, New York, 2005.
- HUFFMAN, W. C., KIM, J.- L., and SOLÉ, P. Concise Encyclopedia of Coding Theory. Springer, Boca Raton, 2021.
- LALLY, K., and FITZPATRICK, P., "Algebraic Structure of Quasi-cyclic Codes". Discrete Applied Mathematics 111, 2001.
- SKJÆRBÆK, T. Quasi-cyclic Codes Represented by Gröbner Basis. Thesis, Aalborg University, 2010.

Thank you!

Contact: m192298@dac.unicamp.br